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ABSTRACT

Introduction Fertility levels among adolescents remain high in many settings. The objective of this paper was to review the available literature about postpartum and lactational amenorrhoea among adolescents in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs).

Methods We searched Medline, Embase, Global Health and CINAHL Plus databases using terms capturing adolescence and lactational or postpartum amenorrhoea. Inclusion criteria included publication date since 1990, data from LMICs, and topic related to lactational amenorrhoea as a postpartum family planning method or as an effect of (exclusive) breast feeding among adolescents. Thematic analysis and narrative synthesis were applied to summarise and interpret the findings.

Results We screened 982 titles and abstracts, reviewed 75 full-text articles and included nine. Eight studies assessed data from a single country (three from India, two from Bangladesh, two from Turkey, one from Nigeria). One study using Demographic and Health Survey data included 37 different LMICs. The five studies measuring duration of postpartum or lactational amenorrhoea reported a wide range of durations across the contexts examined. Four studies (from Bangladesh, Nigeria and Turkey) examined outcomes related to the use of lactational amenorrhoea as a family planning method among adolescents. We did not find any studies assessing adolescents’ knowledge of lactational amenorrhoea as a postpartum family planning method. Likewise, little is known about the effectiveness of lactational amenorrhoea method among adolescents using sufficiently large samples and follow-up time.

Conclusion The available evidence on lactational amenorrhoea among adolescents in LMICs is scarce. Given the potential contribution of lactational amenorrhoea to prevention of short间隔 pregnancy intervals among adolescents and young women, there is a need for a better understanding of the duration of lactational amenorrhoea, and the knowledge and effective use of lactational amenorrhoea as a family planning method among adolescents in a wider range of LMIC settings.

INTRODUCTION

Early pregnancy and parenthood are some of the most prominent challenges with which adolescents globally are dealing. Approximately 16 million girls aged 15 to 19 years and 2.5 million girls under 16 years give birth each year in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs).1–3 Despite the global decline in adolescent birth rate between 1990 (64.8 births per 1000 girls 15–19 years of age) and 2020 (42.5 births per 1000),4 the number of adolescent pregnancies globally will continue to increase due to the size of the adolescent cohorts, with the greatest proportional increase in West and Central Africa and Eastern and Southern Africa.5
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What is already known?

► Lactational amenorrhoea method (LAM) is an effective postpartum contraceptive method available to breastfeeding women and does not require a health provider or replenishment of contraceptive supplies.
► The duration of lactational amenorrhoea and the role of LAM as a family planning method among adolescents in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) are not known.

What are the new findings?

► We identified nine studies from LMICs, all of which were quantitative and observational.
► There was heterogeneity in the findings about the duration of lactational amenorrhoea among adolescents compared with older women across these settings.
► We identified evidence gaps surrounding adolescents’ knowledge of LAM and transition from the use of LAM to other contraceptive methods.

What do the new findings imply?

► This study highlights the need for a better understanding of breastfeeding practices, barriers and enablers of LAM use among adolescents.
► There is a need for additional research in a wider range of settings and using qualitative research methods.

Original research

It is estimated that in 2020, 257 million women globally had an unmet need for modern contraception, and 218 million of them were in developing countries. Accessibility and availability of contraceptives for adolescent girls, especially unmarried, in LMICs are even more problematic compared with older women of reproductive age. Different barriers including stigma, social pressure, legal restrictions, provider biases and misinformation may prevent adolescents from obtaining contraceptives. Additional barriers include interruptions in contraceptive supplies and lack of financial affordability. A study estimated that 90% of the over 6 million annual unplanned pregnancies, either unwanted or mistimed, among adolescent girls in Sub-Saharan Africa, Latin America and the Caribbean, and South Central and Southeast Asia are due to non-use of a modern method of contraception. In 2016, an estimated 38 million adolescents in developing regions wanted to avoid pregnancy, 23 million of them have an unmet need for modern contraception and are thus at elevated risk of unintended pregnancy.

Nearly one-fifth of young women in LMICs are estimated to become pregnant before age 18, and 2 million births occur to girls under age 15 annually in LMICs. For example, median age at first childbirth among women 20–24 years was <20 years in all 16 Sub-Saharan African countries with a Demographic and Health Survey collected since 2010 and where this indicator is available. While not all adolescent pregnancies are unintended, almost half of the 20 million pregnancies among adolescents in LMICs are. Pregnancy and childbirth complications are the leading cause of death among girls 15 to 19 years old globally. Compared with babies of women in their twenties, infants born to adolescents face a higher risk of preterm birth, which is among the leading causes of neonatal mortality and morbidity. First-order births carry an increased risk of complications, and in many LMICs, the majority of first-order births are to adolescent girls.

Existing limited research from LMICs shows that repeat teenage pregnancy or childbirth is common. New evidence is emerging on the length of what constitutes a short interpregnancy interval and its effects on maternal, perinatal and child survival and health outcomes. In LMICs, short interpregnancy intervals and other factors appear to play an important role in an increased risk of adverse outcomes among adolescent mothers with repeat pregnancies and their babies. The concept of an ideal interpregnancy interval emerged from a report published by WHO in 2005 and, based on the best available evidence at that time, consensus was reached that an optimal interval was a minimum of 24 months, consistent with the joint WHO and Unicef recommendation for women to breast feed for at least 2 years.

