Appendix

Timing	Project activity	oject activity Description of inputs		
Start-up	Establishing the NGO	Fees to set up operations in Ouagadougou and London; staff time dedicated to operations set-up	3 FTEs* in Burkina Faso + 9 FTEs in London (including	
	Recruitment of	Advertisements: staff time dedicated to	management, operations,	
	management and	recruitment	finance, administration,	
	administrative		programme development,	
	teams		creative director, research)	
	Project coordination General operations	Management staff time Office running costs		
	Recruitment and	Advertisements; staff time dedicated to	Training of 13 FTEs in	
	training of creative	recruitment; staff time and other resources	creative team - full-time	
	team	dedicated to training	training for the first two months	
	Recruitment and	Advertisements; staff time dedicated to	Training of 3 FTEs in	
	training of formative	recruitment; staff time and other resources	research team – one month	
	research and uptake monitoring	dedicated to training		
	team		0.5775	
	Contracting with	Visiting stations & finding suitable partners,	2 FTEs managing	
Dunning	Project coordination	Management staff time and travel	26 ETEc in Purking Face + 9	
Kuiiiiig	Conoral operations	Office running costs	FTFs in London (including	
	delleral operations	once running costs	management operations	
			finance. administration.	
			programme development,	
			creative director, research)	
	Formative research	Focus groups and in-depth individual interviews	In total around 75 research	
	and uptake	in rural villages to test media formats, monitoring	trips, visiting ~150 villages	
	monitoring	of impact of spots/long format shows	and interviewing a total of	
			4,024 people	
	Spot production	Writing, editing and initial selection, translation	14 FTEs in creative team	
	cycle	into pre-test languages. recording for pre-test,	+ 3 FTEs managers	
		pre-testing, selecting final spots, translation into	+ 2 FTEs support staff	
		all languages, recording final spots in 6 languages		
	Long format show	Writing, editing and selection of final scripts.		
	production	Scripts then sent out to radio stations where		
		program teams practise and perform the scripts in		
		focal languages. DMI staff time spent training local		
		visiting the radio stations		
	Support to radio	Canacity huilding and material support: training	4 FTFs supporting the radio	
	stations	(one two-day training for radio station members).	stations. Each time was	
		mentoring, equipment, CDs, financial support for	visited approximately 40	
		running cost and contribution to solar power	times over the three years	
		installation at one of the radio stations facing	of the campaign	
		power outages that were affecting programmes		
	Broadcasting	Value of airtime, for spots and long format shows	The 7 stations each	
		(air time value of long format shows applied in	broadcast 70 minutes per	
		sensitivity analysis): Both spots and shows were	station per week for spots	
		dominated by prompts for care-seeking in case of	(one distinct spot per week)	
		1111ess symptoms in children (spots 48%, shows 31%); followed by messages on nutrition during	+ 10 nours per station per	
		programov of popates and of infants (contra 2204	(10 different shows per	
		shows 20%). hygiene practices (spots 13% shows	week) throughout the 2 5-	
		16%): and promotion of antenatal care visits and	vear period	
		delivery at facility (spots 11%, shows 12%).	J P	

