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ABSTRACT

Although significant progress has been made in achieving goals for COVID-19 vaccine access, the quest for equity and justice remains an unfinished agenda. Vaccine nationalism has prompted calls for new approaches to achieve equitable access and justice not only for vaccines but also for vaccination. This includes ensuring country and community participation in global discussions and that local needs to strengthen health systems, address issues related to social determinants of health, build trust and leverage acceptance to vaccines, are addressed. Regional vaccine technology and manufacturing hubs are promising approaches to address access challenges and must be integrated with efforts to ensure demand. The current situation underlines the need for access, demand and system strengthening to be addressed along with local priorities for justice to be achieved. Innovations to improve accountability and leverage existing platforms are also needed. Sustained political will and investment is required to ensure ongoing production of non-pandemic vaccines and sustained demand, particularly when perceived threat of disease appears to be waning. Several recommendations are made to govern towards justice including codesigning the path forward with low-income and middle-income countries; establishing stronger accountability measures; establishing dedicated groups to engage with countries and manufacturing hubs to ensure that the affordable supply and predictable demand are in balance; addressing country needs for health system strengthening through leveraging existing health and development platforms and delivering on product presentations informed by country needs. Even if difficult, we must converge on a definition of justice well in advance of the next pandemic.

SUMMARY BOX

- Equitable COVID-19 vaccine access remains elusive; reviewing the COVID-19 vaccination effort through an access, equity and justice lens helps elucidate challenges and promising approaches for achieving ongoing and future pandemic goals.
- Pandemic efforts should leverage and build on existing structures and improve outcomes for a more just and equitable approach to not only vaccine access but also vaccination.
- In light of competing views on the approach for access, equity and justice, the global health community should come together with state actors, manufacturers and donors to cocreate strategies that ensure these principles for all; even if difficult, a definition of justice, in particular, needs to be agreed on prior to the next pandemic.
- Achievement of justice will require self-reliance and regional manufacturing supplemented with efforts to ensure predictable demand, financing and political will to sustainably support operations provides a promising approach.
- Global and local governance needs to be strengthened to ensure accountability for equitable access and vaccination at national and subnational levels for all countries.

INTRODUCTION

Vaccination can help prevent the illness and death, economic repercussions and social impact of COVID-19 and future variants. Yet, calls for global solidarity in ensuring equitable vaccine access were not initially heeded and as the WHO called for prioritising vaccine doses for the vulnerable and healthcare workers, the calls for solidarity continued to be ignored. COVID-19 Vaccines Global Access (COVAX), the partnership formed to achieve quick and equitable vaccine access, was successful in speeding up COVID-19 vaccine availability but fell woefully short in achieving even the mid-2022, 70% vaccination target set by WHO. In July 2022, WHO updated targets to ensure coverage of health workers, older adults and other high-risk populations. WHO has acknowledged that achievement of goals require strategies beyond supply-side approaches, noting enablers include new delivery strategies across the life course and sustained political will and investment. At the end of 2022, 69% of people globally had received a COVID-19 vaccine. In low and middle-income countries (LMICs), however, vaccination coverage only reached just under 25% of the population. Healthcare worker coverage varies significantly across countries, particularly in low-income settings. At the end of 2022, among the 34 countries for concerted support reported by the COVID-19 Vaccine Delivery Partnership, 40% of countries reported COVID-19 vaccination...
coverage among healthcare workers below 50%, and 80% of countries reported older adult coverage below this same threshold, including those where data were not reported.6

Although deaths and hospitalisations are down dramatically since the beginning of the pandemic, variants are still emerging and the quest for equitable vaccination coverage continues. A new XBB.1.5 omicron subvariant has now emerged and its ability to evade the immune system and exhibit enhanced binding to the human ACE-2 receptor translates into a variant that according to WHO may represent the most transmissible to date. This means that the goalposts for vaccine equity may shift to require global booster doses using bivalent (original plus BA.4/BA.5) or even trivalent (targeting XBB.1.5) vaccines.7 Vaccination has still achieved meaningful success; models estimate the vaccine prevented nearly 20 million deaths between December 2020 and 2021.8 If low-income countries (LICs) had met WHO targets of vaccinating 20% and 40% of the by the end of December 2021, substantially more lives could have been saved.9 The impact of COVID-19 in LICs is more than cases and deaths of COVID-19. There have been significant disruptions to households and their overall health and economic well-being, health systems, education and economies.9 As resources in countries continue to be diverted to fight the pandemic and its after effects, particularly in unvaccinated or under vaccinated populations, the concern is that the detrimental health, economic and social impact may continue to rise and affect every part of the globe if we do not adjust our approach.

