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ABSTRACT

Introduction This paper synthesises evidence on the organisation of primary health care (PHC) service delivery in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) in the Asia Pacific and identifies evidence of effective approaches and pathways of impact in this region.

Methods We developed a conceptual framework describing key inputs and outcomes of PHC as the basis of a systematic review. We searched exclusively for intervention studies from LMICs of the Asia-Pacific region in an effort to identify 'what works' to improve the coverage, quality, efficiency, equity and responsiveness of PHC. We conducted a narrative synthesis to identify key characteristics of successful interventions.

Results From an initial list of 3001 articles, we selected 153 for full-text review and included 111. We found evidence on the impact of non-physician health workers (NPHWs) on coverage and quality of care, though better integration with other PHC services is needed. Community-based services are most effective when well integrated through functional referral systems and supportive supervision arrangements, and have a reliable supply of medicines. Many studies point to the importance of community engagement in improving service demand. Few studies adopted a 'systems' lens or adequately considered long-term costs or implementation challenges.

Conclusion Based on our findings, we suggest five areas where more practical knowledge and guidance is needed to support PHC systems strengthening: (1) NPHW workforce development; (2) integrating non-communicable disease prevention and control into the basic package of care; (3) building managerial capacity; (4) institutionalising community engagement; (5) modernising PHC information systems.

Key questions

What is already known?
► There are large evidence gaps on how primary health care (PHC) should be (re-)organised to integrate chronic disease care with well-established PHC services such as maternal and child health and the treatment of acute infectious diseases.

What are the new findings?
► Strong community-centred approaches and strategies that enhance PHC as the first point of contact with the health system are essential to acceptability of and demand for PHC.
► Community and mid-level health workers can have a positive impact on the quality, coverage and efficiency of PHC services, including in delivering non-communicable disease (NCD) prevention and management.
► Digital decision-support tools can improve quality of care and coverage of services, including to under-served populations, however could be better linked to health information systems.

What do the new findings imply?
► Future research in the Asia-Pacific region should embrace complexity and focus on strategies for PHC implementation (in collaboration with health systems managers and development partners) to identify enabling factors that support context-specific adaptation and scale-up.
► Practical knowledge and guidance are needed on (1) workforce development, (2) integrating NCD prevention and control into the basic package of care, (3) building managerial capacity, (4) institutionalising community engagement and (5) modernising PHC information systems.

INTRODUCTION

Strengthening systems of primary health care (PHC) is critical to improving health outcomes and overall health systems efficiency particularly in contexts where resources are scarce. In 2018, the Astana Declaration renewed the global commitment to improve health systems in low- and middle-income countries.
to PHC made 40 years earlier at Alma-Ata.3 4 Yet, there is still limited knowledge on how to make optimal use of human and other resources to deliver PHC, in other words how to improve the organisation of PHC systems.5 6 In particular, there are large evidence gaps on how PHC should be (re-)organised to integrate chronic disease care with well-established PHC services such as maternal and child health and the treatment of acute infectious diseases.7

Core principles of PHC proposed by Starfield—continuity, first-contact access, comprehensiveness, coordination and person-centredness—have been recognised by WHO and reaffirmed by the Astana declaration.3 8 9 Elements identified as critical to operationalising these principles include an integrated approach to service delivery, a reliable referral system linked to high-quality second-level facilities, a defined package of care, clear structures to engage non-state providers in service delivery, and strong district health systems collecting reliable data and using this to inform planning.1 However, less is known about how to design, adapt and deliver these elements in different contexts.

This study reviews and synthesises evidence on models of PHC organisation in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) of the Asia Pacific, a region experiencing both rapid change in the health sector and a renewed investment in PHC system strengthening.10 Our aim was to understand approaches to and factors influencing the implementation of PHC organisation strategies, in order to help explain their outcomes.

The research presented in this paper was part of a global study on different aspects of PHC in different geographical regions, undertaken by a network of research teams. The broader study maps knowledge gaps in PHC systems across three other domains (governance, quality of care, financing). Our specified focus was organisation and models of PHC in LMICs of the Asia Pacific.

METHODS

Patient and public involvement

Patients were not involved in the development of this literature review.

Review question

The evidence review sought to address the following questions:

1. What is the existing evidence on approaches to the organisation of PHC in the Asia-Pacific region?
2. What evidence is there of the impact or effectiveness of each of the identified approaches? What are the pathways underpinning these outcomes?
3. What are the gaps in knowledge of ‘what works’ in PHC organisation and financing in LMICs of the Asia-Pacific region?

This paper aims to answer the first two questions. A companion paper presents the results in relation to the third question, that is, gaps in evidence on the organisation of PHC service delivery, while a third paper used the same set of questions to guide a review of PHC financing.11 12

Review methods

Online supplementary file 1 presents the conceptual framework we developed to guide the literature search. We searched peer-reviewed publications and grey literature from January 2008 to April 2018; this period was chosen to ensure evidence of intervention effectiveness was recent and therefore relevant to present-day health systems. We then used a narrative approach to summarise findings, generating new insights by systematically comparing, contrasting and extrapolating emerging themes.13 14 Characteristics of successful interventions and their enabling factors were tabulated.