Table A Overview of project activities

*FTEs – full time equivalents

		One-way		PSA		
	Parameter	Base case (low, high)	Range interval	Mean (SD)	Distribut ion	Source
Outcomes	Under five mortality impact (modelled in LiST based on incremental health facility utilisation)	2,967(1,110- 5,741)	95% CI of LiST model input values (facility consultations)	2,967 (1,181)	Gaussian	1
Costs	Incremental number of consultation children under five (linked to child mortality impact (above) and cost of care- seeking)	under fives: 376,979 (141,766- 644,757)	95% CI	375,242 (140,217)	Gaussian	2,3
	Incremental number of consultation antenatal care (linked to child mortality impact (above) and cost of care- seeking)	antenatal care: 29,619 (1,120-60,446)	95% CI	29,520 (15,061)	Gaussian	
	Incremental number of facility childbirths (linked to child mortality impact (above) and cost of care-seeking)	childbirth: 17,189 (6,460-28,398)	95% CI	17,244 (5,599)	Gaussian	
	Household unit cost of care- seeking children under five (2015 USD)	under fives: 3.25 (0-7.63)	10 th -90 th percentile	3.25 (4.46)	Gamma	Baseline survey
	Household unit cost of care- seeking for antenatal care (2015 USD)	antenatal care: 2.40 (0-5.61)	10 th -90 th percentile	2.40 (5.98)	Gamma	
	Household unit cost of care- seeking for childbirth (2015 USD)	childbirth: 5.84 (0-13.47)	10 th -90 th percentile	5.84 (8.56)	Gamma	
	Provider costs (2015 USD)	7,749,128 (4,944,445-n/a)	Inclusion or not of international office costs: 100% (64%- n/a)	n/a	n/a	NGO accounts
	Airtime cost (2015 USD)	213,647 (0-1,831,277)	Spots only (No airtime value included – Spots and long format shows)	n/a	n/a	Campaign radio stations
Discount rates	Discount rate costs	3% (0-6%)	Standard practice in economic evaluation	n/a	n/a	4
	Discount rate LYS	3% (0-6%)	Standard practice in economic evaluation	n/a	n/a	

Table B Parameters varied in sensitivity analyses

	Burkina Faso	Burundi	Niger	Malawi	Mozambique
Population					
Total population (million)	18.1	11.2	19.9	17.2	28.0
2015					
Population residing in rural	70	88	81	84	68
areas (%) 2015					
Media					
Media structure	Localised	National	Mixed	Mixed	Mixed
TV penetration	Low	Medium	Low	Low	Medium
Radio penetration	Medium	High	Low	High	Medium
Cost of airtime	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	High
Health					
Fertility rate (births per	5.4	5.9	7.6	5.0	5.3
woman) 2015					
Maternal mortality rate (per	371	712	553	634	489
100,000 live births) 2015					
Neonatal mortality rate (per	27	29	27	22	27
1000 live births) (2013)					
Under-five mortality rate	89	82	96	64	79
(per 1000 live births) (2013)					
Economic indicators				-	
Total health care expenditure	82	58	54	93	79
(THE) per capita					
(International \$ PPP) 2014					
Government expenditure (%)	52%	53%	55%	53%	56%
as a share of THE 2014					
Out-of-pocket expenditure	39%	21%	34%	11%	9%
(%) as a share of THE 2014					
GDP per capita (PPP Int. \$)	1,700	797	963	1,159	1,191
2015					

Table C Country indicators for scale-up analysis⁵⁻⁷

Table D Media adjustments made for each scale-up country scenario

Scale-up	Media penetration (among	Data source	Adjustment applied to impact		
country	women)		modelling*		
Burkina Faso	45.2% weekly radio listening	DHS 2010	-13%		
Burundi	57.9% weekly radio listening	DHS 2010	+12%		
Niger	36.2% weekly radio listening	DHS 2012	-30%		
Malawi	57.3% weekly radio listening	DHS 2010	+10%		
Mozambique	60.0% weekly radio listening†	DHS2011	+15%		

* The mortality outcomes generated by the LiST modelling was adjusted using the figure for female radio listening in Burkina Faso (52% as measured by the RCT endline survey) as a linear index.

† There are huge discrepancies between the two most recent estimates for female radio listening in national surveys conducted in Mozambique: 42.5% in the 2011 DHS, 78% in the 2009 AIS. We have no reason to believe radio listening in Mozambique really did change that much between these two surveys and suspect this vast difference is a result of seasonal variation in the time the surveys were conducted. We have therefore take the midpoint of these two figures.