Immediately following childbirth, the inhibitory effect of oestrogen and progesterone levels of pregnancy decreases, with the resumption of regular ovulation at around 25 days after birth. Consequently, all postpartum women are assumed to be protected from conception for 4 weeks following childbirth. The period of postpartum amenorrhoea can be prolonged by breast feeding (lactational amenorrhoea), which changes the level and rhythm of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) secretion by sending neural signals to the mother’s hypothalamus through stimulation of the nipple. GnRH influences the pituitary release of follicle-stimulating hormone and luteinising hormone, the hormones needed to stimulate and resume ovulation.

Lactational amenorrhoea method (LAM) is the name given to the informed use of breast feeding as a method of contraception. For lactational amenorrhoea to serve as an effective method of contraception, the woman must be exclusively or near exclusively breast feeding (at least 85% of infant feeding coming from breast feeding), be within the first 6 months following childbirth, and remain amenorrhoeic. The typical use failure rate of LAM is 0.45%–7.5%. In the first 6 months post partum, amenorrhoeic women have a very low cumulative chance of conception, even if they are not exclusively breast feeding, because a large fraction of first menstrual cycles in this period are anovulatory. As the duration of post partum lengthens, the protective effect of amenorrhoea progressively weakens. Nevertheless, among amenorrhoeic women, the level of risk of conception remains at 6% at 12 months post partum, which is not substantively different from that of condoms or oral contraception under real-life conditions. Literature has shown that reliance on the absence of menses as an indicator that conception is unlikely is widespread. However, most women do not associate breast feeding with a reduced risk of conception. Beyond 6 months post partum, women might continue breast feeding and remain amenorrhoeic. While this period is included in calculations of the duration of lactational amenorrhoea, it is no longer considered to be an effective contraceptive method.

Addressing the unmet need for family planning is of paramount importance to improve the lives of girls and young women, particularly in LMICs. Despite the significant implications that countries face if this issue remains neglected, adolescents’ sexual and reproductive health has traditionally been overlooked. LAM is available to breastfeeding women, does not require a health provider or replenishment of contraceptive supplies, and is effective at preventing pregnancy (it is classified as a modern contraceptive method). Therefore, it can play a role in efforts to address unintended repeat childbirth among adolescents, including pregnancies preceded by a short interpregnancy interval. However, little is known about the extent to which adolescents in LMICs know about this method, are aware of the criteria for its effective use and are using it. If adolescent girls face different barriers, a targeted approach to awareness-raising about LAM might be required in contrast to mothers from older age groups. In order to understand these issues better, a thorough search in the literature can help map current evidence. This scoping review was conducted to
answer the following primary research question: What is the current state of evidence on knowledge about postpartum/lactational amenorrhea among adolescents in LMICs?

**Objective**
The objective of this review is to systematically scope the published literature, to synthesise what is known about postpartum/lactational amenorrhea among adolescents in LMICs and to identify existing gaps in available evidence.

**METHODS**

**Search strategy**
Our review was guided by the standard principles of Arksey and O’Malley’s framework and the PRISMA-ScR checklist (online supplemental material 1). Arksey and O’Malley’s approach can be described as an iterative process involving post hoc inclusion and exclusion criteria. According to this framework, there are five stages: (1) identifying the research question, (2) identifying relevant studies, (3) study selection, (4) charting the data and lastly (5) collating, summarising and reporting the results. The optional step of consultation exercise involving key stakeholders to validate findings was not found necessary in this study and was not performed. The protocol for this scoping review was not registered.

We searched four databases, Medline, Embase, Global Health and CINAHL Plus, using a combination of search terms comprising the terms adolescents and lactational amenorrhea (full electronic search strategy is presented in online supplemental material 2). After deduplication, titles and abstracts of identified references were all screened independently by two reviewers (MNSF and LB). Additional references were identified through hand searching the DHS programme publications site, the website of the Population Council, the WHO Reproductive Health Library and reference lists of all articles reviewed in full text.

**Eligibility criteria**
We applied the following inclusion criteria during title/abstract and full-text search: (1) studies published between 1990 (included) and 23 September 2019 (date of the search), because only after August 1988 consensus on LAM was reached through the Bellagio consensus; (2) contained data collected in LMIC as defined by the World Bank; (3) can be a research paper (qualitative or quantitative), editorial or commentary, peer-reviewed paper or not (report, research paper), but conference abstracts were included only if they presented research results; (4) data were presented (or disaggregated) for adolescent girls between the age of 10 and 19 years; (6) the topic of lactational amenorrhea was examined as a postpartum family planning method, or as an effect of (exclusive) breastfeeding, including through quantitative indicators such as median duration or knowledge of lactational amenorrhea, or qualitative analysis such as perceptions or barriers to use.

Studies were excluded if they (1) mentioned amenorrhea in a context without previous childbirth/pregnancy (eg, amenorrhea among girls treated for...
anorexia or following cancer treatment, primary amenorrhea among adolescents), (2) presented measures of postpartum infecundability (amenorrhea and abstinence combined, without disaggregating lactational amenorrhea separately), and (3) examined contraceptive/family planning use and lactational amenorrhea combined with other family planning methods without disaggregation. Two reviewers (MNSF and LB) independently screened all full-text articles. Differences were reconciled through discussion and consensus. Figure 1 presents the full search flowchart.

Data charting process
To extract relevant data from the references included in full text, a standard template sheet was used specifying the author(s), year of publication, journal, time of data collection, country(ies), site within country(ies), objective of each study, study design, sample size of adolescents, description of the sample, recruitment and eligibility, follow-up period (prospective studies) or time since childbirth (retrospective studies), completeness of follow-up or missingness of data, measurement/analysis method, definition(s) of the lactational amenorrhea outcome(s) or indicator(s) used, and key findings in reference to adolescents. Two coauthors (MNSF and LB) independently extracted all data from studies included in full text. Any differences were resolved through discussion. As is common for scoping reviews, we did not formally assess the quality of included studies.

