

## APPENDIX 2: Quality checklist

Criterion		Sub-score (S)	Weight (W)
1	Scope		0.25
	Rationale of evaluation clearly stated.		
	Specifies the evaluation criteria against which the evaluation is to be assessed, including, for example, relevance, efficiency, effectiveness, impact and/or sustainability.		
	Includes a comprehensive and tailored evaluation framework including evaluation criteria, questions and required indicators.		
	Gives clear and relevant description of the context of the intervention (policy, socioeconomic, political, institutional, international factors relevant to the implementation of the intervention).		
	The geographic context and boundaries are clearly mentioned .		
2	Methodology		0.25
	Contains a clear and complete description of a relevant design and methodological approach that are suitable for the evaluation's purpose, objectives, and scope.		
	Existing information sources, such as monitoring systems and/or previous evaluations are identified. An appraisal of quality and reliability is provided.		
	The evaluation methodology includes multiple methods (triangulation); preferably with analysis of both quantitative and qualitative data and with a range of stakeholders covered by the data collection methods.		
	The evaluation methodology explicitly and clearly states the limitations of the chosen evaluation methods.		
	Describes the key stages of the evaluation process and the implementation time line.		
3	Findings		0.25
	Reported findings address the evaluation criteria (such as efficiency, effectiveness, sustainability, impact, and relevance) and questions defined in the evaluation scope.		
	Findings are objectively reported based on the evidence.		
4	Recommendations		0.25
	Recommendations are relevant to the object and purposes of the evaluation, are supported by evidence and conclusions, and were developed with the involvement of relevant stakeholders.		
Summary Quality Score = $(S_1 \times W_1) + (S_2 \times W_2) + (S_3 \times W_3) + (S_4 \times W_4)$			/ 1