To complement and help contextualise the review findings, we collated a summary of PHC system characteristics in a subset of LMICs in the Asia Pacific. We selected countries for which a ‘Health in Transition’ (HiT) country profile was available (n=14) from the Asia Pacific Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, a WHO-hosted partnership; HiTs provide recent, comparable data on PHC systems.15 Supplementary information for these countries was collected via a Google search that yielded primary and secondary sources including national/local government websites, journal articles and newspaper articles. Additional sources of indicator data were identified from backwards citation chaining from government websites.

Setting and inclusion/exclusion criteria

We defined LMICs of the Asia-Pacific region as those countries within the World Bank regions of South Asia and East Asia and Pacific that had an economic classification of low, lower-middle or upper-middle income (as designated in June 2017).16 We did not adopt any specific definition of ‘primary health care’ services but, consistent with the Alma-Ata declaration, our study encompassed facility-based care at lower levels of the health system as well as preventive and promotive services delivered by community health workers (CHWs) and/or formal sector employees. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are found in box 1. Notably, we searched for intervention studies that, by their nature, often target a specific aspect of the primary care system or a specific set of clinical services. However, our analysis of these studies took a broad, systems perspective consistent with the recognised core principles of PHC.

Search strategy

Standard database searches were conducted using MEDLINE, Embase, Global Health and Cochrane Database. The search strategy is provided in online supplementary file 2. Database searches were supplemented with a hand search of grey literature from the following websites: WHO-Geneva; WHO-SEARO; WHO-WPRO; World Bank (filtered for East Asia and Pacific, and
BOX 1  Literature review inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria
► Patient/population: No restriction.
► Outcome: Studies evaluating interventions to reorganise PHC delivery with the aim of improving PHC outcomes of quality, coverage, efficiency, responsiveness or equity.*
► Study location: LMICs of the Asia-Pacific region.
► Study design: Randomised controlled trial; clinical trial (non-randomised); cohort study; case-control study; cross-sectional study; pre–post study; comparative study; evaluation study (impact, process, economic); qualitative study; review; systematic review; meta-analysis; government/technical report.
► Publication date: 1 January 2008 to February 2018.
► Publication language: All.

Exclusion criteria
► Any study that does not meet the stated inclusion criteria.
► Studies with a primary focus on ‘Quality, Safety and Performance Management’ or ‘PHC Policies and Governance’ (ie, the two priority areas outside the scope of this report).
► Editorials and letters.

South Asia); Asian Development Bank; Alliance on Health Policy and Systems Research; Asia Pacific Observatory on Health Systems and Policies; Centre for Global Development; Results for Development; Institute for Development Studies (UK); Secretariat for the Pacific Community; Save the Children Fund, International; World Vision, International.

Analysis
The evidence review and synthesis was conducted in two stages between February and April 2018. In stage 1, the title and abstract of initial search results were screened and assessed for relevance by three members of the research team (RD, SJ, AP), removing duplicates and publications that did not meet the inclusion criteria. The full text of potentially relevant publications was then retrieved and reviewed for inclusion by two researchers (RD, AP). Reference lists of the final included publications were hand-searched for additional articles relevant to the review, and further relevant articles were identified through consultation with other authors engaged in the global PHC research consortium which produced the studies published in this edition of BMJ Global Health. Figure 1 presents the flow chart of literature selection.

In stage 2, each full-text publication was reviewed to identify key study characteristics (context, geographical scope, research method, study population, disease focus), as well as the PHC system outcomes and inputs specifically addressed by the research. Our first attempt to map literature against categories set out in the conceptual framework found it to be too detailed, with notable overlap between categories and omission of key elements, including outcome measures. We therefore developed a new framework against which to map the results of our literature search with both ‘inputs’ and ‘outcomes’ (see online supplementary file 3). Inputs were derived from the conceptual framework, with some re-organisation and re-grouping of categories, while ‘outcomes’ were identified as those health system attributes underpinning the principles of Universal Health Coverage (UHC)—quality, coverage, efficiency, responsiveness and equity. Four of these five outcome areas are common to both World Bank and WHO frameworks on health systems performance, while the fifth,

Figure 1  Flow chart of literature selection. LMIC, low- and middle-income country; PHC, primary health care.
RESULTS

PHC system characteristics

Online supplementary file 4 presents PHC system characteristics in 14 LMICs of the Asia Pacific. It highlights high variation in the level of economic development between countries, resulting in wide variations in health expenditure: from US$53 per capita in Laos to US$425 in China. Despite this, there are many shared organisational features across PHC systems. Notably, every country has a network of village or community health workers, though with various degrees of training and differing remuneration arrangements. Further, in at least five countries, we found no information on whether doctors were available outside the hospital context, for example, practising privately in remote locations. In a further three countries, we only found reports of doctors practising in urban settings or second-level facilities. This suggests non-physician health workers (NPHWs) of varying skill levels including nurses and midwives, and CHWs will continue to have a key role in delivering essential services, even in the better-resourced health systems of middle-income economies.

Just over half the countries reported some kind of gatekeeping policy (n=8) which requires patients to access non-emergency hospital care or specialist services via PHC. However, we know from other studies that enforcement of gatekeeping arrangements is often difficult in practice, leading to inefficient use of hospital resources.

