Lessons learned from the development and implementation of an electronic paediatric emergency and acute care database in Lilongwe, Malawi
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ABSTRACT
As the field of global child health increasingly focuses on inpatient and emergency care, there is broad recognition of the need for comprehensive, accurate data to guide decision-making at both patient and system levels. Limited financial and human resources present barriers to reliable and detailed clinical documentation at hospitals in low- and-middle-income countries (LMICs). Kamuzu Central Hospital (KCH) is a tertiary referral hospital in Malawi where the paediatric ward admits up to 3000 children per month. To improve availability of robust inpatient data, we collaboratively designed an acute care database on behalf of PACHIMAKE, a consortium of Malawi and US-based institutions formed to improve paediatric care at KCH. We assessed the existing health information systems at KCH, reviewed quality care metrics, engaged clinical providers and interviewed local stakeholders who would directly use the database or be involved in its collection. Based on the information gathered, we developed electronic forms collecting data at admission, follow-up and discharge for children admitted to the KCH paediatric wards. The forms record demographic information, basic medical history, clinical condition and pre-referral management; track diagnostic processes, including laboratory studies, imaging modalities and consultations; and document the final diagnoses and disposition obtained from clinical files and corroborated through review of existing admission and death registries. Our experience with the creation of this database underscores the importance of fully assessing existing health information systems and involving all stakeholders early in the planning process to ensure meaningful and sustainable implementation.

INTRODUCTION
Nearly 6 million children under 5 years die each year worldwide, and that burden is disproportionally borne by those in low- and-middle-income countries (LMICs).1 In resource-constrained settings in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), acute and emergency facilities are often the primary providers of paediatric medical care. Despite this fact, acute care has been neglected in many of the initiatives put forth to improve child survival.2 Adherence to the WHO guidelines is often suboptimal, with deficient or absent triage of patients, emergency care and inpatient management.3–5 Furthermore, there are inadequate numbers of clinical providers and basic medications and supplies.6 7 Poor quality of care has become an even greater barrier to mortality reduction than inadequate access to healthcare.8

To decrease paediatric morbidity and mortality, there is an increasing focus on strengthening the quality of global emergency and acute inpatient health services.6–11 However, robust data to guide and evaluate system improvement interventions in SSA is limited.12 13 Quality data are necessary for action and accountability14; without reliable information, it is challenging to make informed decisions about resource allocation.
and process improvement. We, and others, have found that health system data collection is often costly, uncoordinated and disconnected from decision-making and has missing or inaccurate data.\textsuperscript{8,15–19} In 2010, eight prominent health agencies advocated for improved quality of data to facilitate health performance monitoring and health interventions impact assessment.\textsuperscript{13} To accomplish this, it is critical to develop reliable data collection systems that provide accurate information about patients, diseases and treatment outcomes.\textsuperscript{14,19}

Here, we describe the creation of an electronic paediatric acute care database at Kamuzu Central Hospital (KCH) in Lilongwe, Malawi. This database was created on behalf of the Paediatric Alliance for Child Health Improvement in Malawi at KCH and Environors (PACHI-MAKE), a consortium of Malawi and US-based institutions formed in 2016 to leverage the resources of institutions working in paediatric emergency care at KCH to improve care quality.\textsuperscript{20} At initial meetings, KCH paediatric administrators and clinicians noted that there was little known about the relative burden of diseases and the specifics of clinical care being provided in the inpatient setting. They therefore identified the department’s most pressing need as the collection of more comprehensive data to identify areas of inadequate or inconsistent care provision, serve as a baseline for future quality improvement (QI) interventions, assess effectiveness of these interventions and support external funding applications. To address this need, we developed the acute care database. Its primary objective was to improve the completeness, breadth and accessibility of information collected about paediatric acute and emergency care at KCH.

