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ABSTRACT

Introduction Women and children account for a disproportionate morbidity burden among conflict-affected populations, and yet they are not included in global accountability frameworks for women’s and children’s health. We use Countdown to 2015 (Millennium Development Goals) health indicators to provide an up-to-date review and analysis of the best available data on Syrian refugees in Jordan, Lebanon and Turkey and internally displaced within Syria and explore data challenges in this conflict setting.

Methods We searched Medline, PubMed, Scopus, Popline and Index Medicus for WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region Office and relevant development/humanitarian databases in all languages from January 2011 until December 2015. We met in person or emailed relevant key stakeholders in Lebanon, Jordan, Syria and Turkey to obtain any unpublished or missing data. We convened a meeting of experts working with these populations to discuss the results.

Results The following trends were found based on available data for these populations as compared with preconflict Syria. Birth registration in Syria and in host neighbouring countries decreased and was very low in Lebanon. In Syria, the infant mortality rate and under-five mortality rate increased, and coverage of antenatal care (one visit with a skilled attendant), skilled birth attendance and vaccination (except for DTP3 vaccine) declined. The number of Syrian refugee women attending more than four antenatal care visits was low in Lebanon and in non-camp settings in Jordan. Few data were available on these indicators among the internally displaced. In conflict settings such as that of Syria, coverage rates of interventions are often unknown or difficult to ascertain.

Conclusion Research, monitoring and evaluation in humanitarian settings could better inform public health interventions if findings were more widely shared, methodologies were more explicit and globally agreed definitions and indicators were used consistently.

INTRODUCTION

Women and children account for a disproportionate morbidity burden among conflict-affected populations, being heavily dependent on a functioning and responsive health system and vulnerable to economic and societal disruption induced by conflict. Yet conflict has not been, until now, sufficiently included in global accountability frameworks for the health of women and children. Addressing maternal health in conflict settings is in many ways the unfinished agenda of the Millennium Development Goals and should be a priority of the sustainable development goals.
Development Goals (MDGs). The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) note the need to focus on conflict-affected populations but do not provide specific goals in this regard.3,4

The Countdown to 2015 initiative for Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn and Child Health (RMNCH)5 6 focused on the 75 countries where more than 95% of all maternal and child deaths occur and tracked coverage, aimed to stimulate coverage assessment mechanisms and support country progress towards achieving MDG4 and MDG5. Countdown is now shifting emphasis to the SDGs and a new set of indicators is being agreed on to 2030.7

Countdown to 20158 did not deliberately select countries in conflict, yet of the 10 countries with the highest under-five mortality rates worldwide, eight are conflict affected.8 Globally, the nature of conflict is changing, becoming increasingly intrastate, with greater civilian toll and a shift from low-income to middle-income settings.9 These changing parameters of conflict make reaching those most in need through evidence-based public health interventions particularly challenging.

Within the Arab region, Countdown to 2015 included five countries—Egypt, Iraq, Morocco, Sudan and Yemen—four of which have experienced political instability or conflict during the past decade. Syria is not included, given the fact that, by 2008, it had achieved 85% of its MDG4 target and 68% of its MDG5 target.10 Syria’s maternal mortality ratio fell from 107/100,000 in 1993 to 56 in 2008.10 Its infant mortality rate similarly dropped from 34.6 deaths per 1000 live births to 18 in the same period.10 Just before the conflict began, skilled attendance at delivery was at 96%.11 Nevertheless, inequalities across regions were evident preconflict.10,12

The current crisis in Syria has led to a widespread deterioration in what was a well-functioning and predominantly public healthcare system, with the destruction or disruption of health facilities, and exodus of health professionals. Additionally, population movement and the corrosion of public services and infrastructure13,14 have increased the risk of outbreaks of previously controlled epidemics.14 More than half the population now lives in poverty, with 7.9 million people becoming poor since the beginning of the crisis.13 These structural and economic realities reduce women’s access to reproductive health (RH) services.10

Stark demographic shifts have also occurred, with 6.5 million Syrians internally displaced and 3.7 million living as refugees in neighbouring Turkey, Lebanon and Jordan (2016).17 Figure 1 shows current figures for internally displaced populations (IDPs) in 2014, and refugees in 2016 as a ratio over total country populations for countries hosting displaced Syrians, as well as their age and gender breakdowns. In total, women and children under the age of 18 account for 60% of IDPs and 76% of refugees in neighbouring countries, indicating a heavy burden on health and educational services in both Syria and host countries. Table S1 details the rights and status of Syrian refugees in host countries. Within Syria, public health responsibility is fragmented between opposing forces, which run parallel health systems with shifting geographic areas of coverage, making data collection particularly challenging.

