Neglected tropical diseases and the sustainable development goals: an urgent call for action from the front line
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“We must become bigger than we have been: more courageous, greater in spirit, larger in outlook.”

Emperor Haile Selassie

It was in the city of Gondar in Ethiopia, one of the highest burden countries for neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) 1 and currently home to 16 to 20 recognised NTDs (table 1), that a unanimous desire was expressed by scientists, policy makers and health workers from around the world (the forum was an operational research training on NTDs organised by the Structured Operational Research and Training Initiative (SORT IT). SORT IT is a global partnership coordinated by the Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR) hosted at the WHO. http://www.who.int/trd/capacity/strengthening/sort/en/, for urgent reflection on how to garner support and hasten the pace towards achieving the fast approaching Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) target of eliminating NTDs by 2030. 2 Concerns, raised by the group are articulated below:

First, there is the term ‘Neglected Tropical Diseases’, coined by Peter Hotez and colleagues in 2003 with the noble intention of propelling political momentum, catalysing donor funding and making quantum shifts in research and development (R&D). 3 The question today is whether designating a specific group of diseases as being ‘neglected’ does not carry with it a negative and disempowering connotation. Populations affected by NTDs already face neglect by being the world’s poorest and facing social stigmatisation, prejudice and marginalisation. Lacking a ‘strong political voice’, these communities have a low profile and status in public health priorities. 4 Having the label of ‘neglected’ added to their illnesses may accentuate their predicament. Put simply, how can human beings, who risk being diminished by being designated as ‘neglected’ by us in the health system, be considered equal partners in their own care? Language is more than words: it has the power to transform the way people think and behave. 5

This term may thus allow politicians and donors to maintain a ‘business-as-usual’ mode by accepting the idea of neglect as an unchangeable paradigm, damping the drivers behind political impetus and funding. The quote from a SORT IT

Summary box

- The international community has pledged through the Sustainable Development Goals to eliminate neglected tropical diseases by 2030.
- Authors from 19 institutions around the world call for urgent reflection and a change in mind-set to garner support and hasten progress towards achieving this fast approaching target.
- They advocate for an empowering approach that will propel political momentum, milestones and targets for accountability, new science in drug development and increased funding particularly from G20 countries.
HIV/AIDS is a good example. The but strong activism and political momentum is now passiveness. continued funding support it may encourage being removed from the NTD list may be a disincentive for continued funding support it may encourage. Furthermore, since the NTD package includes a diversity of diseases, the role of disaggregated or appropriately combined treatment targets may help.

Third, the list of NTDs is diverse, with parasitic, bacterial, viral, fungal infections and snakebite envenoming. Unlike diseases such as HIV/AIDS, TB and Malaria, there are practical difficulties in building strong communities of practice, as NTD work is often confined to silos of specific diseases. While recognising the specificities of each condition, new ways of building an integrated approach that compels stakeholders to look beyond ‘the trees to see the forest’ are needed.

The WHO Roadmap strategy on control, elimination and eradication of NTDs is commendable, but it is geared only until 2020 and urgent work is needed to align it with the 2030 SDG target. Importantly, the road map is largely focused on the diseases for which medicines and large-scale prevention and treatment options exist, such as onchocerciasis, lymphatic filariasis, schistosomiasis, soil-transmitted helminths (STH) and trachoma. Implementation of large-scale prevention and treatment is often hampered by shortages of drugs and vaccines which needs to be addressed. Vector control activities are also required for vectorborne diseases, such as dengue and chikungunya fevers and rabies. The provision of safe water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) is another key component of the WHO strategy to overcome NTDs such as trachoma and STH. These interventions, and how they are integrated into broader health systems and within the principles of UHC, are spelt out in the WHO’s 4th comprehensive Report on NTDs, but in contrast to tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS, this lacks clear and measurable targets and milestones by which to judge progress. If we are to eliminate NTDs by 2030 the ‘how to’ of this gigantic task needs to be defined including milestones and targets.