**HEALTH INFORMATION SYSTEMS IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA**

In resource-constrained settings, most systems collecting patient-level health information have employed paper forms.\textsuperscript{21,22} Paper data collection is feasible in low-resource contexts as it is not dependent on data entry equipment, electricity or internet availability, it mimics the format of clinical records, and it can be carried out by clerks with minimal clinical or information technology training. However, this method’s reliability is uncertain, as has been noted in Malawi specifically,\textsuperscript{25} and it is not conducive to real-time data analysis or feedback to clinical providers.\textsuperscript{24} Additionally, monitoring and evaluation of national programmes targeting improvement of health outcomes are challenging as paper forms require manual aggregation by data clerks or health information systems staff.

To improve ease of access to clinical information and increase the scope of gathered data, electronic data collection tools and databases have been developed in SSA. Most of these systems have primarily focused on HIV/AIDS, other infectious diseases, or specific conditions or patient populations such as newborn health or traumatic injuries.\textsuperscript{17,21,22,25–29} Some systems have worked to integrate data from these disease-specific programmes into existing aggregate electronic systems, specifically the District Health Information System 2 (DHIS2), an online, open-source software for reporting and organisation of data collected at health facilities that has been implemented across SSA.\textsuperscript{30}

Fewer data collection systems, however, have sought to broadly document acute and/or emergency care. In Malawi, researchers at Queen Elizabeth Central Hospital in Blantyre (the tertiary referral hospital for the southern region of the country) demonstrated the feasibility and utility of an electronic database for the adult internal medicine wards.\textsuperscript{24} In Kenya, Mike English and colleagues developed an electronic clinical data management tool to document and support inpatient paediatric care within the Clinical Information Network, which includes 14 district-level hospitals throughout the country.\textsuperscript{31} Review of the experiences of these groups and others informed the design and implementation of our database in the KCH Paediatric Department.

**PAEDIATRIC ACUTE CARE AT KCH**

KCH is the tertiary facility for the central region of Malawi, a catchment area of approximately 7.5 million people.\textsuperscript{32} There are four such facilities in the country, serving as referral hospitals for all district hospitals in their respective regions.\textsuperscript{33} Although patients are charged ‘bypass fees’ if they present directly to tertiary facilities without referral, there is no true gatekeeping system, and referral hospitals, including KCH, therefore also provide primary and secondary level services.\textsuperscript{33,34} The majority of paediatric patients older than 2 weeks of age presenting to KCH are initially seen at the Under 5 (U5) clinic, which serves as the triage area, paediatric outpatient department and primary source of inpatient admissions (figure 1). However, it is not uncommon for patients to enter the hospital ward directly, bypassing U5 and its triage system.

Paediatric patients with trauma are also evaluated in a separate area. Those who are treated and discharged home from U5 are considered outpatients, whereas those who require further treatment or monitoring after initial evaluation are admitted to the hospital as inpatients. Approximately 200–400 patients are seen in the U5 clinic each day, leading to more than 27,000 admissions each year. A triage system based on the WHO’s Emergency Triage, Assessment, and Treatment (ETAT) guidelines was implemented in U5 in 2009–2010.\textsuperscript{35} This change was associated with a substantial decrease in mortality; however, current rates remain unacceptably high, and the regular practice of ETAT has waned.\textsuperscript{36}

**EXISTING HEALTH INFORMATION SYSTEMS AT KCH**

Prior to designing the database, it was important to first understand the existing systems for health information collection and storage in the KCH Paediatric Department. This was to ensure that the planned database
augmented the existing system and allowed for collaboration with and engagement of current staff.

The Health Sector Strategic Plan for Malawi includes as a key component the provision of reliable, complete, accessible, timely and consistent health information to be used for evidence-based decision-making at all levels of the health system. At the national level, DHIS2 is employed for reporting and organisation of health information. Aggregate data from each health centre are sent, often on paper, to the Health Management Information System (HMIS) officer at the district office, who then enters the information into DHIS2. However, at the time of our database’s development, health indicators from tertiary hospitals had a separate reporting system and were not yet fully incorporated into DHIS2. This integration of tertiary care data is still in process at time of publication.

