

## Annex 2. Overview of case studies

Target issue	Title	Location of case study	Year(s)	Brief description of the initiative	Sectors involved	Key successes and challenges of multi-sectoral implementation at sub-national levels
HIV/AIDS	National and sub-national HIV/AIDS coordination: are global health initiatives closing the gap between intent and practice?[21]	China, Georgia, Kyrgyzstan, Mozambique, Peru, Ukraine, Zambia  <i>Sub-national units not specified</i>	2006 – 2008	Development and functioning of national and sub-national HIV coordination structures, and the extent to which global HIV/AIDS coordination efforts (i.e. GFATM, PEPFAR, and the World Bank) are aligned with and strengthen country health systems.	<u>Government</u> <i>Not specified</i>  <u>International organizations</u> i.e. GFATM, PEPFAR, World Bank	<u>Successes</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Investment from key members of coordination bodies and commitment of high-level government leaders</b> were important factors in addressing HIV/AIDS epidemics through multi-sectoral efforts.</li> <li>• <b>Multi-sectoral coordination</b> improved participation of relevant departments/ministries and other stakeholders in decision-making processes.</li> </ul> <u>Challenges</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Donors' priorities undermined alignment with country priorities and processes</b> by generating competition for scarce resources and by stifling country ownership and decision-making authority.</li> <li>• <b>Proliferation of national and subnational HIV/AIDS coordination structures</b> has challenged effective governance.</li> <li>• <b>Perceived health sector's sole responsibility of HIV/AIDS</b> negatively impacted participation and engagement of non-health departments and non-governmental stakeholders in national and sub-national coordination structures.</li> <li>• <b>Limited financial resources, awareness of roles and responsibilities, and capacity of staff</b> undermined the ability of coordination structures and sub-national staff.</li> </ul>
HIV/AIDS	Implementing a multi-sectoral response to HIV:	South Africa	2012 – 2016	Implementation of the National Strategic Plan to	<u>Government</u> All government departments, led by the	<u>Successes</u> <i>Not specified</i>

Target issue	Title	Location of case study	Year(s)	Brief description of the initiative	Sectors involved	Key successes and challenges of multi-sectoral implementation at sub-national levels
	a case study of AIDS councils in the Mpumalanga Province, South Africa[20]	<i>Mpumalanga province</i>  <i>All 3 districts (Gert Sibande, Ehlanzeni, and Nkangala)</i>  <i>2 municipalities per district</i>		address HIV, STIs, and tuberculosis through mandated multi-sectoral AIDS Councils (ACs) at national, provincial, district and local municipality levels	<i>Department of Health (specific departments not specified)</i>  <u>Civil society</u> Community-based organisations (i.e. Mothers to Mothers) Faith-based organisations (i.e. pastors and traditional healers forums) NGOs (i.e. Anova Health, All Seasons Home Based Care)  <u>Private sector</u> <i>Specific companies not specified</i>	<u>Challenges</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Voluntary membership and lack of financial support for participation</b> in the ACs led to poor government and private sector engagement and frequent membership changes.</li> <li>• <b>Lack of standard operating procedures and unclear roles and responsibilities</b> resulted in divisions and tension between sectors, and parallel activities within government and between government and civil society.</li> <li>• <b>Lack of decision-making power and funding</b>, coupled with <b>inadequate senior political leadership</b>, hindered operationalization of the ACs' recommendations.</li> <li>• <b>Power inequalities and mistrust</b> between government and civil society hindered effective collaboration.</li> <li>• <b>Limited capacity</b> amongst AC members hindered their ability to undertake the activities necessary for coordinating implementation.</li> </ul>
Nutrition	Multisector Nutrition Program Governance and Implementation in Ethiopia: Opportunities and Challenges[18]	Ethiopia  <i>All 4 regions (Amhara, Oromia, SNNPR, and Tigray)</i>	2013 – 2015	Implementation of the multi-sectoral National Nutrition Program at national, regional, and woreda (district) levels	<u>Government</u> All Ministries with direct or indirect involvement in nutrition, led by the Ministries of Health, Agriculture, and Education ( <i>specific departments not specified</i> )  <u>Civil society</u> International movements (i.e. Scaling Up Nutrition (SUN) and Renew Efforts	<u>Successes</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Definition of the key nutritional problems and their drivers in each region</b> facilitated targeted interventions.</li> <li>• <b>Designated nutrition focal points at the woreda level</b> supported implementation and accountability.</li> </ul> <u>Challenges</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Limited involvement in the development of the national program, low awareness of the program, and limited prioritization of nutrition at sub-national levels</b> (especially among non-</li> </ul>