ACCESS, EQUITY AND JUSTICE

At the height of the pandemic, a significant amount of attention was placed on equitable access. COVAX was designed to ensure that vaccines were developed rapidly and distributed equitably.10 How this aim is achieved is the subject of much discussion. There was grave concern that vaccine nationalism had taken hold, undermining the tenets of COVAX.11–13 This led to further calls for sharing of intellectual property, dismantling of global structures and development and manufacturing of vaccines at a country and regional level to address what has been coined as ‘vaccine apartheid’.2 14 15 Ethicists describe a moral duty for reparative justice and a move away from the global structures and colonialism that plague LICs.16 The establishment of regional technology hubs may be a step in the right direction to reduce concerns about a lack of supply and reliance on donations that further vaccine hesitancy and do little for achieving the longer term goals of justice.14 17 To achieve a regional solution that promotes vaccine confidence, sustained political will on the part of the countries in the region, empowerment of LMIC producers independent of considerations of their economic or political situation, a strong commitment to quality and funding to support this effort will be needed to ensure vaccines are developed to consistently meet needs of the countries and regions (further discussed in other papers in this issue).18 19 Additionally, vaccine design and research should be conducted with the participation of the countries in the region.

Others, including those directly involved in COVAX, concur with the need for continuing improvements to ensure equity but focus on improving existing governance. Although the immediate concern of vaccine supply has passed, and COVAX has helped address equity disparities;20 longer term solutions are still needed, particularly if new, more deadly variants emerge and new vaccines are required.

VACCINES AND VACCINATION

Donations, while welcomed, have been problematic for some countries, and as mentioned previously, may help in achieving shorter term equity goals. They do not advance longer term goals of justice, however. Donations do not always reach recipient countries when needed and the capacity to use these vaccines is diminished by short expiration dates21 22 and health systems that are still stretched.23 Demand for COVID-19 vaccines is waning and hesitancy still exists.24 25 With countries challenged by finances and wide variations in prices,26 some political leaders have moved on from the pandemic.20 Access to vaccines remains an important priority; but to ensure equity and justice, the focus needs to be on vaccination27 and engagement of communities to address the issues that are most relevant to them.28 Countries themselves have a responsibility for their own health systems, but there is also a role for the global community to facilitate action, particularly where health systems are weak29 and barriers such as food and housing insecurity, poor air quality, discrimination, lack of jobs and education and persistent healthcare access challenges affect health and willingness to be vaccinated.30 In LMICs, COVID-19 vaccine acceptance is generally high; however, this as well is under threat due to the globalisation of anti-vaccine activism from the USA and Europe.30 Therefore, prioritising access goes a long way along with messaging that deliberately reflects the specific needs and context of countries would help in building acceptance. Strong health systems and vaccination programmes grounded in the concerns of social determinants of health are at the core of achieving justice and equity.31

SUPPLY AND DEMAND INTERRELATIONSHIP

The inequitable distribution and access of vaccines has been attributed to a number of factors. Much of the debate to-date has centred on limited supply and inequitable distribution due to vaccine nationalism; limited vaccine manufacturing in LMICs; a lack of affordability and transparency around pricing.25 32 While all of these discussions have been happening, there have been efforts...
to ensure readiness; but stark realities of the need to engage countries have become more and more evident. WHO, UNICEF and other partners provide support, but it is clearly not enough. We have been here before with previous pandemics and risk history repeating itself where demand begins to wane just as supply becomes available. With calls for local manufacturing, there also should be plans to sustain newly installed vaccine manufacturing.