Figure 1 A map illustrating the number and demographic breakdown of IDPs in Syria in 2014 and refugees in host countries in 2016.
In this paper, we use the Countdown to 2015 framework and set of RMNCH coverage and health status indicators to provide an up-to-date review and critique of the best available data focusing on the conflict-affected Syrian population both within Syria (including non-displaced and IDPs) and those living as refugees in neighbouring countries.\textsuperscript{18, 19} We highlight potential challenges in so doing and insights that can be gained by using existing internationally endorsed monitoring frameworks to systematically analyse how conflict affects measures of health status and coverage of evidence-based interventions. In so doing, we aim to draw lessons of relevance for other conflict situations, particularly as the SDGs begin to be implemented.

METHODS

Published literature

We searched Medline, PubMed, Scopus, Popline and Index Medicus for WHO EMR (WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region) databases for publications from January 2011 until December 2015. We used Medical Subject Heading Terms and keyword search strategies with various combinations of terms related to the relevant Countdown indicators, with the additional descriptor of Syria and Syrian refugees. A search strategy was developed for Medline and adapted for the other databases (online supplementary appendix 1). We omitted malaria and AIDS indicators as the former is not endemic in the study countries and the latter has very low prevalence.\textsuperscript{10} We further searched Google Scholar and conducted purposive searching of specific relevant journals and hand searching of bibliographies of included articles. We developed inclusion and exclusion criteria to assess the published literature. We included original research studies that reported on Countdown indicators or proxy determinants on preconflict Syria, and during conflict among the Syrian population resident in Syria, the internally displaced or refugees in the neighbouring host countries (Jordan, Lebanon and Turkey). Only peer-reviewed articles with primary data or secondary data analysis of relevant indicators were included. We extracted the following descriptive information from included sources: nationality, residence, institution of the first author; main data sources, year of main data source, study population (Syrian residents, internally displaced or refugees); sample size and characteristics; language; proxy determinants or direct indicators; research design and whether it was peer reviewed or not.

All retrieved articles were imported into EndNote reference manager, and duplicates were deleted. Title and abstract screening followed by full text screening were conducted by two researchers, and any disagreements were discussed with a third researcher to reach consensus.

Grey literature

We searched databases relevant to the humanitarian efforts concerning the Syrian conflict and regional development: Relief Web, UNHCR Syria Regional Refugee Response Portal, United Nations Development Programme and WHO Eastern Mediterranean Regional Office websites for the same time period.

The initial search retrieved thousands of documents of various types (such as situation reports, funding appeals, infographics and meeting minutes), which reported time periods extending from 1 week to 1 year. Consequently, the study team examined the content of each type of report and categorised them to assess comprehensiveness and relevance. Because data on the humanitarian crisis is constantly updated, we opted to include only data from quarterly, midyear and annual reports. Quality appraisal took into account whether the publication was data driven (based on original or secondary data) or not, and whether there was a specified study methodology. Because of inconsistencies and incompleteness in data reported in published reports, we contacted governmental and non-governmental entities to fill these gaps. Key informants and governmental agencies were not always responsive or ready to share data on certain indicators even when data were available. The tables on results therefore differentiate between data that were not available (N/A) (meaning they do not exist) and not obtainable (N/O) (meaning they exist but we could not obtain them).

Additional strategies

Given the paucity in English published and grey literature on outcomes of interest among Syrian refugees in Turkey in particular, a team member travelled to Istanbul and Ankara to meet with representatives of key non-governmental organisations and academics.

An expert meeting was conducted in Beirut, Lebanon, in January 2016 with stakeholders working with IDPs or Syrian refugees from countries of interest (Syria, Lebanon, Jordan and Turkey) to review and discuss the results and to obtain any missing relevant data. The cut-off date for updating the statistics used in the paper was 15 March 2016.