Collating, summarising and reporting the results
Descriptive information about the included studies is summarised in a table. To synthesise and interpret the findings of this scoping review, we used thematic analysis and narrative synthesis. We summarised the methods used by included studies and report the findings of studies according to the key theme identified. Based on these findings, we highlighted the gaps in available literature.

Patient and public involvement
No patient or public involvement took place in the design or conduct of this literature review. The results are intended for wide dissemination, including to researchers, programme implementers and governmental agencies, all of whom reach the public and the key population of this study.

RESULTS
Overview of included studies
We screened 982 unique references in title and abstract, 75 in full text, and included nine in this review (figure 1). The main reason for exclusion of studies in full-text screening (51 out of 66 studies) was that while adolescents were included in the analysis sample, they were not disaggregated from women older than 20 years (at all or were included in a broader age category conflating adolescents and young women, eg, an age group from 15 to 24 years). Table 1 shows the characteristics of the included studies. Three studies were published in the 1990s, three in the 2000s, and three since 2010. Eight studies assessed data from a single country (three from India, two from Bangladesh, two from Turkey and one from Nigeria), and one study using Demographic and Health Survey data included 37 different LMICs (18 countries in Sub-Saharan Africa, 4 near East/North Africa, 7 in Asia, and 8 in Latin America and the Caribbean). One study from Uttar Pradesh in India \(^{15}\) appears to have used the same data as a second included study \(^{46}\); the results reported are identical.

Two of the nine included studies had as their main objective to investigate lactational amenorrhea among adolescents. \(^{47,48}\) Three additional studies were interested in differentials in durations of amenorrhea according to mother’s age. \(^{46}\) demographic and biodemographic characteristics, \(^{13}\) or were focused on sociodemographic influences. \(^{47}\) The remaining four studies did not specifically set out to investigate lactational amenorrhea among adolescents or the effect of age on lactational amenorrhea, but presented findings relevant to this scoping review. All nine included studies were quantitative and used observational study designs (eight used retrospective and one prospective data collection). One of the nine included studies had only adolescents in their sample; all other studies included women older than 20 years and provided comparisons with adolescents. Five studies were analytical, three of which reported findings from crude analysis \(^{47,49,50}\) and two conducted multivariable analyses of the association between age and lactational amenorrhea. \(^{46,51}\) The remaining four studies presented descriptive analyses only, meaning they did not perform statistical tests of the differences between indicators of lactational amenorrhea among adolescents and older women.