Already, one manufacturing facility in Africa may close due to a lack of demand and Serum Institute of India and Bharat Biotech stopped their production. Countries with the lowest vaccination rates had not ordered their allocation of vaccine (figure 1). Getting the timing right for supply and demand is paramount. Unpredictable or waning demand will most certainly have an impact on the ability to keep manufacturing plants producing and
a lack of demand has been problematic, not boding well for the future of regional manufacturing. Some countries may feel they are past the worst phase of the pandemic, which they are not seeing big surges of disease and can take other measures to control the pandemic or simply opt to wait for a better vaccine, we cannot risk countries or the global community moving on to other priorities, particularly as the risk of new variants has not passed.26 We need open and honest dialogue about the expectations for the way forward to ensure that when manufacturers finally have the vaccines, supply does not become short-dated or discarded.

Lack of demand is just as important to address as lack of supply. In Africa, from 2022 onwards, the biggest concern was not supply, but demand-related factors.36 African leaders have the solutions and have outlined a variety actions to improve accountability, sharing of information, engagement and addressing access obstacles in a more human centred way, leveraging existing platforms such as the US President’s Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) and Malaria Initiative36 or the Global Fund. Perceptions about both the disease and vaccines in the context of the local situation to be addressed while continuing the commitment to obtain supply.36

Some low-vaccination countries, including Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), Madagascar and South Sudan would require nearly 25% of their total health expenditure to vaccinate 40% of their citizens (figure 2) and a UNDP/WHO/UNICEF survey of countries reports over 56% increase in expenditures needed to meet the 70% vaccination goal.37 Many of the same countries have relatively low reported case counts and deaths, contributing to a perception of little disease.37 It is not simply a lack of planning. In DRC, for example, there have been efforts to engage the community, combat misinformation and keep vaccination centres open. Challenges of other diseases such as Ebola and malaria, a health system that is underresourced, health-workers who are overworked and underpaid, and systemic issues of violence and mistrust in government, however, have limited the amount of progress that can be made in a short period of time.38 Increased assistance is needed to address urgent country priorities in addition to access to vaccines in countries struggling to protect their populations and is all parts of achieving justice.

Health system capacity and acceptance of vaccine are also important factors influencing uptake of vaccine and more attention is needed to ensure that the subnational variations are addressed.25 32 Additionally, specific populations, including older adults, migrant workers and refugees, irrespective of their legal status and others, necessitate different delivery strategies. Few countries, for example, have vaccination programmes for older adults, who may be less willing to attend vaccination centres or have other specific concerns that lead to vaccine hesitancy. Addressing the needs of this population requires advance planning and engagement with a coalition of partners who have interest in healthy ageing and understand the unique concerns of this community39 A more organised global effort, including a wide variety of country stakeholders who can identify relevant platforms and help build political will, is needed as well as partners on the ground that can complement the activities of WHO and UNICEF whose focus is largely on younger age groups.39