Eight countries used non-state providers (private or not-for-profit) to deliver PHC in some regions, often in rural areas. This suggests increasing practical experience in government of working in partnership with non-state providers, for example, on how to structure contracts; however, it also points to a level of fragmentation in PHC systems, with a mix of NGOs, state services and private providers all providing services. Nine countries reported some level of fee-for-service at primary care level including seven of the eight countries using non-state providers. However, five of these nine countries also have fee exemptions for poor people in either state-run and or state-contracted services, suggesting that most countries see value in removing financial barriers to access.

Literature synthesis

A total of 111 articles were included in our synthesis (figure 1) (21 reviews (9 Cochrane, 5 other systematic and 7 non-systematic reviews), 12 grey literature publications and 78 original research). A complete list of included articles is provided in online supplementary file 5. Characteristics of successful interventions and their enabling factors are summarised in tables 1–5; each table relates to one of the five outcome domains identified in our analytical framework (online supplementary file 3). Interventions that targeted multiple outcomes are referenced in more than one table. Although we intended to look at unsuccessful or ineffective interventions, there were too few published articles to reliably provide commentary on this.

Quality of care

Studies aiming to improve the quality of PHC looked predominantly at how to strengthen PHC workforce capacity and care pathways through interventions such as training and use of decision-support tools and guidelines. Interventions often targeted community or NPHWs and were usually associated with a new service (such as diabetes screening and management). Just two studies recorded reductions in mortality associated with efforts to improve the quality of care, both focused on community health workers in Nepal (table 1).

Training was typically short-course and in-service, and tended to focus on specific disease areas such as common mental health conditions, paediatric tuberculosis, childhood pneumonia, neonatal bacterial infections or eye care. In Thailand, community pharmacists were successfully trained to identify and refer people with high-risk diabetes and hypertension, combining a training intervention with an effort to strengthen the care pathway.

Decision support, including standard treatment guidelines, was used in many settings across Asia, but there were no examples from the Pacific. A systematic review of mHealth and eHealth tools including decision-support tools found positive impacts on several quality and access measures such as clinic attendance rates, medication adherence and vaccination uptake. Noteworthy intervention studies included a large trial in India and China involving community health workers which found an increase in the proportion of patients with cardiovascular disease taking appropriate medication, and a trial of mobile phone–based tools among nurses in India which was associated with improved blood pressure and blood glucose control. Facilitators of success for such interventions included (re-)training providers, ensuring guidelines are clear and easy to use, a supportive team structure and a stable medication supply. Further, mobile tools allowed care to be tailored to individual patients and improved access by facilitating outreach and opportunistic screening.

Supportive supervision is an important enabler of quality healthcare. It is characterised by the involvement of informal supervisors and peers as well as line managers, and encompasses teamwork, communication and empowerment of staff alongside oversight of clinical skills. Three studies, all from India, found...
Table 1  Summary of evidence of interventions to improve PHC quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workforce development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Short-course/in-service training | Goa, India (mental health)\(^{24}\)  
Bangladesh (paediatric TB)\(^{24}\)  
Nepal (neonatal/child health)\(^{22,23}\) | Builds/maintains skills and knowledge of providers  
Refresher training supports motivation, especially of lay workers  
Linked to success of task-shifting |
| Peer-mentoring and supportive supervision | Karnataka, India (maternal and neonatal health)\(^{34}\)  
Karnataka, India (essential obstetric care)\(^{33}\)  
Odisha, India (immunisation)\(^{35}\) | Dedicated (employed) nurse mentors  
Rapport/trust between mentors and PHC staff  
Support visits from trainers for mentors linked to training  
Team-based self-assessment |
| Patient management tools | | |
| Decision-support system, for example standard treatment guidelines evidence-based care guidelines | Telangana, India (hypertension)\(^{28}\)  
Karnataka, India (maternal and neonatal health)\(^{33}\)  
Nepal (neonatal/child health)\(^{22}\)  
Timor-Leste (eye care medication)\(^{27}\)  
Rajasthan, India (maternal and neonatal health)\(^{100}\)  
Malaysia (medical errors)\(^{36}\)  
Uttar Pradesh, India (maternal and perinatal health)\(^{101}\) | Providers (re-)trained in use of decision-support tools  
Simple visual aid to support use of care guidelines  
Opportunistic screening by CHWs  
Functional referral system between outreach and facility-based care  
Regular supply of medicines/free medicines  
Supervisory support, coaching: cycle of regular assessment, feedback, training and action |
| Digital health: mobile phone/tablet-based decision-support tool | Himachal Pradesh, India (hypertension, diabetes)\(^{31}\)  
Haryana, India; Tibet, China (CVD)\(^{30}\)  
Afghanistan (mental health)\(^{76}\)  
Andhra Pradesh, India (CVD)\(^{102}\) | Ability to tailor patient care based on algorithm  
Easy to follow clinical management guidelines  
Links to virtual consultations (telehealth)  
Enhanced CHW capabilities and motivation  
Clear team structure  
Improved access to screening at home |
| Outreach and community engagement | | |
| Digital health: text messaging | Afghanistan (mental health)\(^{76}\)  
Malaysia (chronic diseases)\(^{103}\) | Community acceptance of technology  
Message content targeted and easy to understand |
| Participatory problem identification and solving | Vietnam (maternal and neonatal health)\(^{104}\) | Community mobilisation  
Participatory action  
Continuous improvement cycle (plan-do-study-act) |
| Systems of care | | |
| Introduction of CQI systems and ‘structured process change’ | Malaysia\(^{36}\)  
Australia (and Fiji)\(^{37}\) | Training of health staff  
Regular coaching (supervision and mentoring)  
Patient education  
Re-formatted case sheets reduced documentation errors  
Presence of local champion |

CHW, community health worker; CQI, continuous quality improvement; CVD, cardiovascular disease; PHC, primary health care.