	Within-trial analysis 2012- 2015	National scale-up scenario Burkina Faso	Prospective country scale-ups scenarios 2018-2020			
Costing	Societal	Societal	Provider			
perspective						
Time period	2012 March-2015 January	2012-2014	2018-2020			
Mass media format	Radio spots and long format	Radio spots only (10%	Radio (or TV) spots only (10%			
	shows	reduction of impact assumed	reduction of impact assumed			
		without long format shows)	without long format shows			
Media penetration	52%	45%	45-60% (table C)			
Share of total cost,	38%	9%	9%			
international						
(London) NGO						
office						
Number of staff	44	14	14-35			
running the						
programme (FTEs)						

Table E Assumptions and methods in base-case and scale-up analyses

Table F Start-up costs (Dec 2010 - Jan 2012) by activity (2015 USD)

	FINANCIAL	ECONOMIC
	Start-up costs (%)	Start-up costs (%)
Setting up the Burkina	15,651 (1%)	15,651 (1%)
Faso office		
Project coordination	175,004 (16%)	175,004 (15%)
General administration	604,337 (54%)	604,548 (54%)
Recruitment of	198,403 (18%)	198,403 (18%)
management and		
administrative personnel		
Recruitment of creative	20,629 (2%)	20,629 (2%)
team		
Recruitment and training	60,949 (5%)	60,949 (5%)
of formative research and		
monitoring team		
Contracting with radio	51,927 (5%)	51,927 (5%)
stations		
Total start up costs	1,126,900	1,127,111*

*Differs slightly from the economic start-up costs in table 2 in the manuscript and in table F below since these are not annualised

Table G Annualised running costs by input category (2015 USD)

	FINANCIAL		ECONOMIC		
	Total cost over study period (%)	Annual costs, average over study period	Total cost over study period (%)	Annual costs, average over study period	
Recurring costs					
Personnel	4,287,060 (58%)	1,429,020	4,287,060 (56%)	1,429,020	
Travel	726,692 (10%)	242,231	726,692 (9%)	242,231	
Supplies (incl communication costs)	767,371 (10%)	255,790	767,371 (10%)	255,790	
Rent and utilities	329,839 (4%)	109,946	329,839 (4%)	109,946	
Air time	0	0	213,649 (3%)	71,216	
Total recurring costs	6,110,963 (82%)	2,036,988	6,324,612 (82%)	2,108,204	
Capital costs					
Radio station equipment	59,989 (1%)	19,996	63,626 (1%)	21,209	
NGO office/media					
production equipment	147,541 (2%)	55,846	114,068 (1%)	59,231	
Vehicles	42,208 (1%)	14,069	49,314 (1%)	16,438	
Total capital costs	209,745 (3%)	69,915	227,008 (2%)	75,669	
TOTAL RUNNING COSTS	6,320,707 (85%)	2,106,902	6,478,322 (84%)	2,183,873	
Start-up costs	1,126,900 (15%)	375,633	1,197,508 (16%)	399,169	
TOTAL COSTS	7,447,608	2,482,536	7,749,128	2,583,043	



Figure A Cost-effectiveness plane showing the statistical uncertainty around cost and DALYs in the cluster-randomised trial

The plotted results of the probabilistic sensitivity analysis illustrate its distribution in a cost-effectiveness plan, with 10,000 dots each representing the results of one of the simulations. The scatter-plot is cut off at the level of the provider cost of the campaign - as these were the measured costs occurred they were not varied in the within-trial analysis. The larger plot represents the median ICER in the simulation, USD 111. The 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of the ICER are pictured as dashed lines. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals were calculated through a bootstrap with 1,000 times 1,000 iterations resulting in a 95% CI of \$-38-320 around the mean value of the PSA (\$153).

References

1. Murray J, Head R, Remes P, et al. Estimating the effect of a mass radio campaign on child mortality in Burkina Faso using the Lives Saved Tool (LiST): modelling from a repeated cross sectional cluster randomised trial. *[In review]* 2017.

2. Annuaire Statistique 2011: Burkina Faso Ministere de la Sante, Secretariate General, Direction General de l'information et des Statistiques Sanitaires, 2012.

3. Sarrassat S, Meda N, Badolo H, et al. Effect of a mass radio campaign on family behaviours and child survival in Burkina Faso: a repeated cross-sectional, cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet Glob Health* 2018; **6**(3): e330-e41.

4. Tan-Torres Edejer T, Baltussen R, Adan T, et al. WHO Guide to Cost-effectiveness Analysis. Geneva, Switzerland, 2003.

UNICEF. The State of The World's Children 2016 Country Statistical Information.
2016.

6. WHO. Global Health Observatory. 2016.

7. World_Bank. World Bank Open Data. 2016.