GLOBAL AND LOCAL TRUST

Research has shown that a globally coordinated approach is required to achieve speed of response and to bring
## Key issues of vaccine equity and justice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Description and impact</th>
<th>Potential solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine nationalism</td>
<td>Hoarding of doses by high-income countries (HICs) leaves non-manufacturing low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) without coverage. Risk of variants, no end to pandemic.</td>
<td>Build on existing platforms such as President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) and the Global Fund to gain commitment in HICs, build capacity and provide needed services in addition to product.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations</td>
<td>While doses are needed, supply is unpredictable and charity doesn’t solve justice issues. Countries can’t absorb short-dated product.</td>
<td>Donations directly to COVAX with adequate dating and the essential ancillaries to meet short-term needs while working for long-term solutions through regional manufacturing hubs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual property</td>
<td>This is highly complex as waiving of IP rights without technology transfer would result in the creation of multiple vaccines each requiring a separate path to licensure.</td>
<td>More balanced approach to limiting corporate profits on public goods while still incentivizing innovation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local manufacturing</td>
<td>Enabling technology transfer to decentralize manufacturing and protect against scarcity with well-trained hubs. Fears have been around quality and training. Further, country-level intelligence is required to ensure that needs are being reflected in supply plans and product development.</td>
<td>Further develop the hub model in stepwise fashion to demonstrate ability not only with COVID-19, but other vaccines. Include understanding of country demand and needs in training to ensure that supply and demand are integrated. Ensure sufficient funding for sustainability of operations (see Section 1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordability</td>
<td>Vaccine hoarding can lead to high prices. Singular focus on pandemic may be unaffordable for countries and result in diversion of resources that exacerbates existing priority health issues.</td>
<td>Greater emphasis on health systems and sustainability of resources developed during the pandemic. Economic modeling to support donor funding of pandemic and other health issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of demand and trust</td>
<td>Demand can be impacted by several things, including concerns about the vaccine itself (e.g., safety), the ability of the system to deliver vaccines, accessibility—particularly if deliberate efforts are not made to reach all populations—mistrust in the system at a global, national and local level. The perceived fairness of allocations also influences demand.</td>
<td>Enable more robust and authentic engagement through ensuring a meaningful voice at all levels. This may mean others outside of institutions leading debates and co-creation of shared strategies using a human-centered approach that addresses health systems’ ability to equitably deliver vaccines to all populations. Growing anti-vaccine sentiment should be addressed in a deliberate manner (see Section 3). Accountability mechanisms must be present to ensure that strategies are implemented fairly. This would include public dashboards with disaggregated data to reach all populations. Use of trusted messengers, including faith and community leaders, and strategies to empower and engage communities. This is a complex issue that must be prioritized, perhaps through the use of nimble and honest brokers that interact with a variety of actors at global and regional levels (e.g., Gavi’s Accelerated Development and Introduction Plans (ADIPs) or initiatives such as Malaria Vaccine Initiative, Haemophilus Influenzae type b (Hib) Initiative, and so on).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to deliver doses sub-nationally or to specific populations</td>
<td>Inequities exist at all levels, but the emphasis is often between countries rather than within a country. Underlying issues may range from a lack of political will, weak health systems, to competing priorities – these issues perpetuate the risk of the health needs of potentially vulnerable populations being left unaddressed.</td>
<td>Stronger systems to enable accountability, including disaggregated data on vaccine coverage, and furthering health system support as a key component of vaccine delivery. Ability to use pandemic funding for priorities that support existing systems and challenges exacerbated by the pandemic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 3  Potential solutions for improving COVID-19 vaccine and vaccination equity and justice. Excler et al.46

the pandemic to an end.40 But as supply issues ease, the progress in equity both among and within countries is revealed. In order to see equitable allocations, there must be three things that happen: the product that fits the needs of the countries, financing to ensure they can access it and the demand from countries.33 The first two have only been partially addressed. Vaccines were quickly developed and produced, including both mRNA vaccines and vector-based vaccines, which, if had gone to plan, would have provided 2 billion doses to vaccinate 20% of
the world by December 2021.41 Although COVAX warned countries, there would be limited supply and hoarding in the acute phase of the pandemic,41 there was little they could do to prevent that. As a result, some countries felt the need to resort to bilateral deals at an elevated price.42 The third element is perhaps the trickiest as it impacts and is impacted by trust between all parties. In times of scarce supply, there needs to be both demand from the countries and some mechanism to monitor and enforce commitments when demand materialises. It is not entirely clear whether LMICs ordered vaccines. When Pfizer was asked about demand, they claimed they reached out.43 Whether they did or not, the perceptions have a way of sowing distrust. It did not help that anti-vaccine activists piled on to further weaponise appropriate health communications around vaccine safety and equity.30

GOVERNING TOWARDS JUSTICE
Global models of governance are inherently complicated and COVAX is no exception. Many actors want a seat at the table and a say in how the pandemic will end. There are many disparate interests that can be challenging to manage, but the responsibility of the coordinator is to bring multiple actors together to define collective action (eg, solidarity) that benefits everyone.44 In the case of COVAX, the equity goal was clearly defined, but high and middle-income countries undermined the COVAX model through bilateral deals at the early stages of the pandemic; ironically, India, an LMIC itself, contributed to the problem by halting planned exports to take care of domestic concerns after a second wave of COVID.44