Supportive supervision improved nurse skills in essential obstetric care, maternal and neonatal care and immunisation services.\(^{33-35}\) Many other studies also identified supervision, mentoring and/or coaching—and its impact on trust and team coherence—as a key element in the success of interventions.
Table 2  Summary of evidence of interventions to improve PHC coverage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service delivery model</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community-based service delivery by lay and other non-physician health workers</td>
<td>► Nepal (pneumonia)³⁳</td>
<td>► Long-term programme development and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Afghanistan (PHC)¹⁰</td>
<td>► Strong integration with national health system, including functional referral system and access to medicines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Nepal (female community health volunteer programme)¹⁰⁵</td>
<td>► Tailored training package (baseline+refresher)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► India, Nepal (mental health)⁶⁷</td>
<td>► Regular monitoring and supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► India (maternal, antenatal care)¹⁰⁶</td>
<td>► Immediate feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Afghanistan, Nepal (maternal, child, reproductive health)⁴⁶</td>
<td>► Standardised checklists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Jamkhed, India (neonatal/postnatal health)¹⁰⁷</td>
<td>► Community oversight; local ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► West Bengal, India (PHC)¹⁰⁸</td>
<td>► Paired male/female CHWs and peer support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Goa, India (mental health)¹⁰⁹</td>
<td>► Maintaining CHW motivation through financial and non-financial incentives (social respect, community standing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Pakistan (maternal and reproductive health)⁵⁰</td>
<td>► Cultural acceptance of CHW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Indonesia (maternal and neonatal health)⁴⁹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Outreach and community engagement** | | |
| Community-based behaviour change intervention | ► American Samoa (diabetes)³⁸ | ► Education sessions with household heads |
| | ► China (CVD, diabetes)³⁹ | ► Reminder services |
| | ► Pakistan (immunisation)⁴⁰ | ► Cultural connexion between CHW and patients to enhance trust |
| | ► Thailand (diabetes prevention)⁴¹ | ► Participatory design, tailored to needs |
| | ► American Samoa (antenatal care)¹¹⁰ | ► Offer of free care for uninsured increased demand |

| Community education, awareness raising, campaigns | ► Bangladesh (TB)²⁶ | ► Training of health workers in use of national guidelines |
| | ► Kerala, India (adolescent sexual and reproductive health)¹¹¹ | ► Targeting mothers, teachers, students, religious and community leaders |
| | ► Nepal (maternal health)⁴³ | ► House-to-house screening |
| | ► Cambodia, India, Nepal (TB)⁴⁴ | ► Referral to accessible, culturally appropriate clinics |
| | | ► Sustained supply of test reagents and pharmaceuticals |
| | | ► Consultative, needs-based intervention design |

CHW, community health worker; CVD, cardiovascular disease; PHC, primary health care.

Only two studies focused on systems of quality improvement. One Malaysian study found a multipronged approach involving training for doctors, patient education, changes to record sheets and use of new decision-support tools to be successful in reducing medical error rates.³⁶ The second study reviewed existing approaches to continuous quality improvement (CQI) in rural Australia and considered their applicability for Pacific nations, concluding that CQI is a complex process of change management requiring structured and ongoing support.³⁷

Coverage

Interventions to improve coverage broadly fell into two domains: community outreach programmes and studies of essential facility-based services delivered by NPHWs. The latter encompassed studies by lay, community and/or mid-level health workers—while these cadres vary significantly in their level of training and capabilities, a common focus of studies was to demonstrate their capacity to deliver interventions effectively (table 2).

Studies of community outreach programmes included behaviour change interventions, particularly for diabetes prevention as well as community education and awareness-raising efforts.³⁶ ³⁸–⁴⁴ These programmes generally reported improved coverage and in some cases improved clinical outcomes. Success factors included direct contact with the community and targeting of community leaders, tailoring of messages to cultural context, and availability of follow-up or referral services.