Target issue	Title	Location of case study	Year(s)	Brief description of the initiative	Sectors involved	Key successes and challenges of multi-sectoral implementation at sub-national levels
					against Child Hunger and under nutrition (REACH)) NGOs ( <i>not specified</i> )	<p>health ministries) hindered buy-in and implementation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Diversity of opinions across sectors about drivers of nutritional problems</b> hindered definition of the intervention packages at the regional level.</li> <li>• <b>Perceived ownership of the national program by the Ministry of Health and lack of a coordinating body and multi-sectoral roles, responsibilities, and accountability mechanisms</b> hindered collaboration between other ministries (especially agriculture)</li> <li>• <b>Budget shortages</b> (including lack of a specific line item for nutrition in each Ministry budget) and <b>lack of incentives for collaboration</b> contributed to the perception that government was asking sub-national staff to do more work with no additional financial or human resources.</li> <li>• <b>Limited capacity and retention of staff</b> hindered effective and sustained multi-sectoral implementation.</li> </ul>
Nutrition	Explaining the reduction in child undernutrition in the Indian state of Maharashtra between 2006 and 2012: An analysis of the policy[16]	India  <i>Maharashtra state</i>  <i>3 districts (Thane, Nagpur, and Amravati)</i>	2006 – 2012	State-level coordination of the 'Nutrition Mission' set up by the Indian state of Maharashtra, and its contribution to Maharashtra's rapid decline in child undernutrition	<p><u>Government</u> Relevant ministries (i.e. Agricultural, Education, Food and Civil Supplies, Housing, Social Justice, Tribal Affairs)</p> <p><u>Civil society</u> NGOs (i.e. Citizen's Alliance against Malnutrition Committee) Media</p>	<p><u>Successes</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Framing of the issue, and generation and communication of evidence</b> played a key role in building credibility, putting the issue on the government's agenda, and generating a swift response.</li> <li>• <b>Political leadership and a focus on system-wide capacity</b> gave the issue priority and supported bureaucratic structures that enabled implementation.</li> <li>• <b>The multi-sectoral Nutrition Mission coordination structure</b> improved coordination between departments of health and women and</li> </ul>

Target issue	Title	Location of case study	Year(s)	Brief description of the initiative	Sectors involved	Key successes and challenges of multi-sectoral implementation at sub-national levels
						<p>child development, and gave emphasis to strengthening sub-national level capacity.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Locally collected and locally credible data</b> (outside of national level surveys) was also critical in highlighting the need for action and tailoring interventions at the state level.</li> </ul> <p><u>Challenges</u> <i>Not specified</i></p>
Nutrition	How Senegal created an enabling environment for nutrition: A story of change[15]	<p>Senegal</p> <p><i>1 administrative department (not specified)</i></p> <p><i>4 Collectivités Locales (districts)</i></p> <p><i>1 village per Collectivités Locale</i></p>	2001 – 2015	Mobilization of political commitment and increased coherence in national and sub-national action to address child undernutrition	<p><u>Government</u> Relevant ministries (i.e. Agriculture, Health, Women and Children, Women’s Entrepreneurship, Education, Trade, Industry, Finance)</p> <p><u>Civil society</u> NGOs (i.e. Yaajeende, the Helen Keller International, Eau Vie Environnement, CONGAD, the Micronutrient Initiative)</p> <p><u>International organizations</u> (i.e. UNICEF, WFP)</p>	<p><u>Successes</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>National policies</b> integrated nutrition in multiple sectors’ mandates (i.e. health, education, agriculture) and promoted partnerships with civil society and the private sector.</li> <li>• <b>Targeted advocacy</b> created increased understanding among agriculture, health, and education stakeholders of how nutrition relates to their individual mandates</li> <li>• <b>Increased high-level engagement and ownership</b> of nutrition as a priority development issue, including housing of the issue in the Prime Minister’s office, spearheaded and sustained action.</li> <li>• <b>Establishment of a national coordinating body</b> fostered shared ownership (i.e. by facilitating the incorporation of nutrition into sectoral agendas); pushed for coordination between government, civil society, and international organizations; and centralized political and administrative processes.</li> </ul> <p><u>Challenges</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Lack of nutrition-specific objectives and budget items in other sectors</b> (i.e. within the National</li> </ul>