At KCH, the patient-level information is collected in register books by each clinical department and summarised on reporting forms, which are submitted to the HMIS department. Spreadsheet and word processor software are used to generate reports. Required statistics (e.g. number of admissions, number of operations and common diagnoses) are reported on request to the Central Monitoring and Evaluation Division at the Ministry of Health (MOH).

Paediatric emergency and acute care data are therefore primarily collected in two places: the government registers of the HMIS department and paper clinical charts. In U5, the Paediatric Department’s HMIS data clerks assign a unique identifier to each patient and enter them into an HMIS register book. The identifier is also recorded on the patient’s clinical file, if admitted. The numbering system resets each year. If a patient is admitted more than once within the same year for the same reason, for example, malaria, they will be followed using the same HMIS number. Each patient is assigned a new HMIS number every year or if they are evaluated twice in the same year for different reasons.

In U5, the HMIS clerks collect basic demographic and clinical information including age, name of referral facility, reason for admission (if admitted to the inpatient ward) and any treatment administered (if discharged after initial evaluation) in the register books. Those who are discharged home are entered in a separate book from those who are admitted. In addition, the treating clinician in U5 starts a paper clinical file for admitted patients where the details of evaluation and management while admitted are documented. When discharged from KCH, the guardians are requested to leave the clinical file at the paediatric ward’s data office (Figure 1). There, the HMIS clerks record the final diagnosis, length of stay, ‘summary of treatment,’ and if a surgical procedure was performed in a discharge register. There is a separate register for those who die while hospitalised containing only very limited clinical information. The clinical files are stored grouped by month and disposition (discharge or death).
In summary, the government HMIS at KCH consists of multiple paper registers that include only basic information and may not be reliable for estimating trends in mortality.40 Furthermore, a recent audit of paediatric death files at KCH found multiple deficiencies in documentation in the clinical files including referral information, vital signs, and outcomes of laboratory, imaging, and specialty consultations.41 It is also not uncommon for guardians to inadvertently take the files home, leading to incomplete outcome data. Therefore, for the paediatric department to use these sources to inform QI initiatives or track trends, it must manually aggregate the patient-level data, a time-consuming, inefficient and potentially unreliable process.

EXISTING PATIENT DATABASES AT KCH

Successful research-oriented patient databases have been implemented at KCH in other departments, including Medicine and Surgery. These are described elsewhere and have provided useful information about targeted prevention measures.42–44 Through meetings with key personnel responsible for these databases, we learnt their implementation logistics and ongoing processes. We assessed data collection forms, which influenced the production of our database forms. We also shadowed data officers working with the surgery database to observe workflow and integrated their suggestions for overcoming the challenges encountered during implementation.

ACCREDEDATOR AND STAKEHOLDER ASSESSMENTS

Crucial to the success of our database was early engagement of all stakeholders. We identified nursing staff, paediatric consultants, department administrators, clinical officers (COs), interns and other learners rotating on the wards, as well as the government-employed HMIS data clerks, as the key stakeholders. We conducted meetings with all groups to review what data were already being recorded in the HMIS registers and received verbal feedback on what additional information should be included. In addition, a subset of COs, intern medical officers, nurses and CO bachelor students completed written questionnaires (table 1). The stakeholders identified socioeconomic information, initial presentation, inpatient testing and clinical outcomes as additional priorities. Through this process, we identified interested Malawian clinicians to be ‘champions’ supporting database implementation. These individuals are COs who serve as a clinical resource for the data clerks and conduct audits to ensure data quality; there is one person assigned to this role at any given time during database implementation. Finally, we made sure to review and include pertinent metrics and standards assessed by the only internationally accredited QI and accreditation body for healthcare facilities in SSA, the Council for Health Service Accreditation of Southern Africa (COHSASA).