The second group of studies on facility-based services delivered by NPHWs were predominantly from South
Table 3 Summary of evidence of approaches to improve PHC efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service delivery model</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community-based approaches, task-shifting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Goa, India (mental health)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Proximity of health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Thailand (diabetes and hypertension screening)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Focus on cost-effective essential services (eg, maternal and child health)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Indonesia, North India (basic package of care)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Community-based practitioners operate within an integrated team, supported by health system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Pakistan (maternal and reproductive health)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration of vertical programmes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Maharashtra, India (HIV prevention)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitisation of staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Orissa, India (leprosy)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved co-ordination across programmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Pakistan (blindness prevention)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Advocacy with key political and administrative stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Philippines (diabetes prevention and care)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Adequate resourcing: staff and programme funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Vietnam (mental health)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Use of treatment guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health promotion and patient management tools</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population-based screening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Bhutan (diabetes and hypertension)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Universal (Bhutan) or targeted (high-risk groups &gt;40 years, Indonesia) more cost-effective than opportunistic screening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Indonesia (diabetes and hypertension)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up treatment follows PEN guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic decision-support tools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Telangana State, India (hypertension management; physicians)</td>
<td></td>
<td>More cost-effective than chart-based support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Link with counselling on lifestyle modification improves impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHC financing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracting service through non-state providers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Bangladesh (basic package of care)</td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Competition’ between providers may motivate performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Various (systematic review, basic package of care)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Better organisation and management capacity, in part due to autonomy/independence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Pakistan (eye health)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Better systems and capacity to absorb and use budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Punjab, India (PHC)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Better infrastructure, equipment, medicines supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Good community links</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Govt capacity for effective contract management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trust between contract managers and providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regular supervisory visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bonus system linked to coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Link to higher-level facilities (also NGO run)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatekeeping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Shenzhen, China</td>
<td></td>
<td>Combined with insurance model (means patients bypassing PHC have substantially higher out-of-pocket costs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Investment in PHC infrastructure (increases willingness to use)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Asia, especially India. Most reported improved service uptake and/or health outcomes. As with studies related to quality of care, supportive and regular supervision of health workers, strong integration into the health system and good relations with the community were facilitators of success. Two multicountry grey literature reviews found that CHWs, a sub-set of NPHWs, have contributed to the decline of maternal and child mortality rates and helped decrease the burden of TB and malaria. Similarly, a four-country study (including Nepal and Afghanistan in Asia) found that CHWs have provided effective maternal, neonatal and family planning services.
Table 4  Summary of evidence of approaches to improve PHC responsiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service delivery model</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of non-physician health workers</td>
<td>▶ India and Nepal (mental health)67</td>
<td>▶ Positive relationship between CHWs and community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Thailand (PLHIV ART)68</td>
<td>▶ Convenience of accessing services: home visits, patient choice of service location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ American Samoa (diabetic control)69</td>
<td>▶ Affordability of services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Afghanistan (basic package of MCH, disease prevention)70</td>
<td>▶ Availability of medicines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Positive relationship between CHWs and community</td>
<td>▶ Individualised care plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Convenience of accessing services: home visits, patient choice of service location</td>
<td>▶ Paired male/female CHWs removes gender barriers to access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community engagement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient/community engagement</td>
<td>▶ Thailand (diabetes prevention; family nurses)41 72</td>
<td>▶ Local ownership: community groups and local organisations define local problems and are involved in programme design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Mangalore, India (family folders)71</td>
<td>▶ Involvement of village/local leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Nepal (maternal and child health)43</td>
<td>▶ Enhanced healthcare worker trust increases service utilisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Health education sessions and materials in local language</td>
<td>▶ Partnerships with traditional healers to integrate ‘new’ and traditional knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Partnerships with traditional healers to integrate ‘new’ and traditional knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family-centred care</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Thailand (family nurses)72</td>
<td>▶ Facilitating change in social context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Mangalore, India (family folders)71</td>
<td>▶ Strengthens interpersonal/family relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Continuity of services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ART, anti-retroviral therapy; CHW, community health worker; MCH, maternal and child health; PHC, primary health care; PLHIV, persons living with HIV.

Although small-scale and grey literature studies tended to report positive outcomes, Cochrane reviews for immunisation, TB case detection, and maternal and child healthcare—community-level services typically delivered from a PHC facility—had mixed findings.44 46–48 There was ‘low certainty’ evidence that providing information to communities, health education at facilities, immunisation cards, and regular outreach could improve immunisation coverage and monetary incentives to parents had no impact on vaccination uptake.46 For TB, outreach screening including house-to-house visits could increase case detection, but this had little or no impact on treatment success rates. Further, there was no clear evidence that health promotion (including mass media activities) or training nurses in TB case detection had any impact on reducing TB prevalence.

Two long-term evaluations of large-scale interventions to improve coverage of essential services through national PHC systems echo these mixed findings. Indonesia’s national ‘midwife in the village’ programme had no lasting impact on birth weight over 10 years, though it did expand the coverage and quality of prenatal care.49 In Pakistan, the community-based Lady Health Worker programme was associated with reduced infant and maternal mortality and improved contraceptive prevalence; however, these findings could be attributed to other factors such as rising household incomes. No corresponding improvements in breast feeding, sanitation or community health knowledge were observed. Lack of integration with the health system and high levels of dissatisfaction among health workers due to poor remuneration were identified as factors influencing these outcomes.50