Literature analysis and synthesis

We extracted all data on Countdown indicators from identified literature based on the following geotemporal categories: Syria preconflict, Syria since 2011 and Syrian refugees in Lebanon, Jordan, and Turkey. Data were tabulated using the Countdown to 2015 framework of indicators.

Additionally, we adapted an organisational framework\textsuperscript{20-21} to portray a model for how the Syrian conflict and responses to it have interacted with relevant health status and coverage indicators since the start of the conflict.

Role of funding source

The funder played no role in the collection, analysis or publication of data.
RESULTS
We retrieved 157 published articles (figure 2), two-thirds of which were editorials or correspondence articles referencing data from grey literature and were therefore excluded. Only 12 articles were based on primary or secondary data analysis of relevant indicators. Among these 12, only four articles had their first author from a host country of refugees and based in a local institution (one from Jordan, one from Lebanon and two from Turkey), and only four articles sought ethical approval while two reported that it was not required. Three studies targeted Syrian refugees in Jordan, five in Lebanon and three in Turkey, and one focused on health professionals working with refugees in Jordan; none were on the internally displaced. Over 3000 documents produced by over 150 agencies were identified through the grey literature search and screened for data extraction.

Organisational framework
Figure 3 presents an organisational framework based on the literature and identifies various pathways through which the armed conflict may have influenced public health and RMNCH indicators among Syrians in Syria and in host countries.

Countdown indicators
Findings from the review of published and available grey literature on the Syrian conflict relevant to RMNCH Countdown to 2015 indicators are presented for the populations in the three host countries and Syria.

Demographics
Table 1 outlines numbers for the under 5-year-old population as well as birth, mortality and fertility rates.

Births
In Syria and host countries, births to Syrian nationals often go unregistered due to security threats, missing identity documents and other legal or logistical barriers. This can have serious consequences, including increased risk of exposure to violence, abuse or exploitation; risk of statelessness; difficulty accessing healthcare and education; difficulty in voluntary return, crossing borders and proving Syrian citizenship on return; and difficulty obtaining work or legal services later in life.

Birth registration among refugees in Lebanon is very low. In Jordan and Turkey, there are no representative numbers on birth registration among Syrian refugees. In Zaatari camp (Jordan), over 1400 children born between November 2012 and July 2013 did not receive birth certificates. The Turkish Prime Ministry Disaster and Emergency Management Authority (AFAD) registered about 30,000 Syrian births between 2011 and 2014 (out of some 60,000 births since the start of the crisis).

Birth outcomes
Data on preterm birth rate and stillbirth are lacking for all settings. Reports indicate that 20% of Syrian refugee births in Lebanon were preterm; 60% of neonatal deaths in Zaatari refugee camp in Jordan were due to prematurity and 9.4 (per 1000 total births) were stillbirths in Zaatari (down from 14.2 the previous year).
Limited data were available on adolescent birth rates; 8.5% of deliveries in Zaatari camp were to adolescent girls in 2014.

Deaths
Table 1 outlines available data on maternal, neonatal, infant and child mortality. Given the difficulty of obtaining accurate data on maternal and child deaths due to the conflict, these figures are not disaggregated to include conflict-related mortality. Estimates indicate a reduction in neonatal mortality rate in Syria, possibly due to geographical exclusion of hard-to-reach areas. Part of the excess mortality burden due to conflict is occurring in under 5 year olds, which is partially due to reduced healthcare access in conflict-affected areas.35

Maternal and newborn health
Demand for family planning
In Syria in 2009, 83.6% of demand for family planning was met (table 2), and this is estimated to have increased within Syria to 88.7%11 36 and among non-camp refugees living in Amman, Jordan. These numbers are lower in Lebanon (63.9%) (table 2), where access to RH services is reportedly hindered by cost, travel and limited female healthcare providers.31

There were no reliable figures on fertility among refugees or within Syria. This issue of fertility and forced displacement internationally is a subject of debate, with some attributing a possible increase in fertility to the need to replace lost children, whereas others suggest that fertility is decreasing because of the stress and uncertainties of displacement.37-39

Antenatal care (ANC)
Within Syria, coverage of at least one ANC visit with a skilled professional has declined from 87.7% to 62%, likely related to the widespread displacement, the exodus of healthcare professionals and the destruction of health facilities. Coverage in Lebanon and Jordan remains at similar levels to prewar Syria, whereas coverage of at least four ANC visits is much lower (in non-camp refugee populations) (table 2). No data on ANC were available for Turkey.