Finally, there is an urgent need for a quantum shift in funding for R&D and for treatment access. Despite evidence that interventions to address NTDs are one of the best health investments, only 0.6% of development assistance for health is allocated to NTDs that affect about 1.5 billion people or roughly 20% of the global population. The recent Global Health 5 Year Strategy (2016–2020) and the Global Health 2030: A Roadmap for Health for All by 2030 call for accelerated action and a greater focus on the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. The MDG indicators have set a global target to combat NTDs, but in contrast to tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS, this lack clear and measurable targets and milestones by which to judge progress. If we are to eliminate NTDs by 2030 the ‘how to’ of this gigantic task needs to be defined including milestones and targets.

Finally, there is an urgent need for a quantum shift in funding for R&D and for treatment access. Despite evidence that interventions to address NTDs are one of the best health investments, only 0.6% of development assistance for health is allocated to NTDs that affect about 1.5 billion people or roughly 20% of the global population. The recent Global Health 5 Year Strategy (2016–2020) and the Global Health 2030: A Roadmap for Health for All by 2030 call for accelerated action and a greater focus on the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. The MDG indicators have set a global target to combat NTDs, but in contrast to tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS, this lack clear and measurable targets and milestones by which to judge progress. If we are to eliminate NTDs by 2030 the ‘how to’ of this gigantic task needs to be defined including milestones and targets.

Table 1 The 20 neglected tropical diseases recognised by the WHO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protozoan infections</td>
<td>1. Chagas disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Human African trypanosomiasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Leishmaniasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helminth infections</td>
<td>4. <em>Taenia solium</em> (neuro) cysticercosis/ Taeniosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Dracunculiasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Echinococcus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Foodborne trematodiases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Lymphatic filariasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Onchocerciasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Schistosomiasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11. Soil-transmitted helminthiases (ascariasis, Hookworm diseases, trichuriasis, strongyloidiasis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial infections</td>
<td>12. Buruli ulcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13. Leprosy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14. Trachoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15. Yaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral infections</td>
<td>16. Dengue and chikungunya fevers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17. Rabies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungal Infections</td>
<td>18. Mycetoma, chromoblastomycosis, deep mycosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectoparasitic infections</td>
<td>19. Scabies, Myiasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venom</td>
<td>20. Snakebite envenoming</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


participant was illustrative ‘I hoped to do my PhD on intestinal worms, but I was quickly dissuaded by many in academic and government circles saying it is a neglected disease’. The Amharic (Ethiopian) translation of NTDs reads ‘Tropical diseases needing special attention’-an empowering designation with an imperative for action which reflects the mind-set change that is urgently needed.

Second, the 15 years of naming this constellation of diseases of poverty as ‘Neglected Tropical Diseases’ have not really changed the paradigm, as we have only kept adding to the list of NTDs with none coming off permanently.

This is proof that much more is needed to eliminate NTDs, including clear objective criteria for getting on or off the list. Furthermore, since being removed from the NTD list may be a disincentive for continued funding support it may encourage passiveness.

Bringing NTDs into the SDG agenda is laudable, but strong activism and political momentum is now urgently needed. HIV/AIDS is a good example. The ‘3 by 5’ initiative, launched by the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) and WHO in 2003, was a global target to provide life-prolonging antiretroviral treatment (ART) to three million people living with HIV/AIDS in low-income and middle-income countries by the end of 2005. This was followed by Universal Access targets, the Treatment 2.0 Strategy and the UNAIDS 90-90-90 treatment targets. All of these were important drivers that simplified therapy, increased treatment coverage and crystallised the necessary environment for the establishment of operational and programmatic components for an expanded and sustainable global response to HIV/AIDS. From hundreds on ART in 2003, the result was 21.7 million on ART by 2017. Such initiatives reached fruition because of a public health approach to ART scale-up as part of Universal Health Coverage (UHC). It is time to gear similar political and funding impetus towards NTDs. Since the NTD package includes a diversity of diseases, the role of disaggregated or appropriately combined treatment targets may help.