**Efficiency**

Studies on PHC financing interventions and systems are reviewed in a companion paper; here, we present studies of interventions aiming to improve the efficiency of PHC systems.12 The majority of included studies were cost-effectiveness analyses comparing the incremental costs of a new intervention or model of care with the status quo. Studies looked at efficiency gains from task-shifting, that is, using NPHWs to provide an intervention or package of interventions usually delivered by more senior staff; population-based screening; and electronic decision-support tools.24 51–57 Findings were generally positive, that is, the health worker or service studied represented a cost-effective use of resources. In two cases—treatment of common mental health disorders and population-based screening for non-communicable disease (NCDs)—the interventions were found to be cost-saving. Contributors to success included a team-based approach and good co-ordination between programmes, for example, linking hypertension management in a clinical setting with community-based lifestyle counselling (table 3).24 56 58
Table 5  Summary of evidence of approaches to improve PHC equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service delivery model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of CHWs (task-shifting)</td>
<td>Myanmar (PHC)75, Afghanistan (midwifery)74, Indonesia (PHC)53</td>
<td>Community involved in selection of CHWs, deployment and retention, Commitment from community and families to support CHWs, Baseline and annual refresher training for CHWs, Established links between clinic-based services and mobile teams, Opportunities for women in rural areas—education, work in health delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHC system financing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracting to non-state providers</td>
<td>Afghanistan (PHC)77, Bangladesh (PHC)65</td>
<td>Bonus system linked to health equity targets, Health worker education, supervisory visits and supportive supervision; quality of care, NGO greater flexibility in reallocating fixed budget, CHWs actively referring patient to NGO-funded tertiary facilities, Available/improved infrastructure and medicines, Wider variety of service offered, Closer ties to community, Organised outreach services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community empowerment/ownership</td>
<td>Chattisgarh, India (PHC)78</td>
<td>Community-led monitoring, planning and action, Engagement of community leaders: village health committees, women’s leadership, Data shared with community, consultation on service improvement, Community mortality data registries available to provide evidence of health inequities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient management tools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital health: mobile phone/tablet-based decision support tool</td>
<td>Afghanistan (mental health)76</td>
<td>CHWs able to undertake guideline-based screening in remote areas, Efficient referral links to facility-based services, Improved access to care through telehealth consultations, Reduction of stigma in the community</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHW, community health worker; PHC, primary health care.

Several studies examined efficiency gains associated with the integration of new or existing (but managed separately) condition-specific programmes into a broader package of PHC. They also generally reported positive findings. A pilot to incorporate HIV screening into PHC services in Maharashtra, India, increased coverage and saved money.52 Also in India, in Orissa, a leprosy programme was integrated into general care without impact on quality or effectiveness.59 In Pakistan, blindness prevention was gradually and successfully integrated into routine primary care over an extended period; and in the Philippines, diabetes prevention and management were integrated into the work of existing staff yielding positive clinical outcomes.60 Facilitators of integration included strong health managers, provider engagement, and careful planning and co-ordination. Only one study reported negative outcomes, a mental health service integrated into PHC in Vietnam increased coverage for epilepsy and schizophrenia but was unable to expand community-based early intervention and diagnosis for other mental health disorders due to lack of funds and staff.61

We found only one empirical study on the cost-effectiveness of gatekeeping in PHC, from China.62 The study of a gatekeeping trial reported reduced hospital utilisation, but noted PHC infrastructure enhancements were needed to attract patients. Other studies found that contracting services to non-state providers offered savings by reducing the cost of delivering care mainly due to better administrative, managerial and absorptive capacity among these providers.60 63-65 The importance of contract management skills in government, and trust...
between government and providers, were highlighted as a critical factors.

**Responsiveness**

WHO defines responsiveness as “the ability of the health system to meet the population’s legitimate expectations regarding their interaction with the health system”. We found studies relating to key aspects of this definition, that is, patient perceptions of care, and the capacity of the health system to respond to and engage with patients and the community (table 4).

Studies on patient views on the quality and acceptability of care provided by lay, community and other NPHP included studies on using lay workers to deliver basic mental healthcare, shifting delivery of anti-retroviral therapy from hospital doctors to community nurses, providing diabetic care through a combined team of nurses and CHWs, and using CHWs to deliver a basic package of maternal and child care and disease prevention services. In Afghanistan, the pairing of male and females CHWs helped reduce gender-based barriers to access. These strategies report high acceptability rates with patients appreciating the enhanced accessibility of services and the receipt of personalised, patient-centred care. However, a systematic review on barriers and facilitators to lay health worker programmes found that while the community often had high levels of trust in lay workers, they also saw the care delivered by these workers as ‘insufficient’, particularly when they lacked access to medicines and equipment. Similarly, one Thai study reported that the community felt nurses based in local facilities delivered lower-quality care while also appreciating the benefit of not having to travel to hospital to receive treatment.

Other studies of responsiveness examined patient engagement in the design of diabetes prevention services, and engaging traditional healers and female community members in developing a maternal and child care intervention. Two further studies described working with the community to design and deliver a ‘family-centred’ PHC service package. In Mangalore, India, family clinical records were reviewed to identify priority needs, determined as malaria and reproductive health, and weekly community-based health education and training for women in infection prevention and control provided. Over 2 years, the proportion of households using bed nets and practising family planning more than doubled. Similarly, in Thailand, family nurses used regular community meetings to draw out ‘social capital’ within families and communities, and this resulted in enhanced service utilisation.

**Equity**

Only one intervention study was explicitly focused on reducing inequities in health status: a childhood undernutrition programme in China. The study found that while stunting had declined at aggregate level, inequities in stunting between socioeconomic groups had not reduced. Studies with an implicit equity focus included those concerned with extending services to under-served populations in rural and remote areas and to internally displaced people. Community engagement in the selection and deployment of CHWs, well-established links with clinic-based services and keeping CHW knowledge up to date all supported the success of such programmes. Equipping CHWs with mobile decision-support tools can further decrease inequities in service coverage by facilitating outreach. One study found that capacity strengthening for female CHWs in remote areas can itself address gender inequities given employment and skill-building opportunities for women are grossly limited in such areas.

Contracting services to NGOs and other non-profit providers has also been successful in reducing inequities in service provision. A study from Afghanistan suggests provider autonomy to allocate resources was a key determinant of success along with provision of bonuses linked to increased service uptake among poorer patients. Similarly, a study from Bangladesh found a contracted NGO to be more effective at reaching the poor with a package of PHC than government providers, likely because it had a better supply of medications, closer community ties and a larger operating budget.