The studies included and disaggregated lactational amenorrhea among females under the age of 20 (ie, adolescents). Five of the studies only included currently married and one study only ever-married women and girls. The remaining three studies did not specify any inclusion criteria or sample characteristics related to marital status. The age group relevant for this scoping review was defined as <20 years in four studies, 10–19 years in one study and 15–19 years in two studies, and 2 studies used further disaggregation by age among adolescents (10–14 and 15–19; <15 and 15–20). Maternal age was measured at the time of birth of the index child (three studies), at the time of marriage (one study), at the time of receiving antenatal care (one study), and at the time of survey or at some point during the study (not further specified) in the remaining four studies. To establish women’s age, two studies (both from Turkey) used medical records to identify women eligible for their sample and might have collected the birth date or age of study participants from this source (it is unclear whether this was further validated when interacting with the respondents). The
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author and year of publication</th>
<th>Country(ies) and time of data collection</th>
<th>Study objective</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Description of study area</th>
<th>Sample selection criteria and total study sample size</th>
<th>Sample size of adolescents and definition of adolescents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nath et al 1993</td>
<td>India 1987–1989</td>
<td>Analyse breast feeding, the mechanisms that influence postpartum amenorrhoea, and the role of biological and sociocultural variables on resumption of postpartum menstruation in traditional Indian society using data collected in two states. Focus on roles of women's age and child's sex on duration of postpartum amenorrhoea</td>
<td>Cross-sectional retrospective study using household surveys</td>
<td>Areas of Karimganj district Assam (only scheduled castes) and eastern Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>Married female members of sampled households, residing in studied area, not using family planning, woman not menopausal, both partners alive and woman &lt;50 years; n=1449 in Assam and n=3702 in Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>685 adolescents &lt;20 years (233 in Assam and 452 in Uttar Pradesh) at the time of child's birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhattacharya et al 1995</td>
<td>India 1987–1988</td>
<td>Examine and discuss the effects of some sociocultural and economic factors on the proximate determinants of fertility in rural areas of eastern Uttar Pradesh (mainly interested in caste and wealth)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional retrospective study of 4448 households in 3 districts, retrospective recall</td>
<td>Three districts in Uttar Pradesh (Varanasi, Ghazipur and Azamgarh)</td>
<td>Married women, both partners alive and woman &lt;50 years old, n=3702</td>
<td>452 adolescents &lt;20 years of age at birth of child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haggerty and Rutstein 1999</td>
<td>18 countries in Sub-Saharan Africa, 4 in Near East/North Africa, 7 in Asia, and 8 in Latin America/Caribbean; 1990–1996</td>
<td>Examine the range of durations of postpartum effects including postpartum amenorrhoea and the use of lactational amenorrhoea method</td>
<td>Cross-sectional retrospective study of households</td>
<td>Nationally representative surveys (DHS)</td>
<td>Women aged 15 to 49 years living in sampled households and gave live birth within last 5 years. Sample size differs by country</td>
<td>In age group 15–19 years, range from 115 (Kazakhstan) to 8448 (India). Age at time of child's birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahman et al 2002</td>
<td>Bangladesh 1993–1995</td>
<td>Examine the variation in suckling patterns, morbidity status of the mother and infant, introduction of weaning food, sociodemographic status and selected maternal anthropometric measurements in mother from a poor urban area of Dhaka, Bangladesh</td>
<td>Prospective longitudinal observational study</td>
<td>Dhaka (poor urban area)</td>
<td>Mothers age 17–37 years coming to a maternal and child health clinic for antenatal care during normal pregnancy, in third trimester, residing near Mirpur, intending to breast feed at least 6 months, had regular menstrual cycle prior to pregnancy, not intending to use hormonal contraception during study period. After birth, only vaginally delivered singletons gestational age ≥37 weeks were included. No information about marital status. n=97 mother–infant pairs</td>
<td>23 mother–infant pairs among mothers &lt;20 years (age in completed years at time of antenatal care)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahman et al 2005</td>
<td>Bangladesh timing of data collection not stated</td>
<td>Analyse the knowledge on contraceptive method among currently married adolescents</td>
<td>Cross-sectional retrospective study, both quantitative and qualitative data collected</td>
<td>Both urban and rural areas of Bangladesh</td>
<td>Married adolescents aged 10–19 years, excluded currently pregnant, widowed, divorced and separated adolescents. N=1881 (all included study participants were adolescents). Combination of adolescents who have never had children and those who have (no distribution by parity provided)</td>
<td>1881 married female adolescents (123 were 10–14 years and 1758 were 15–19 years old). Age at survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audu et al 2006</td>
<td>Nigeria timing of data collection not stated</td>
<td>Evaluate the correct knowledge and utilisation of the natural family planning methods: rhythm method, lactational amenorrhoea method and coitus interruptus among a population with low utilisation of modern contraceptive methods</td>
<td>Cross-sectional retrospective household survey, all married women in selected households interviewed</td>
<td>Urban Maiduguri and rural Gwoza, Konduga, Madagali in northeastern Nigeria</td>
<td>Married women age 15–45 years in sampled households. N=886 women. Not all have had children</td>
<td>Sample size in age group 15–19 not provided. Age at time of survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Descriptive characteristics of included studies**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author and year of publication</th>
<th>Countries and time of data collection</th>
<th>Study objective</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Description of study area</th>
<th>Sample selection criteria and total study sample size</th>
<th>Sample size of adolescents and definition of adolescents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Türk et al* 2010</td>
<td>Turkey 2006</td>
<td>Investigate the status of the use of lactational amenorrhoea as a family planning method by women with 6-month-old infants and the factors influencing its use</td>
<td>Cross-sectional retrospective study using semi-structured interview form during home visits</td>
<td>Kars, eastern Anatolia</td>
<td>Women who delivered at a provincial maternity and children's hospital in the city of Kars and had 6-month-old infants, living in both urban and rural areas. No information about marital status as inclusion criterion, but all women in the sample have non-missing descriptive data on husband's education level. n=188 women</td>
<td>10 adolescents age &lt;20 years at the time of survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh et al* 2012</td>
<td>India 2009</td>
<td>Investigate the nature and pattern of duration of postpartum amenorrhoea and to check the relative influences of various sociodemographic factors on it</td>
<td>Cross-sectional retrospective study using community-based household survey</td>
<td>Four valley districts of Manipur: Bishnupur, Thoubal, Imphal West, Imphal East</td>
<td>Ever-married women with at least one live birth (most recent live birth analysed). n=1225 women</td>
<td>Adolescents were included in two age groups (&lt;15 and 15–20 years at time of marriage). Sample size of adolescents not provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaplanoglu et al* 2015</td>
<td>Turkey 2010–2012</td>
<td>Evaluate the postpartum contraception preferences and their efficiency in adolescents</td>
<td>Cross-sectional retrospective study comparing adolescents and older women</td>
<td>Adiyaman hospital Primigravida patients who gave birth in Adiyaman University Hospital at least 12 months before. If adolescents &lt;19 years, called by phone and invited to clinic for follow-up, if they had no medical or surgical problems. N=560 adolescents and 1046 women age 20-35 (not stated whether these were also primigravida)</td>
<td>506 adolescents age 10–19 years 'during the study'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
remaining seven studies relied on either a household member or the woman’s own report of her age.

Table 2 summarises the nine studies’ findings. We identified two key themes examined by included studies. The first theme, identified in five studies, captures the length of amenorrhoea. This was expressed either as median duration in months or weeks and/or the percentages of women in the sample resuming menses (or the opposite, remaining amenorrhoeic) at specific time intervals since the birth. The second theme concerned the use of lactational amenorrhoea as a family planning method and was used by four studies. No study presented findings related to both themes. All nine studies relied on respondent’s recall to capture data relevant to the length of lactational amenorrhoea, the use of lactational amenorrhoea as a family planning method or return of menses. None of the studies used biomarkers to measure or validate self-reported outcomes.

