## BMJ Global Health

# Going beyond access to health information: a pandemic call to action

Soumyadeep Bhaumik (D), 1,2 Pranab Chatterjee<sup>3</sup>

Going beyond access to health information: a pandemic call to action. BMJ Global Health 2021;6:e006472. doi:10.1136/ bmjgh-2021-006472

Received 30 May 2021 Accepted 31 May 2021



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Meta-research & Evidence Synthesis Unit, The George Institute for Global Health India. New Delhi, India

<sup>2</sup>The George Institute for Global Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>3</sup>Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland,

### Correspondence to

Dr Soumyadeep Bhaumik; sbhaumik@georgeinstitute. org.in

To cite: Bhaumik S, Chatterjee P. About a decade earlier we brought to attention that the lack of access to health information is 'a social injustice that the global health community cannot afford to ignore' and argued that governments are morally and legally obliged to ensure access to health information. Since then, a lot of progress has been made in the domain including the recent high-profile statement by the World Medical Association that health information 'is a major contributor to morbidity and mortality, especially in low and middle-income countries (LMICs), and among vulnerable groups in all countries'.2 Others have also argued that access to health information is a key component of universal health coverage.<sup>3</sup> In the backdrop of the COVID-19 pandemic, we have seen significant investments from multinational agencies, governments, nonprofits and private actors to disseminate health information. However, a narrow focus on providing access to health information alone, without any investment or thought on how information can be translated by people to meaningful health outcomes, is proving to be counterproductive.

The need for masks, hand hygiene, physical distancing, stay-at-home efforts, ventilation and vaccinations have been key areas of interest in generating information products.<sup>4</sup> Billions of dollars and countless volunteer hours are being invested in developing health information materials in myriad formats, with digital and mass media being the preferred dissemination modes. While health information is essential, larger questions remain unanswered. How can people ensure physical distancing in densely crowded urban areas?5 How do people struggling to survive purchase masks? How can people living without adequate housing and sanitation comply with hand hygiene and stay-at-home orders?6 In many LMICs, the transactional nature of society implies that ensuring physically distanced workplaces, public places and transport, without radical changes in landscape

and deurbanisation of the economy, is difficult, if not impossible. These issues are discussed, but norm-setting institutions or governments do not act on it. Unpragmatic technical advice, which might be theoretically sound, but practically not feasible, has been met with cynicism and derision by the people, because they further perpetuate inequity and injustice.8

Vague assumptions of end-user needs, without any serious attempts to understand the context in which health information is being provided, have led to an inevitable disconnect between health information products and people who use them. Broadly all health promotion theories underline that information alone without social support and ecosystem changes cannot bring positive behavioural change. 9 10 Naturally, the impact of health information products on transforming health behaviours at the population or community level remains minimal, if at all. Governments have also attempted to shift blame to people<sup>11-13</sup> when challenged on policy and governance inaction. Providing health information alone should not absolve governments of the responsibility to provide health—a basic human right.

In response to the ongoing humanitarian crisis of COVID-19 in South Asia, many government agencies and volunteer groups have advocated for, and developed information products, which are being disseminated digitally or through mass media. While well intentioned, it has societal consequences, which humanitarian actors need to be cognisant about. Urban, educated and affluent populations, who also have greater access to formal health services, are able to rapidly adopt and use the information to achieve meaningful health gains. 14 Digital dissemination of home management of COVID-19 information contributed to massive hoarding and black marketing of essential medical supplies including oxygen, oximeters and inhalers in urban India. This disturbs the supply chain

logistics across the country. Lower penetration of health information, and paucity of supportive infrastructure in rural areas, means that such action strengthens the forces of inequity and injustice. At a societal level, such actions endanger social cohesion—making the rift between 'us' and 'them' more obvious. Making digital transmission of information the modality *du jour* is a luxury humanitarian effort which we can ill afford now. Perhaps it will not be an overstatement to say that a digital-only humanitarian response is the 'eighth sin' of humanitarian medicine. <sup>15</sup>

We urge multinational agencies, governments, global health organisations and humanitarian crisis response groups to not limit themselves to generating health information products. There is a need to systematically invest in efforts to understand the contexts of target audiences; to a priori define information needs granularly and consider the direct and indirect impacts of their actions through an equity and social justice lens. Doing rapid research, reflecting on strategies enabling access to health information, outlining and evaluating how different equity groups interact with health information and pondering on the intentional and unintentional consequences of providing health information should be the norm—not an exception. Governments, volunteer groups and humanitarian actors all need to take the onus of transforming health and go beyond the bare minimum of providing access to health information. Investments during humanitarian response are scarce and they need to be distributed across health, not solely on provision of health information. The right to health information sits within the right to health. As such, providing access to health information is a means to an end, and not the end in itself.