A long-running programme in rural India aimed to empower communities by providing data on health status, access to services and determinants of health and supporting advocacy for improved services. A grey literature review of the programme reported sustained levels of community engagement and increased knowledge of health inequities and their causes. However, no evidence was presented on improved equity in access to services or health outcomes. Although an explicit focus of our conceptual framework, we found no studies that aimed to address the political economy or sociopolitical determinants of health policy and health equity.

**DISCUSSION**

In this review, we appraised the evidence on strategies to improve PHC organisation in the Asia-Pacific region and identified factors that contributed to success. Although the PHC systems in these countries are highly varied in their structure, workforce and resource base, we identified three common elements of effective PHC organisation, all in line with the Astana declaration, and recommendations for PHC systems strengthening from the 2008 World Health Report on Primary Health Care and the Primary Health Care Performance Initiative.

First, in line with the principle of **person-centredness**, strong community-centred approaches that enhance PHC as the first point of contact can enhance the responsiveness of the health system. Community engagement ranges from ‘light touch’ consultation through to more substantive input as part of a co-design approach. Such approaches increase acceptability for services, and foster community respect and trust.
for PHC systems, in turn reducing demand for hospital-centric care (table 4). Second, reflecting the importance of first-contact access, NPHWs (including lay and CHWs) can have a positive impact on enhancing the quality, coverage and efficiency of PHC services, and there is emerging evidence of their beneficial role in NCD prevention and management. In line with the principle of continuity (and WHO guidance on the role of task-shifting), NPHW models require supportive supervision including encompassing regular supervisory visits, provision of immediate feedback and team-based assessment, a trusting relationship between PHC workers, and senior colleagues and clear pathways to second-level facilities. Third, when combined with adequate training and support and when well linked to information systems, decision-support tools can improve coverage of services to under-served populations, enhance equity of access and improve quality of care—supporting delivery of co-ordinated and comprehensive care.

There was a dearth of information, however, on how best to operationalise these principles and approaches, or the importance of adequate financing. The majority of original research describes the testing or evaluation of a single intervention or an isolated aspect of service delivery—for example, the use of a particular diagnostic tool, the effectiveness of training to impart a specific capability and the acceptability to the community of a certain change. To an extent, this reflects the limitations of the most commonly used study designs (randomised controlled trial, pre–post, cross-sectional), which dictate that artificial boundaries be drawn around the intervention being studied in order to measure a discernible effect. This approach also characterises PHC as a set of vertical programmes—immunisation, antenatal care, TB control and so on—and neglects the health systems complexity which underpins the delivery of these programmes. In some cases, these programmes may be funded and managed separately—for example, when they are financed by global health partnerships—however, in many cases, they are a part of a package of PHC care. Much of the literature we reviewed fails to capture the relationship between the specific programme or intervention studied and the broader package of PHC, or iterative evolution of interventions over time. This limits knowledge generation and, in particular, it makes it hard to identify the enabling factors needed to support successful, context-specific adoption of evidence-based approaches.

To help bridge the gap between the narrowly focused evidence base and generalisable guidance, we propose five areas of further research to support the implementation of PHC systems strengthening strategies which would benefit from further collaborative approaches between health system managers, development agencies and researchers.

**Institutionalise and sustain community engagement**

Strong community engagement and participation were integral to early conceptualisations of PHC, including ‘health for all’ as set out in the Alma-Ata declaration and reiterated in the recent Astana declaration. Although the studies we reviewed indicate that community engagement is important, processes to enhance engagement were not well explored. Engagement needs to be conceptualised broadly, to encompass the involvement of communities in the planning, design, governance and delivery of services. Processes that stress the importance of partnership over consultation are required. This means going beyond one-off exercises to gather information on community needs and priorities—though this is important—to sustained engagement resulting in the transfer of power from health system to communities, allowing communities a role in agenda-setting and actively engaging community members in decision-making. A stronger evidence base would help inform the tailoring of different approaches to community engagement in different contexts, as well as how to monitor and measure the effectiveness of these efforts.

**Develop more robust NPHW workforce strategies**

‘Non-physicians’ are a broad group, encompassing CHWs with just a few weeks’ training through to experienced nurses, midwives and other ancillary professions. Strengthening the skills and capacities of this highly varied workforce is nonetheless a common focus of the PHC literature given they are on the frontline of service delivery, often working without supervision. Studies we reviewed suggest training and support provided to NPHWs (including lay and CHWs) is typically one-off, ad hoc and focused on building skills in a particular area. Recent studies in high-income countries suggest efforts to strengthen primary care, including through task-shifting, are not well aligned with workforce development plans, which typically prioritise medical and specialist workforce development. It is also likely that many LMICs do not pay sufficient attention to medium-term and long-term needs of their NPHW, or strategically link the development of this workforce to evolving models of PHC and in particular the increasing focus on chronic care. Comprehensive and differentiated workforce development strategies for NPWHs are needed, which recognise that CHWs will require very different approaches to formal sector workers such as nurses and midwives, but also that all groups require a clear career pathway and remuneration framework that considers both financial and non-financial rewards. In addition to training, these strategies should consider how to build ‘team’ approaches and strengthen supportive supervision. Researchers can play a key role in providing the evidence to support the implementation of these strategies.