Some perceive that a select number of global actors, particularly the leaders of COVAX, donors and governments, moved forward in their own vision and vested interests rather than codesigning solutions that may have facilitated not only a better path forward but also a way to enforce.44 These measurements of justice include a realisation of regional manufacturing, participation in research and design and adjustments in the power dynamics between countries through efforts towards increased self-reliance. A more holistic measurement of justice also includes improvements in addressing demand side factors affecting vaccination, that are interconnected with supply. This requires data to track equity goals and the authority of civil society and the public to hold governments accountable. Both global and regional actors play a role in supporting countries with limited strength in their health systems, lack political will and/or resources to absorb vaccines and have competing priorities. Countries need cold storage space at every level (including ultra-cold storage if they use any of the current mRNA vaccines), the ability to ship vaccines and manage the distribution to those that are eligible. Considering that systems to distribute routine immunisations may already be suboptimal in some countries and the vaccines for COVID need to reach populations that are not typically vaccinated, additional support is needed to ensure that shots make it to arms, and that the ability to do so is monitored as a measure of justice. Furthermore, both vaccine hesitancy and the ability to access vaccines, even when supply is available, have the potential to erode trust not only in vaccines but also in the health system more broadly.

To achieve this shared vision of access, equity and justice for COVID-19 vaccines and other priority diseases, countries could work with independent non-governmental organizations or consortium of partners at regional level to provide support consistent with the vision of the country (eg, similar to Gavi’s Accelerated Development and Introduction Plans or product development partnerships). Africa is establishing mRNA technology transfer hubs and a new global biomanufacturing training hub in South Korea will assist in training skilled workers and strengthening regulatory capacity in the regions.45 Hubs such as those in Africa and Asia may also offer opportunities to engage more closely with countries in the regions not only to build capacity, but also to integrate the demand-side intelligence needed to ensure that products reflect the needs of local populations, forecasts are accurate and there is public and political support for the vaccines. While WHO and UNICEF engagement helps, having a dedicated group outside of the UN system may provide additional perspective. Understanding demand, potential barriers and how they are addressed around the region can help establish a more predictable supply of product and innovations to evaluate how barriers to development, licensure, production, distribution, monitoring acceptance and trust, particularly in populations with historically low vaccine uptake should be leveraged.

While at the global-level coordination focuses largely on supply and distribution, country voices still need to be strengthened to ensure product presentations better reflect the needs and challenges of their specific contexts whether products are produced globally or at a regional level. Although vaccines were developed quickly to distribute to the entire world, that does not diminish the need for vaccines that work with the existing platforms and priorities of particular countries. In future, vaccines that do not require refrigerated storage and can be administered as a pill, patch or nasal spray could obviate the need for highly trained healthcare workers to administer vaccines as well as improving vaccine uptake. However, this will require extensive investment in developing novel formulations followed by further clinical trials to assess safety, immunogenicity and efficacy. Delivery of a vaccine that is effective after intramuscular immunisation by a different route may result in considerably reduced efficacy, and if the vaccine is effective, novel correlates of protection must be determined. We can deliver services in the midst of existing inequity in poor countries—we have done it for AIDS with global solidarity (through the GFATM and PEPFAR) and with political will at country level.13 Strengthened engagement and coordination with existing priority programmes can help strengthen political will and lead to greater equity and justice through
ownership of shared goals. A further outline of issues is provided in figure 3.

**CONCLUSION**

Although sufficient supply of COVID-19 vaccines is no longer an issue, the necessity of adjusting our approach to access, equity and justice remains. In particular, as a global community, we need to converge on what justice means. This will be difficult with competing interests of so many actors, but at the very least, it must include elements of vaccine security, potentially in the form of regional manufacturing that produces an affordable, predictable supply of quality vaccines that reflect the needs of countries in the region while balancing the challenges of ensuring demand for vaccination. Engaging countries of all income levels, including both state and non-state actors to cocreate a new shared vision of access, equity and justice is an urgent action that must include measurements of success of the items listed above. Engagement in a common vision will help all of us and cocreation of that vision must be defined, agreed on and implemented well in advance of the next pandemic.
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