PAEDIATRIC EMERGENCY AND ACUTE CARE DATABASE

Using the information gleaned from the groundwork described above, we designed and planned the database to inform the development of specific QI interventions targeted at modifiable barriers to care, with the ultimate goal of building on previous improvements in inpatient mortality. Specifically, we had the following aims:

1. Describe the demographics and initial clinical presentation of all children ages 6 months to 3 years (later expanded to ages 2 weeks to 5 years) acutely admitted to KCH Department of Paediatrics.
2. Describe the initial testing and interventions provided to patients on admission.
3. Obtain accurate and thorough outcomes data, including discharge diagnoses, medications prescribed and patient education provided.
4. Identify modifiable gaps in the provision of care to acutely ill paediatric patients, by identifying delays in labs, blood transfusions, investigations and consults.

Form development and evolution

We developed the data collection forms in collaboration with the KCH clinicians, HMIS leadership and representatives from the PACHIMAKE institutions. They were based on the aforementioned questionnaire, free-form small group discussions, existing clinical forms and HMIS registers, and review of COHSASA metrics. We designed the electronic form using the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) application, a secure web-based platform for building and maintaining online databases,45 which was also used for similar purposes in Kenya.31 It has mobile app functionality that allows for offline data entry. The database project was approved by the University of North Carolina (UNC) Institutional Review Board and the National Health Science Research Committee in Malawi. The requirement for consent was waived because the information being collected in the database is routine clinical data.

The database data clerks are employed through UNC Project-Malawi, a collaboration between UNC, the Malawi MOH and KCH located on KCH’s campus. During a pilot of several months, the clerks collected data on paper forms. They then transitioned to using tablets to directly enter data into the electronic REDCap forms. We have modified the variables assessed several times over the course of the project based on clerk and departmental feedback to ensure data quality and improve clerk efficiency. The full list of currently collected variables is listed in table 2.

Patient population

The database was first implemented in the KCH paediatric wards in September 2017 and is ongoing at the time of this publication. From September 2017 to December 2018, we followed all patients aged 6 months to 3 years who were admitted for inpatient evaluation and treatment. Funding limitations required us to initially restrict our age cohort, and this age range was chosen.
Table 1 Questions and example responses from free-form, paper questionnaires completed by key stakeholders during planning of the paediatric acute care database. In total, this survey was completed by six intern medical officers, one nutritionist, one paediatric clinical officer bachelor student, four clinical officers and two nurses. The questionnaires were designed by authors EJC and AET.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Example Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Beyond what is currently collected*, what additional data would you like to see gathered? | ► “I would like to see if you can collect blood transfusion data. Thus, whether child required a transfusion and if child actually got the blood transfusion” (Intern medical officer)  
  ► “Height, MUAC [middle upper arm circumference]” (Intern medical officer)  
  ► “Medication administered pre-referral” (Intern medical officer)  
  ► “On patients who are discharged, if possible to include date of review if necessary and when to come back for review in clinic” (Clinical officer)  
  ► “HIV and nutrition assessment in under 5 and discharge book” (Clinical officer)  
  ► “Deaths of patients referred” (Nurse)                                                                                                           |
| Are there any specific conditions for which you would like more in-depth data to be collected? If yes, what particular information are you interested in? | ► “RBS [random blood sugar] and Hb [hemoglobin] in anyone with a diagnosis of malaria” (Intern medical officer)  
  ► “Recognition of need for NRU [Nutritional Rehabilitation Unit] assessment on admission in malnutrition-related deaths” (Intern medical officer)  
  ► “Malaria – role of early blood transfusion in cerebral malaria” (Clinical officer)  
  ► “Heart conditions – use of cardiac medication, antibiotics in RHD [rheumatic heart disease]” (Intern medical officer)  
  ► “Diabetes – insulin/medications” (Nurse)                                                                                                             |
| How with the data from this database be useful for you? How do you hope to use it? | ► “Influencing policies on management at primary health centres for example, health centres…” (Intern medical officer)  
  ► “Will help us to improve our data quality…and patients care” (Nurse)  
  ► “The data is [sic] like a microscope which will help us to see where we are weak and where to improve on and do much better” (Clinical officer)  
  ► “Act as a baseline and reference for future improved [sic] and research” (Clinical officer)  
  ► “To determine how many malnourished children admitted per year. Prepare for therapeutic supplies” (Nutritionist) |