Theme 1: duration and outcomes of postpartum or lactational amenorrhoea

The five studies measuring duration of postpartum or lactational amenorrhoea reported a wide range of durations across the contexts examined. In Assam and Bangladesh, duration of lactational amenorrhoea did not appear to differ between adolescents and women older than 20 years. In Uttar Pradesh, the duration was substantially longer (around 12 months) among women >30 years compared with adolescents (3.5 months). Singh et al reported that in bivariate analysis, duration of amenorrhoea appeared longer in the two categories of adolescents (<15 and 15–20 at time of marriage) compared with older women (p<0.01), but this association was no longer significant in multivariate analysis. Haggerty and Rutstein, in their analysis of 37 countries in the early 1990s, report a wide variability in duration of amenorrhoea. Sub-Saharan African countries had the longest durations of amenorrhoea; 14 of 18 countries had medians longer than a year. The four countries included in analyses for the Near East/North Africa region had the shortest durations, ranging from 4 to 6 months. The largest variability was documented in the Latin America/Caribbean region where median durations ranged from 4 months to 11 months. In most countries, there was either a pattern where duration of amenorrhoea increased with each older age group or a U-shape pattern where duration was longer among adolescents and women 35 years and older compared with the age groups between age 20 and 34 at time of birth. Across the four regions examined, the increase in duration of postpartum amenorrhoea with older age group was most notable in Sub-Saharan Africa. The most recent data available across the five studies examining duration of lactational amenorrhoea were collected in 2009 in India.

Theme 2: use of lactational amenorrhoea as a family planning method

Four studies (from Bangladesh, Nigeria and Turkey) examined outcomes related to the use of lactational amenorrhoea as a family planning method among adolescents. Two of these studies included respondents who have never had a child together with those who have. The first such study, assessing married adolescents from Bangladesh, found that 13.2% of adolescents who were not using contraceptives at the time of survey cited postpartum amenorrhoea as a reason for non-use. Audu and colleagues found that in their sample which included women with and without children in Nigeria, the percentage reporting ever-use of LAM was lowest among adolescents (5.0% among those 15–19 years), increasing to 10.0% among those 20–24 years and highest among women 35–39 years old (p value of differences<0.001). One potential reason for the low percentage among the adolescent age group is that not all respondents in this sample have ever had children and therefore had the opportunity to have ever used LAM.

In a small sample of postpartum adolescents age <20 years (n=10) in Turkey, Türk et al found that 70% considered themselves to be users of lactational amenorrhoea for family planning. This compared with 33% of those 20–29 years old (n=135) and 36% of those ≥30 years old (n=43); p value <0.001. However, many who considered themselves users of LAM also reported having menses (28 of 64 self-reported users of LAM, not disaggregated by age group), and one-third of them became pregnant during the study follow-up period. Authors of this study highlighted that while LAM is one of the main family planning methods used in their sample, women might not be sufficiently aware of the criteria/conditions for its effective use. The study on use of LAM as a family planning method with the most recent data was conducted in Turkey in 2010–2012. It found that 50.6% of those 10–19 years old were using lactational amenorrhoea as a family planning method compared with 33% among women 20–35 years. LAM was the most preferred method of contraception in this study (no quantitative indicators were provided to support this statement). Contraceptive failure in the adolescent age group was 2.37% in the first year post partum (12 unintended pregnancies among 506 adolescents in sample), compared with 2.0% in older age group. However, the failure rates were not available for LAM users separately.

Mechanisms for differences in lactational amenorrhoea between adolescents and other women