Twitter Soumyadeep Bhaumik @DrSoumyadeepB and Pranab Chatterjee @Scepticemia

**Contributors** SB conceptualised and wrote the first draft, which was subsequently edited by PC. Final draft was agreed on by consensus and both authors approved the submitted manuscript.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Disclaimer** Views expressed are that of authors and might not necessarily be similar to their employers.

Competing interests For more than a decade, SB and PC have voluntarily advocated for access to health information, including as a part of Health Information For All (https://www.hifa.org/). SB and PC continue to voluntarily interact with and support many humanitarian groups working on COVID-19 in South Asia.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement There are no data associated with this work.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### ORCID ID

Soumyadeep Bhaumik http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9579-4453

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1 Bhaumik S, Pakenham-Walsh N, Chatterjee P, et al. Governments are legally obliged to ensure adequate access to health information. Lancet Glob Health 2013;1:e129–30.
- 2 Pakenham-Walsh N, Godlee F. Healthcare information for all. BMJ 2020:368:m759.
- 3 Royston G, Pakenham-Walsh N, Zielinski C. Universal access to essential health information: accelerating progress towards universal health coverage and other SDG health targets. *BMJ Glob Health* 2020;5:e002475.
- 4 WHO. COVID-19 strategy update Geneva: World Health organization, 2020. Available: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/covid-19-strategy-update [Accessed 24 May 2021].
- 5 Maroko AR, Nash D, Pavilonis BT. COVID-19 and inequity: a comparative spatial analysis of new York City and Chicago hot spots. *J Urban Health* 2020;97:461–70.
- 6 Corburn J, Vlahov D, Mberu B, et al. Slum health: arresting COVID-19 and improving well-being in urban informal settlements. J Urban Health 2020;97:348–57.
- 7 Boland B, De Smet A, Palter R. Reimagining the office and work life after COVID-19 2020.
- 8 Chackalackal DJ, Al-Aghbari Ahmed Asa'Ad, Jang SY, et al. The Covid-19 pandemic in low- and middle-income countries, who carries the burden? review of mass media and publications from six countries. *Pathog Glob Health* 2021;115:178–87.
- 9 Raingruber B. Health promotion theories. *Contemporary health promotion in nursing practice* 2014;53:53–94.
- 10 DiClemente RJ, Crosby RA, Kegler MC. Emerging theories in health promotion practice and research: John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- Times Now Digital. Surge in coronavirus cases: 'Negligence towards COVID-19 appropriate behaviour' says Harsh Vardhan New Delih: Times Now, 2021. Available: https://www.timesnownews.com/india/article/surge-in-coronavirus-cases-negligence-towards-covid-19-appropriate-behaviour-says-harsh-vardhan/732892 [Accessed 16 Mar 2021].
- 2 Times of India. Public showed COVID-inappropriate behaviour and physicians followed COVID-inappropriate treatment: times of India, 2021. Available: https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/life-style/health-fitness/health-news/public-showed-covid-inappropriate-behaviour-and-physicians-followed-covid-inappropriate-treatment/articleshow/82664593.cms [Accessed 16 May 2021].
- 13 Roach A. Boris Johnson blames coronavirus surge on people becoming 'complacent about transmission': Evening Standard, 2020. Available: https://www.standard.co.uk/news/uk/boris-johnsoncoronavirus-surge-public-complacent-a4562411.html [Accessed 2 Oct 2020].
- 14 Bhaumik S. COVID-19 vaccination in India: we need equity. London: BMJ Global Health Blogs, 2021. https://blogs.bmj.com/bmjgh/2021/ 05/18/covid-19-vaccination-in-india-we-need-equity/
- 15 Welling DR, Ryan JM, Burris DG, et al. Seven SINS of humanitarian medicine. World J Surg 2010;34:466–70.