**Operationalise an integrated PHC service package**

Increasingly, countries are defining a ‘package’ of services linked to the achievement of UHC. Brokering...
agreements on an entire package of services covered under UHC reforms is a complex process that ideally involves the generation of locally relevant evidence of cost-effectiveness. Against this background, interventions delivered through PHC as opposed to hospital setting can be highly cost-effective and acceptable to the community.\textsuperscript{7,8,9} Until recently, the focus has been on acute care and maternal and child health services, but the importance of integrating NCD prevention and control into the PHC model of care is increasingly recognised.\textsuperscript{2,6-9} Disease-agnostic, integrated service delivery models are therefore a priority. However, we found limited evidence of comprehensive systems-based approaches to operationalising an integrated package. This means consideration of, for example, staff roles, skills acquisition, supervision and workload, medicines and equipment supply, establishing appropriate care pathways for referral and follow-up, data collection and monitoring, and cost considerations including stimulus funding to transform models of care. Rather, studies focused on a single aspect of the package, such as introducing a new NCD service. A more systems-based approach may be underway in countries, but our review suggests any learnings are not being shared through the academic literature. Researchers have an important role in capturing and disseminating such knowledge.

**Build managerial capacity within PHC systems**

Good governance—encompassing accountability to local communities, availability of information on provider performance, robust financial management and procedures to report misuse of resources—is critical to effective service delivery but remains a chronically under-studied element of health systems development and financing.\textsuperscript{91-93} Good health sector management practice is an important aspect of governance. It ensures facilities and the equipment within them are well maintained, medicines are ordered on time and properly stored, outreach activities are integrated and executed effectively, and so on.\textsuperscript{91} Strong managerial capacity is also key to effective contracting of non-state providers to deliver services. Despite this, we found little evidence on how to build managerial systems and capacities to strengthen PHC organisation—or indeed on how ‘good management’ is defined—suggesting that these functions are neglected at PHC level. Arguably, managerial capacity is most important at PHC level given scarcity of resources and, in remote areas, distance from administrative support in capitals.\textsuperscript{94} More evidence is needed on how to improve capabilities such as planning, budgeting, target setting and monitoring, and these capabilities need to be prioritised in PHC strengthening efforts. Better management will in turn strengthen accountability—as clear target setting and measurement underpins performance monitoring.\textsuperscript{92}

**Modernise and integrate information systems for PHC**

Information systems can play a key role supporting the organisation and delivery of PHC services, through assessing the health needs of populations, guiding the planning and implementation of health interventions, and facilitating coordination of care.\textsuperscript{8,95} Yet, in many LMIC contexts, systems for data collection, analysis and dissemination are weak and fragmented, and cannot support even basic monitoring of vital statistics and health trends.\textsuperscript{96} The risk of relying on technological ‘fixes’ to systemic problems is long recognised. Over 25 years ago, Sandiford et al noted the potential of new means of generating health data to guide PHC reform, but warned this would not be realised without greater attention to how health information is used and by whom.\textsuperscript{97} This observation remains valid today: while we saw promising evidence of the potential of digital health strategies to support PHC systems—for example, through data collection, processing and real-time data analysis—the ‘human elements’ required to support adoption were often neglected. Most interventions did not recognise the context of relatively weak information systems nor the broader challenges of establishing appropriate data governance standards. Long-standing challenges of ensuring data informs decision-making remain, while new challenges of maintaining confidentiality while promoting transparency and linking data from multiple sources have emerged.\textsuperscript{98} A PHC ‘ecosystem’ approach is therefore needed.

**Limitations**

The majority of studies reviewed were small in scale and limited in scope, making it difficult to generalise findings. Nevertheless, cross-country analysis of country experience is a common and accepted approach to generating cross-cutting lessons, including for PHC.\textsuperscript{99} Although we reviewed many literature sources, it is possible that the search strategy missed some relevant literature. We focused on intervention studies with the objective of gathering evidence of ‘what works’, and as a result we did not consider narrative reviews or policy analyses which may provide insight on how the broader structure, financing and governance of the health system affects the quality of PHC services. Given the highly dynamic and variable levels of financing of health systems in the region, this will be a particularly important consideration when assessing the suitability of certain interventions. We conducted an extensive search of the grey literature but could not access internal government evaluations of PHC service delivery models given these are not publically available. Due to the high variation in studies and literature sources, we did not conduct a formal appraisal of evidence quality. Finally, the literature remains dominated by evidence from larger countries such as India and China; complex health systems analyses remain relatively rare and there are few systematic reviews or cross-country comparisons to draw out best practices.
CONCLUSION
In LMICs of the Asia-Pacific region, the journey towards UHC begins with stronger PHC systems. Even in the most challenging environments, PHC generally represents a cost-effective and feasible approach to delivering critical, life-saving services to the whole population. When basic quality standards are met, PHC services and their staff often enjoy strong community support, which in turn generates trust in, and support for, the broader health system.

A systematic approach to the revision, analysis and synthesis of the PHC literature provides new insights into effective models of PHC organisation which should inform future systems-strengthening efforts. Despite a modest evidence base, we were able to make tentative conclusions on ‘what works’ in the organisation of PHC and identify gaps where further research is needed, mindful that adaptation to local context is likely to be the key element of success.
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