Given the variability in findings on duration of amenorrhoea and the use of lactational amenorrhoea method between adolescents and older women in the included studies, we attempted to understand the potential mechanisms that study authors found or proposed. The main determinant of the length of lactational amenorrhoea is the duration and intensity of breast feeding. Few of the included studies attempted to interpret the findings relevant to adolescents or elucidate the mechanisms which might lead to differences in lactational amenorrhoea between adolescents and women older than 20 years, whether on a more granular or more distal levels. For
Table 2  Analysis methods and key findings of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author and year of publication</th>
<th>Lactational amenorrhoea outcomes/indicators assessed among adolescents</th>
<th>Analysis method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nath et al1993</td>
<td>Median duration of postpartum amenorrhoea in months, median duration of breast feeding in months, percentage of females resuming menses before fixed periods since birth (3, 6, 12, 18 months)</td>
<td>Descriptive life table analysis (disaggregated by age group), multivariate hazard models modelling return to menses</td>
<td>Median duration of postpartum amenorrhoea among women &lt;20 years: In Assam 9.9 months (compared with 20–25 years: 9.0 months; 25–30 years: 10.0 months; &gt;30 years: 12.1 months). In Uttar Pradesh 3.5 months (compared with 20–25 years: 4.9 months; 25–30 years: 8.2 months; &gt;30 years: 12.1 months). Percentage returning to menses at 6 months: Assam &lt;20 years: 32.2%; 20–25: 28.2%; 25–30: 30.9%; &gt;30: 26.3%. Uttar Pradesh: &lt;20: 63.6%; 20–25: 56.6%; 25–30: 48.6%; &gt;30: 42.7%. Multivariate models (adjusted for sex of child, literacy, caste, income group and breastfeeding duration, but not for parity): Compared with women &gt;30 years: In Assam, women &lt;20 years were 34%–38% more likely to return to menses and the effect estimates were similar between age group &lt;20 years and age groups 20–25 and 25–30 years. In Uttar Pradesh, women &lt;20 were 55%–56% more likely to return to menses; the effect estimates for age group 20–25 was 29%–31% and for 25–30 was 12% (there was a trend)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhattacharya et al1995</td>
<td>Median duration of postpartum amenorrhoea at 3, 6, 12 to 18 months since birth</td>
<td>Descriptive analysis</td>
<td>Mediation of duration of postpartum amenorrhoea among &lt;20 years is 3.45 months, n=452. 20–25 years: 4.87 months (n=1102); 25–30: 8.24 months (n=974); ≥30: 12.11 months (n=174). Proportion of women returning to menses since birth among &lt;20 year olds: at 3 months 51.8%; 6 months 63.6%; 12 months 85.0%; 18 months 93.4%. These percentages were lower than in all older age categories—there appears to be a trend of lower percentage returning to menses with increasing age at all four cut-offs (statistical tests not carried out)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haggerty and Rutstein1999</td>
<td>Median duration of postpartum amenorrhoea in months</td>
<td>Descriptive analysis</td>
<td>Median duration of postpartum amenorrhoea: Sub-Saharan Africa: range in 15–19 group: 7.2 months (Kenya) to 15.9 months (Nigeria). In 14 of the 18 countries, there was a clear trend of increasing duration of amenorrhoea with each older age group (the four exceptions were Kenya, Namibia, Nigeria, Senegal—all of which have a U-shape by age group, with highest levels among women 35+). Near East/North Africa: range in 15–19 group: 2.6 months (Morocco) to 5.8 months (Egypt). In 3 of the 4 countries, there is a U-shape (longest duration among 35+), except in Jordan where there was a trend of increasing duration of amenorrhoea with each older age group with the highest level at age 25–34 years. Asia: range from 2.2 (Pakistan) to 8.9 (Nepal). Latin America/Caribbean: range from 2.2 (Brazil) to 8.9 (Haiti). Sub-Saharan African countries have the longest durations of amenorrhoea—14 of 18 countries had medians longer than a year. The Near East/North Africa region had the shortest durations, from 4 to 6 months. The largest variability was documented in the Latin America/Caribbean region where median durations ranged from 4 or 6 months to 11 months. Across the four regions examined, the increase in duration of postpartum amenorrhoea with increasing age group was most notable in Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahman et al2002</td>
<td>Median duration of lactational amenorrhoea in weeks</td>
<td>Descriptive (analytical) analysis</td>
<td>Median duration of lactational amenorrhoea among mothers &lt;20 years (n=23) was 19.71 weeks. Among women 21–25 years, it was 26.57 weeks (n=44); ≥25 years (n=28) 20.8 weeks. Wilcoxon statistic p value ‘not significant’ across age categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahman et al, 2005</td>
<td>Postpartum amenorrhoea as a reason for non-use of contraceptives (modern/traditional)</td>
<td>Descriptive analysis</td>
<td>Postpartum amenorrhoea as a reason for non-use of contraceptives (modern/traditional) was 13.2% (146 of 1104 adolescents who were not using contraceptives at the time of survey). Multiple reasons were allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audu et al2006</td>
<td>Ever-use of lactational amenorrhoea as a family planning method</td>
<td>Descriptive (analytical) analysis</td>
<td>Percentage of women reporting ever-use of lactational amenorrhoea method for family planning was 15–19 years: 5.0%; 20–24 years: 10.0%; 25–29 years: 7.2%; 30–34 years: 23.3%; 35–39 years: 17.9%; and 40–45 years: 21.3%. P value of the differences=0.00004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Türk et al2010</td>
<td>Percentage of females who consider themselves users of lactational amenorrhoea for family planning</td>
<td>Descriptive (analytical) analysis</td>
<td>Percentage of females who considered themselves users of lactational amenorrhoea method for family planning was 70% of those ≤19 years old (n=10), compared with 53% of those 20–29 years old (n=136) and 30% of those ≥30 years old (n=43); p value 0.001. However, many of the women who considered themselves users of lactational amenorrhoea method also reported having menses (28 of 64 users, not disaggregated by age group)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
example, are any differences identified due to duration of breastfeeding, suckling frequency (including nighttime feeding), timing and pattern of supplementation (including formula feeding), nutritional profile of the mother, or potentially an artefact of self-reporting by adolescents, or older women; or variations in knowledge and purposeful use of lactational amenorrhoea as a family planning method (potentially affected by education, literacy, and/or parity), or empowerment levels (ability to negotiate breastfeeding duration/frequency with other household members)?

Nath et al., who found large differences between the duration of lactational amenorrhoea between adolescents and older women in Uttar Pradesh but no differences in Assam, recognised that without detailed data on suckling pattern and supplementation, it is difficult to understand the mechanisms behind these differences. They hypothesised that different patterns of night nursing and nutritional status of women (malnourished women produce less breast milk which is also less nutritious, therefore their babies suckle longer, meaning women are likely to remain amenorrhoeic for longer periods). They explain the difference in duration of lactational amenorrhoea by age they found in Uttar Pradesh as being due to a biological delay in hormonal mechanisms involved in the process of becoming pregnant (no more detail).

Bhattarcharya et al., who analysed the same data as Nath et al., found an inverse U-shape pattern across age groups offered no explanation or potential mechanisms for this association. They noted that women in their sample did not always know their exact ages.