*Questionnaire respondents were provided with a list of the variables currently collected in the Health Management Information System Admission and Discharge books.

by the Head of Department as the group at highest risk of mortality excluding neonates. In January 2019, we expanded to include all children aged 2 weeks to 5 years. Children under 2 weeks were not included because they are triaged and admitted in a different building from the other paediatric patients, and their existing health information system is separate from that of the other paediatric patients.

Procedures
The database data clerks underwent training on how to (1) collect patient data from the medical files and patient caregivers; (2) obtain vital signs and measure anthropometric statistics including weight, height and mid-upper arm circumference when clinicians do not; and (3) conduct an ETAT evaluation to recognise a sick child. They are stationed in U5 24 hours a day, 7 days a week alongside the HMIS clerks. Each database record represents one admission for one patient, and each admission is assigned a unique database ID. The database clerks document demographic information, clinical presentation, initial diagnostic evaluation and interventions at the time of patient triage (table 2) through direct interaction with patient guardians and review of the clinical files. The project’s data clerks work closely with the HMIS clerks to ensure the necessary information is collected for both routine reporting in the HMIS registers and database entry. The ‘champion’ CO is available for assistance with interpreting the clinical file.

The admitted patients are then followed throughout their hospital stay. The study data clerks move throughout the paediatric inpatient wards to collect follow-up and outcome data and to identify patients who may have been admitted without passing through U5. They record the dates that radiology investigations, labs and specialist
For instance, for several ongoing QI and hypothesis-driven projects.

The data obtained have provided baseline information current use and they make corrections accordingly in REDCap. Small typographical errors, is provided to each data clerk, and they make corrections accordingly in REDCap.

**Data quality and access**

A project manager supervises the data clerks, provides administrative support and audits 10% of all the discharges each month, comparing the data entered in REDCap with what is documented in the clinical files. The clinical champion also audits all patient deaths. Feedback regarding data entry errors, most commonly small typographical errors, is provided to each data clerk, and they make corrections accordingly in REDCap.

The database is readily available in deidentified form to the KCH Paediatrics Department leadership and any interested clinical providers or staff. Reports are generated and disseminated to the department monthly, and the data are presented at the paediatric handover meeting every 2 months. Data are also accessible by US-based investigators from the PACHIMAKE institutions.

**Current use**

The data obtained have provided baseline information for several ongoing QI and hypothesis-driven projects. For instance, it was noted that approximately 15%–20% of patients were not tested for HIV despite the presence of a specific team whose charge is to test all paediatric inpatients. This led to a root cause analysis and implementation of improved communication between the HIV testing team and database data clerks to identify untested children and consistently document results in the same place in the patient files. Additionally, investigators have sought to understand the epidemiology of severe anaemia and the effect of blood transfusion on patient outcomes. This work has led to Geographic Information System mapping of the health facilities from which patients with severe anaemia were referred to identify those that have higher rates of severe anaemia and mortality following transfer to KCH. Finally, data regarding severe acute malnutrition (SAM) have shown that, despite the documentation of anthropometric measurements consistent with SAM, the diagnosis of SAM itself is not documented (and presumably not recognised) 60% of the time. 