Third, the list of NTDs is diverse, with parasitic, bacterial, viral, fungal infections and snakebite envenoming. Unlike diseases such as HIV/AIDS, TB and Malaria, there are practical difficulties in building strong communities of practice, as NTD work is often confined to silos of specific diseases. While recognising the specificities of each condition, new ways of building an integrated approach that compels stakeholders to look beyond ‘the trees to see the forest’ are needed.

The WHO Roadmap strategy on control, elimination and eradication of NTDs is commendable, but it is geared only until 2020 and urgent work is needed to align it with the 2030 SDG target. Importantly, the road map is largely focused on the diseases for which medicines and large-scale prevention and treatment options exist, such as onchocerciasis, lymphatic filariasis, schistosomiasis, soil-transmitted helminths (STH) and trachoma. Implementation of large-scale prevention and treatment is often hampered by shortages of drugs and vaccines which needs to be addressed. Vector control activities are also required for vectorborne diseases, such as dengue and chikungunya fevers and rabies. The provision of safe water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) is another key component of the WHO strategy to overcome NTDs such as trachoma and STH. These interventions, and how they are integrated into broader health systems and within the principles of UHC, are spelt out in the WHO’s 4th comprehensive Report on NTDs, but in contrast to tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS, this lacks clear and measurable targets and milestones by which to judge progress. If we are to eliminate NTDs by 2030 the ‘how to’ of this gigantic task needs to be defined including milestones and targets.
Figure 1  Impact of market on product pipeline (diagnostics, drugs vaccines) starting from the preclinical stage for various diseases. Type II diseases: are incident in both rich and poor countries with substantial proportion of the cases in poor countries. Type III disease: are those that are overwhelmingly or exclusively incident in developing countries. NTDs, neglected tropical diseases.

- Larger pipelines due to higher incentives to invest in R&D (also benefiting diseases in LMICs).
- Donor organizations (e.g. Global Fund, GAVI, UNITAID) provide funding assistance (or directly procure), creating visible/predictable demand and incentivizing research.
- Some commercial markets developed through combination of middle-income country self-financing and regional or local interest.
- Most Type III and II diseases with limited R&D.

* For example, schistosomiasis, hookworm, etc.

A possible way forward is to call on G20 countries to generate a special fund for NTDs since the NTD burden is highest among the poor in G20 countries (together with Nigeria) and they have capacity to develop generic products. Furthermore, NTDs are pervasive wherever poverty and vulnerable populations exist, including emerging market economies. If these countries would effectively implement NTD programmes, a large proportion of the NTD burden would be resolved.

NTD endemic populations are the least likely to be able to meet SDG targets that include UHC (target 3.8), safe water (target 6.1) and sanitation (target 6.2) and as such they can serve as tracers of equity in progress towards the SDGs.

Reflection on how existing global funding mechanisms such as the Global Fund Against AIDS, TB and Malaria (GFATM) could also embrace NTDs as part of their portfolio is needed more than ever before. From an operational perspective, this seems logical as areas endemic for NTDs also tend to be endemic for HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria. Coinfections with STH and schistosomiasis are also known to exacerbate the progression of the aforementioned diseases. A promising way of pushing the NTD agenda and leveraging political attention and resources would thus be an integrated management approach to NTDs, Malaria, Tuberculosis and HIV and a call for public health action at a broad level in countries. Such an approach would also strengthen the general health
system with benefits to the management and control of NTDs.

When new drugs are developed for NTDs, they need to be deployed at programmatic level as quickly as possible, and here the funding support from GFATM for operational research would facilitate a win-win situation. Whatever the eventual choice may be, articulating the mechanisms for funding remains a glaring gap.

In conclusion, in countries like Ethiopia how well we do with NTDs could serve as ‘tracers of equity’ towards the SDGs and markers of the philosophy of ‘leaving none behind’. We must succeed, but that will depend on the backing of a supportive political environment, coupled with new science, funding (particularly by G20 countries) and robust advocacy. Most of all, we will need a greatly strengthened sense of global solidarity focused on the needs of the poorest and most vulnerable and with the participation of all countries, all stakeholders and all people. If we are to transform the NTD world for the SDGs, it will require us to first transform our thinking and actions.
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