Gaps in the available literature

This review identified a scarcity of studies in LMICs focusing on lactational amenorrhoea among adolescents and only one of the past decade included data from Latin America/Caribbean. Further, the studies included in this review used data collected from different countries over different time periods, making it difficult to draw conclusions about the impact of breastfeeding and other factors on lactational amenorrhoea.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author and year of publication</th>
<th>Lactational amenorrhoea outcomes/indicators assessed among adolescents</th>
<th>Analysis method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Singh et al. 2012</td>
<td>Median duration of postpartum amenorrhoea in months</td>
<td>Survival analysis (adjusted Cox proportional hazards)</td>
<td>Median duration of postpartum amenorrhoea among girls ≤15 years at time of marriage: 6.4 months, 15-20 years: 5.8 months, 20-25 years: 5.1 months, 25-30 years: 4.5 months and ≥30 years: 4.3 months (log-rank test p value &lt; 0.01). In multivariate Cox regression with the outcome of duration of postpartum amenorrhoea (included variables were duration of breastfeeding, age at marriage, sex of index child, survival of index child, use of contraceptives, place of residence, husband’s education, family income), the relative risk for variable ‘age (years)’ at marriage of wife’ was 1.011 (95% CI 0.894 to 1.029), meaning that there was no association after factors were accounted for. However, it is unclear whether the variable age in this model was categorised (&lt;15, 15-20, 20-25, 25-30, &gt;30) or used continuously (in years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaplanoglu et al. 2015</td>
<td>Percentage using lactational amenorrhoea as family planning method</td>
<td>Descriptive analysis</td>
<td>Percentage using lactational amenorrhoea as family planning method: 50.6% among those 10-19 years old compared with 33% among women 20-35 years. Lactational amenorrhoea was the most preferred method of contraception in this study (no quantitative indicators shown). Contraceptive failure among adolescents was 2.37% in the first year postpartum (12 unintended pregnancies among 506 adolescents in sample), compared with 2.0% in older age group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This review identified a scarcity of studies in LMICs focusing on lactational amenorrhoea among adolescents, and only one of the past decade included data collected from Latin America/Caribbean. Further, the studies included in this review used data collected from different countries over different time periods, making it difficult to draw conclusions about the impact of breastfeeding and other factors on lactational amenorrhoea.
lactational amenorrhoea among unmarried adolescents. Last, none of the included studies used an intervention study design.

In regard to thematic areas, we did not find any studies assessing adolescents’ knowledge of LAM as a postpartum family planning method. The study by Kaplanoglu et al. from Turkey suggested there is insufficient knowledge among adolescents, but no formal assessment was conducted. Likewise, little is known about the effectiveness of LAM among adolescents using sufficiently large samples and follow-up time. In many of the included studies, sample sizes of adolescents were limited, and analysis methods restricted to descriptive or bivariate. Further analyses attempting to understand the factors associated with lactational amenorrhoea duration using more sophisticated analyses (multivariate adjusted models) are also needed. Crucially, more work is needed to understand the mechanisms leading to different durations of lactational amenorrhoea overall and the use of LAM as a family planning method across women’s age groups. We found no qualitative research on lactational amenorrhoea among adolescents. Research on perceived enablers and barriers of breast feeding and lactational amenorrhoea, as well as on the reliability and validity of adolescents’ report duration of lactational amenorrhoea are lacking.

We did not identify any studies assessing the role of postpartum or lactational amenorrhoea within the framework of proximate determinants of fertility among adolescents in LMICs. Such an approach would need to incorporate a broader understanding of lactational amenorrhoea within a postpartum infecundability period, which combined lactational amenorrhoea with postpartum abstinence. Last, we did not find any studies on double (redundant) use of lactational amenorrhoea and other modern methods, or on the characteristics of transitions from the LAM to other contraceptives after 6 months post partum. The importance of postpartum use of modern methods appears key given the finding from Turkey that a high percentage of respondents incorrectly believed they were being protected from pregnancy by lactational amenorrhoea despite their menstrual period having returned.

**DISCUSSION**

This scoping review systematically identified and summarised the findings of studies on lactational amenorrhoea among adolescent girls in LMICs. Using a set of selection criteria, two independent reviewers screened 75 full-text research papers published in the past 30 years and included a total of nine studies. Among these, only two had a main objective related to adolescents. The main reason for exclusion was a lack of disaggregation of individuals under study in the age categories 10–19 or 15–19 years old. Furthermore, several of the included studies which included adolescents and disaggregated lactational amenorrhoea estimates within this age group had very small sample sizes. There was heterogeneity in the findings about the duration of lactational amenorrhoea among adolescents compared with women >20 years. We also identified several important thematic gaps in currently available evidence, including adolescents’ knowledge of LAM and transitions from the use of LAM to other contraceptive methods.

The heterogeneity in findings about duration of lactational amenorrhoea among adolescents compared with older women is not surprising given the differences in breastfeeding practices across countries and contexts, as documented by Haggerty and Rutstein. Potential mechanisms leading to differences in duration of lactational amenorrhoea between adolescents and women older than 20 years were mentioned in two of the included studies. The authors highlighted the role of low maternal nutritional status (babies suckling more often and longer due to less nutritious milk) and biological delays in hormonal mechanisms responsible for ovulation. These mechanisms imply that adolescent mothers might have longer durations of postpartum amenorrhoea, which was not the case in all the settings from which studies included in this scoping review reported on this indicator. Weaning and supplementation patterns have an important effect on resumption of menses, as does complete cessation of breast feeding (e.g., due to death of infant or transition to formula feeding among women with HIV).