Challenges and limitations

Throughout the development, implementation and maintenance of this database, we faced obstacles (table 3). Obtaining funding to augment an existing system with the goal of improving data quality, but without a primary research focus, was difficult. This challenge limited the patient population initially included in the database. There was an initial misconception among those involved in the HMIS system that the database was being designed to replace, as opposed to augment and collaborate with, their existing data collection process. This was
Table 3  Challenges and strategies developed in response during the process of developing and implementing the KCH Paediatric Emergency and Acute Care Registry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Strategies/Lessons Learnt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Need for integration into existing HMIS system | - Multiple meetings with HMIS leadership were key to designing an integrated and complementary process  
- Inclusion of HMIS data clerks into PACHIMAKE data clerk trainings  
- Physical placement of PACHIMAKE data clerks next to the HMIS data clerks to encourage collaboration and teamwork  
- Frequent bidirectional communication with the HMIS staff |
| Multiple stakeholders with myriad interests | - Multiple meetings with all groups of staff and learners in KCH Paediatric Department conducted early on in the planning process  
- Questionnaire to solicit variables of interest from stakeholders |
| Importance of local ownership of the project | - Project designed to fulfil need identified by KCH Paediatric Department administration  
- Appointment of a clinician champion  
- Local project manager to supervise data clerks and troubleshoot day-to-day challenges |
| Multiple patient entry and exit points/paths | - Data clerks perform ‘liwamba’ (Chichewa for a ‘hunt’) twice per shift to locate and follow admitted patients  
- Re-education of staff to direct patients to U5  
- Placement of data clerks at most common entry point (U5) |
| Ensuring data quality | - Targeted trainings for data clerks  
- Periodic reassessment of vital sign and anthropometric measurement skills  
- Monthly audits of deaths and 10% of discharges with feedback to the clerks  
- Cross-checking of ward and database registers of discharged and deceased patients |

HMIS, Health Management Information System; KCH, Kamuzu Central Hospital; PACHIMAKE, Paediatric Alliance for Child Health Improvement in Malawi at KCH and Environs; U5, Under 5.

unexpected and unintended and led us to more thoroughly and frequently engage with the HMIS leadership in our planning process. Additionally, we have sought to consider the HMIS and PACHIMAKE data clerks as one group, encouraging all members to participate in trainings related to the database. Occasionally, technical issues arose with REDCap, and we have frequently engaged the support of the local and remote information technology staff. We are still working on the most productive and efficient way to encourage and facilitate the use of the data to inform local care algorithms or policies by Malawian clinicians and administrators. Time constraints and the burdens of daily clinical care likely limit this engagement. Finally, due to financial and logistical limitations, the database was not designed to be an electronic medical record that would allow for real-time visibility and accessibility of clinical data by clinicians; they still rely on the paper forms for day-to-day care. It also does not yet interface with national-level health information systems, which has been identified by the MOH as a key aspect of reporting systems.33

Future directions
Our goal is to engage more Malawian clinicians and investigators to use the database to answer questions pertinent to their clinical practice and guide further QI initiatives. This engagement will, in part, occur through a workshop on manuscript development and research skills in spring 2020. Potential areas of focus include mapping of referring facilities to identify those that are associated with high risk of mortality following transfer to KCH, assessment of pneumonia management as compared with WHO standards of care, and rates of readmission by discharge diagnosis. In addition, we would like to link our database to the DHIS2 system as it is expanded to tertiary hospitals, an endeavour that is currently in the initial planning phase. We are currently working to train the HMIS clerks on the use of the tablets and the database to build their capacity.

CONCLUSIONS
Here, we report the development and implementation of an electronic database of paediatric emergency and acute care in Malawi. We demonstrate that creating and implementing a clinical database in a busy paediatric inpatient ward is feasible. Keys to its success include engagement of a broad range of stakeholders early in the planning process and a thorough evaluation of current health information systems at the hospital level to ensure the
planned activities enhance and do not impede existing processes. In addition, the intentional development of a robust data collection system that covers an entire ward, rather than focusing on a specific disease process, supports both quality efforts and hypothesis-driven research. Our database is providing valuable information regarding paediatric patients at KCH and baseline data for assessment of QI initiatives’ impact. We believe that others who seek to improve the quality of data at LMIC may face similar challenges and offer our experience as one approach to the problem. In the future, it will be important that our database efficiently interface with DHIS2 to ensure its maximum utility and sustainability.
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