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INTRODUCTION

TikTok is a short video sharing social media platform that has grown rapidly since its launch, amassing over 1 billion monthly global users as of September 2021.1 Users on TikTok create and engage with algorithm-suggested content related to their interests on a variety of subjects, including health-related or implicated topics. The global growth of TikTok, while offering an engaging experience for users and approach to connecting to diverse people, is accompanied by concerns, such as the quality of health advice offered in videos and sensitive content. In this commentary, we argue that public health is served by paying urgent attention to the potential health-related implications and opportunities of TikTok and suggest a research agenda to inform decision-makers, health providers, researchers and the public.

PUBLIC HEALTH-RELATED CONCERNS AND RESEARCH FOCUS AREAS

Health-harming product promotion

Early research illustrates products detrimental to health, such as alcohol or vaping items, are widely viewed and portrayed positively on TikTok. For example, a study found that the top 100 videos with the #alcohol hashtag were viewed 291,999,100 times and 98% portrayed alcohol use in a positive manner.2 In the past, health-harming industries have used influencers—defined as influential persons online—to promote harmful products. The vaping company JUUL employed Instagram influencers and affiliate marketers to promote their products that now constitute a public health crisis.3 Investigation is needed to explore the marketing, platform facilitators and financial drivers behind the portrayal or promotion of such products on TikTok. Researchers may consider the commercial determinants of health4 to frame their research questions and conceptualise health-harming industry motives and prior advertising strategies on social media.

Medical advice and health/illness portrayal

There exists evidence that TikTok influencers or users are offering medical-related advice or discuss health-related topics that receive significant engagement. For example, table 1 denotes the popularity of various health-related hashtags, such as #MentalHealth, which received over 17 billion views on tagged videos.5 Several studies document the portrayal of certain health-related topic education, such as recovering from eating disorders6 and sexual education.7 However, the quality of the information offered, qualifications of the persons offering advice or their conflicts of interest, are largely unknown. A recent study on diabetes information on TikTok found that while good actors, such as qualified organisations, gave evidence-based information, those made by for-profit groups had poor quality.8 A study evaluating medical information related to acne identified that the top 100 videos with the #acne hashtag had ‘information with serious to potentially important shortcomings’.9 Research is needed to understand who is giving medical advice, the quality of the advice offered, how
users interact and incorporate information received into their lives and the opportunities for public health organisations to promote evidence-based information to TikTok users.

Dis/misinformation spread

Like other social media platforms, the spread of dis/misinformation is a concern on TikTok. A few studies document the spread of public health-related misinformation, on the topics of COVID-19\(^1\) and prostate cancer.\(^1\) The extent of dis/misinformation on other topics has not yet been investigated. Research is warranted to examine dis/misinformation on all public-health and non-public health topics, including but not limited to vaccines, cancer, experimental medicine, conspiracy theories, alternative and unproven medicine, election integrity, drug/medical regulatory processes, climate change and other important topics. The role of TikTok as a platform, including the strategies or processes in place to prevent and report dis/misinformation, also warrant attention.

Viewing sensitive or hateful content

Several TikTok trends depict creators sharing personal, sometimes traumatic, stories such as surviving sexual assault, suicide or an abusive experience such as domestic violence.\(^12\) Sharing the stories, while courageous, may reach persons unprepared to view the content, such as young youth and recent survivors of the aforementioned experiences. Similarly, the person sharing such stories may not be prepared for their video becoming viral or may require support. In other cases—hateful speech, such as racism, sexism or xenophobia, may proliferate on the platform. For example, a recent report from Media Matters for America, a non-profit media watchdog group, documents how the TikTok algorithm may lead users to transphobic content.\(^13\) However, TikTok enables warnings on sensitive or graphic content, provides certain support for users,\(^14\) and has banned hate speech.\(^15\)

Further research is needed to evaluate the effectiveness of TikTok moderation policies, the dissemination and portrayal of sensitive topics and the experiences of users reacting to and posting such content to determine avenues of support. We encourage research from a duty to care perspective\(^16\) that strategises how to connect users to needed resources, services and follow-up when appropriate. Specific focus is needed to protect underserved groups or those that experience any form of discrimination.

Problematic usage and addiction

A speculative journalistic literature exists labelling TikTok potentially addictive.\(^17\) Research is warranted to investigate how users interact with the platform, such as attitudes towards the platform, problematic usage prevalence and facilitators, differences by age, gender and other demographic variables and evaluation of TikTok policies and initiatives to prevent and minimise overuse harms. Specific research is needed to examine the potential consequences from the algorithms underpinning the TikTok platform from ethical and regulatory perspectives.

Corporate activity

TikTok is a large for-profit business entity that requires research on their business and political activities to ensure accountability in their platform and actions. Other social media platforms, such as Facebook, are alleged to lobby governments or resist certain public health-related calls, such as moderating vaccine misinformation.\(^18\) We encourage researchers, particularly from the commercial determinants of health, to conduct research into TikTok as a corporate political actor and investigate their business practices. In making this research call, we do not make any presumption on the motives or actions of TikTok,
but their platform size, reach, resources and influence on discourse, coupled with the historical comparison of other social media platforms and their impacts on public health, warrant attention. We urge TikTok to assist researchers by developing accessible and transparent research tools to monitor content trends, community guidelines enforcement and platform design.

CONCLUSION

TikTok has changed social media since its expeditious rise. Public health has a responsibility to examine the implications, opportunities and impacts of TikTok on health and advocate for changes where necessary. Given the rapid growth of TikTok—research is needed urgently. Particular demographics, such as young people, use TikTok at higher rates and need focused research attention to understand their app engagement and perceptions. Research should be conducted independently from TikTok and any conflicts of interests when funded by or working with TikTok declared.
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