Socioeconomic factors appear to have bi-directional effects on breast feeding: maternal education (higher education being linked to better awareness of benefits of breastfeeding, including its contraceptive effects, and more receptive to health promotion), maternal occupation (competing demands on woman’s time and higher likelihood of supplementation/formula feeding) and urbanisation/household wealth are linked to better ability to access and afford formula. Therefore, adolescents might have lower education levels, especially if they dropped out of education due to pregnancy and child-rearing, and they might be more likely to supplement or wean earlier if they are returning back to school after childbirth. The effect of lower wealth among adolescent mothers compared with older mothers, particularly availability of disposable income to purchase formula and other items necessary to formula feed, might contribute to higher rates and duration of breast feeding among adolescents. Examination of the effect of marital status on lactational amenorrhoea in general, and understanding of lactational amenorrhoea among unmarried adolescents in particular, is completely absent from the identified literature. One might hypothesise that unmarried adolescent mothers who reside with their own family receive different types of support and advice with breast feeding and child-rearing compared with those living with husband or in-laws. However, how this and other factors affect breast feeding and lactational amenorrhoea is not known.

The effect of parity is crucial to the topic of this paper, as adolescent mothers have, on average, substantially
lower parity compared with women >20 years of age. The effect of parity on duration of lactational amenorrhoea might also operate in both directions due to a combination of a cohort effect (older/higher parity women might breast feed longer) and mechanisms influencing breastfeeding patterns (nutritional depletion and/or lack of time to breast feed among high parity women). Adolescent mothers, of which a higher proportion are primiparous, might be more likely to encounter difficulties with initiating and sustaining breast feeding (eg, poor latch, engorgement, painful nipples), which in turn could make them more likely to supplement or wean early. Other issues linking low parity and breastfeeding behaviour among women in LMICs include, for example, higher rates of cesarean section and delivery in health facilities.

It is possible that adolescent mothers have lower levels of knowledge about the existence and criteria for effective use of LAM compared with older women. This would likely be a combined effect of several factors, for example, lower education levels and lower parity (lack of previous use of reproductive/maternal health services where counselling on LAM use is covered) among adolescents. Lack of knowledge or inaccurate information about lactational amenorrhoea in general and LAM in particular can lead to two scenarios: (1) women are protected from conception by lactational amenorrhoea but are not using it intentionally as a contraceptive method (eg, due to lack of trust in it or knowledge about contraceptive effectiveness)—this might include women who also use another contraceptive method, and (2) women believe and report that they are using LAM, but are not doing so correctly, as the Turkish study found. Therefore, understanding the extent to which adolescents who report they are using LAM fulfil the criteria for this method is critical, and could be assessed through existing secondary data such as Demographic and Health Surveys. The low accuracy of women’s self-report on the use of LAM for family planning was shown previously (26% of reported users meet the criteria for correct LAM practice in analysis of data collected between 1998 and 2011 in 45 countries). However, we found no studies assessing whether the levels of reporting accuracy are differential between adolescent and older mothers, and if so, what are the patterns and mechanisms leading to such differentials. We found no qualitative research on lactational amenorrhoea among adolescents. Research on perceived enablers and barriers of LAM use, as well as on the reliability and validity of adolescents’ report of the duration of lactational amenorrhoea, are lacking. Levels of unmet need for family planning during the first year post partum are high in LMICs and in some settings are higher among adolescent mothers compared with older women. No matter how effective the use of LAM is, it must be followed by another contraceptive method when one of the three criteria is no longer met and the woman desires to prevent a pregnancy. Evidence from LMICs shows that there is a gap in evidence on this transition among adolescents. It would be important to describe and address the context-specific barriers adolescent mothers are facing in choosing another modern method. This is particularly important because the range of family planning methods in the postpartum period, as well as the range offered/available to adolescents, might already be limited, and discontinuation levels of short-term contraceptives such as pills and injections are high. These barriers underscore the importance of health worker training and provision of counselling and support for breast feeding, with strong adolescent-friendly components.

Studies identified by this review were conducted mainly in four countries (India, Bangladesh, Turkey, Nigeria), while a cross-national study included 37 countries across Africa, Asia and Latin America. The four main countries have specific contexts in terms of sexual, reproductive and adolescent health, some of which might be similar to other LMIC settings, but may also differ in terms of cultural and religious aspects. In addition, only one of these articles analysed data collected in the past 10 years, while national and international policies in terms of sexual and reproductive health and rights as well as maternal health service provision and utilisation has evolved. The limited number of settings and lack of recent data, in addition to the limited evidence base and the variable quality of measurement methods used, preclude us from making any broad generalisations.

Limitations
This scoping review has several limitations. First, we only conducted searches for references in English; relevant studies in other languages might have been excluded. This is particularly the case in regard to research describing high-fertility settings in French-speaking West Africa. Second, given our primary interest in adolescents, we might have missed studies which used maternal age or age groups in descriptive or multivariable analyses (eg, as a population characteristic or a confounder), but did not mention this in the title or abstract, and thus were not identified in title and abstract screening. However, we also reviewed reference lists of all included studies, which provided another opportunity to find such studies. Third, we only reviewed literature on adolescents from LMICs. Fourth, while the duration of postpartum insusceptibility is a result of whichever is longer, postpartum amenorrhoea or postpartum abstinence; our focus was solely on the former in this review.

CONCLUSION
While lactational amenorrhoea is not relevant for prevention or delay of first adolescent childbirth, it might be important for lowering of repeat births among adolescents and young women, particularly those preceded by a short interpregnancy interval. Therefore, there is a need for more studies on duration of lactational amenorrhoea, knowledge and effective use of LAM for family planning.
among adolescents in a wide range of LMIC settings. Related to this, this study highlights the need for a better understanding of breastfeeding context-specific practices, barriers and enablers of lactational amenorrhoea use among adolescents, and transitioning from LAM onto other modern methods.
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