Target issue	Title	Location of case study	Year(s)	Brief description of the initiative	Sectors involved	Key successes and challenges of multi-sectoral implementation at sub-national levels
						<p>Agricultural Investment Program) hindered multi-sectoral engagement and monitoring.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Lack of authority of the national coordinating body to enforce collaboration</b> (including budgetary commitment) forces reliance on the willingness of the sectors involved.</li> <li>• <b>Lack of clear guidance for sub-national authorities</b> on how to incorporate nutrition into their local development plans stifled progress at local levels</li> </ul>
Nutrition	From coherence towards commitment: Changes and challenges in Zambia's nutrition policy environment[19]	Zambia  <i>1 district (Mumbwa)</i>	2011 – 2015	Implementation of nutrition policy linked to the SUN movement through piloting of District Nutrition Coordinating Committees	<p><u>Government</u> Relevant ministries (i.e. Health, Agriculture and Livestock, Community Development, WASH)</p> <p><u>Civil society</u> NGOs (i.e. Concern Worldwide) Faith-based organisations Village women's groups</p>	<p><u>Successes</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>International movements</b> helped to bypass the need for political prioritization by channeling resources and technical support directly to the technical sections of government tasked with nutrition issues.</li> <li>• <b>Support from NGOs</b> helped to catalyse the coordination of different stakeholders during preliminary implementation.</li> </ul> <p><u>Challenges</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Leadership and engagement from international movements and NGOs</b> limited broader government attention and system-wide commitment (esp. financial) and monitoring.</li> <li>• <b>Different roles, mandates, and priorities among government ministries</b> was a challenge for messaging and hindered engagement.</li> <li>• <b>Limited use of local level data</b> in development of policy/programme had potentially negative impact on relevance of intervention in different sub-national regions.</li> </ul>
GBV/VAW	One stop crisis centres: A policy	Malaysia	1985 onwards	Development and national	<u>Government</u>	<u>Successes</u>

Target issue	Title	Location of case study	Year(s)	Brief description of the initiative	Sectors involved	Key successes and challenges of multi-sectoral implementation at sub-national levels
	analysis of the Malaysian response to intimate partner violence[17]	2 states (Penang and Kelantan)		scale-up of the One Stop Crisis Centre policy and subsequent health model for violence-response	Relevant departments (i.e. health, social welfare, police, legal aid)  <u>Civil society</u> Joint Action Group of NGOs (i.e. Women's Aid Organisation, Association of Women's Lawyers, Malaysian Trade Unions Congress Women's Section, University Women's Association, Selangor and Federal Territory Consumers' Association)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Advocacy and piloting of the intervention model by civil society</b> established legitimacy of GBV/VAW as a national problem and shaped service protocols.</li> <li>• <b>Regular meetings at the sub-national level with multi-sectoral partners</b> strengthened coordination and monitoring.</li> </ul> <p><u>Challenges</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Lack of clear roles and responsibilities</b> hindered the handover of the pilot intervention by NGOs to the Department of Health for institutionalization and scale up.</li> <li>• <b>Lack of clear policy guidance and operational details</b> from the Department of Health left the implementation of services to the sub-national level, with negative implications for quality.</li> <li>• <b>Lack of financial resources</b> to implement services severely constrained the ability of sub-national stakeholders to deliver the necessary services.</li> </ul>
Maternal health	Maternal death inquiry and response in India - the impact of contextual factors on defining an optimal model to help meet critical maternal health policy objectives[22]	India  3 states (Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, and West Bengal)  4 districts per state	2005 – 2009	Implementation of the standardised community-based Maternal and Perinatal Death Inquiry and Response (MAPEDIR) initiative	<u>Government</u> Ministry of Health and Family Welfare and Panchayati Raj (local government jurisdiction)  <u>Civil society</u> NGOs Women's self-help groups  <u>Academia</u> Medical university faculties	<u>Successes</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Sharing data, with careful attention to confidentiality and maintaining a non-blaming environment</b>, at multiple levels resulted in a common understanding of the issue and interventions required, which was useful for advocacy.</li> <li>• <b>Clear division of labour among different stakeholders</b> (i.e. district health authority, NGOs, UNICEF) enabled district-wide implementation.</li> <li>• <b>Support from an international organization</b> (i.e. UNICEF) eased transition of responsibility of</li> </ul>

Target issue	Title	Location of case study	Year(s)	Brief description of the initiative	Sectors involved	Key successes and challenges of multi-sectoral implementation at sub-national levels
					JHBSPH <u>Private sector</u> Private providers  <u>International organizations</u> i.e. UNICEF	analysing and entering data to district health offices. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Support from NGOs</b> helped to ensure bottom-up sectoral planning and community involvement in policy processes, and supported service delivery in the public health system when the local government was unable to do so</li> </ul> <u>Challenges</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Lack of full integrated into the government's health programme's management structure</b> negatively impacted scale up and sustainability.</li> <li>• <b>Lack of coordination with different ministries and non-governmental stakeholders</b> resulted in multiple referrals between facilities with associated costs and delays.</li> <li>• <b>Lack of data on the scale of the problem</b> (i.e. number of maternal deaths) impacted ability to meet policy objectives (i.e. reducing maternal mortality).</li> <li>• <b>Weak engagement of communities as active participants and partners</b> negatively impacted local level implementation.</li> </ul>