Skilled attendant at delivery
Rates of skilled birth attendance at delivery have dropped in Syria from 96.2% to 72%, whereas they remain almost universal among refugees in neighbouring countries, likely as a result of special provisions by UNHCR in Lebanon and Jordan (table 2).

Caesarean section
Available data indicate increases in C-section rates both within Syria (where nationally the rate was 26.4% preconflict) and among refugees since the conflict began (table 2).11 Data from the largest public maternity hospital in Damascus show C-section rates increasing from 29% in 2010 to 46% in 2014 (Personal communication with Bashar Kurdi, 2015). This could be explained by the need for women and their physicians to schedule...
### Table 1: Demographic indicators in Syria preconflict and in conflict and of Syrian refugees in neighbouring countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Syria preconflict</th>
<th>Syria in conflict</th>
<th>Lebanon (non-camp)</th>
<th>Jordan (camps)</th>
<th>Turkey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total children U5 population</td>
<td>2683,000</td>
<td>2877,000</td>
<td>190,494</td>
<td>4,141,500</td>
<td>4,583,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total births (per year)</td>
<td>548,000</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>43,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth registration (%)</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deaths for children U5</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Zaatari 50.0%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U5 mortality rate (per 1000 live births)</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Zaatari 25.2%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal deaths as a percent of U5 deaths</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Zaatari 56.0%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal mortality rate (per 1000 live births)</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>7 (4.5–10.4)%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Zaatari 14.1%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant mortality rate (per 1000 live births)</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Zaatari 1.5%</td>
<td>N/O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillbirth rate (per 1000 total births)</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Zaatari 14.2%</td>
<td>N/O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal mortality ratio</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>62.7%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Zaatari 1.5%</td>
<td>N/O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fertility rate (sum of age-specific birth rates for every 5-year-old age group *5)</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent birth rate (per 1000 adolescent women)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following indicators were not included due to the lack of data: total maternal deaths, lifetime risk of maternal deaths, preterm birth rate and causes of U5 mortality.

* Surveillance/monitoring data.
† One-time thematic report.
‡ Population-based surveys.
§ Estimates (lower limit–upper limit).
¶ Peer-reviewed article.
N/A, not available (ie, data do not exist to our knowledge); N/O, not obtainable (ie, data exist but authors unable to obtain).
Table 2  Indicators of maternal and newborn health in Syria preconflict and in conflict and in Syrian refugees in neighbouring countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Syria preconflict</th>
<th>Syria in conflict</th>
<th>Lebanon</th>
<th>Jordan non-camp</th>
<th>Jordan camps</th>
<th>Turkey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Need for family planning satisfied</td>
<td>83.6&lt;sup&gt;11a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>88.7&lt;sup&gt;36f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>63.9&lt;sup&gt;31+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>85.6&lt;sup&gt;11-c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC (at least one visit with a skilled attendant)</td>
<td>87.7&lt;sup&gt;11a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62.0&lt;sup&gt;22c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>87.0&lt;sup&gt;53a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>88.7&lt;sup&gt;54a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC (at least four visits with any attendant)</td>
<td>63.7&lt;sup&gt;11a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>49.3&lt;sup&gt;53a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.6% (3-4 visits)&lt;sup&gt;54a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Zaatari 71.0&lt;sup&gt;72b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Azraq 86.0&lt;sup&gt;62b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal tetanus protection (%)</td>
<td>37.8&lt;sup&gt;69a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>38.2% (&gt;4 visits)&lt;sup&gt;54a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/O</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery care/ Skilled attendant at birth</td>
<td>96.2&lt;sup&gt;11a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>72.0&lt;sup&gt;22c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99.4&lt;sup&gt;53a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Zaatari 100.0&lt;sup&gt;72b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Azraq 100.0&lt;sup&gt;64b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean section (%)</td>
<td>26.4&lt;sup&gt;11a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>46.0 (Personal communication with Bashar Kourdi, 2015)&lt;sup&gt;73e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35.3&lt;sup&gt;40d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.9&lt;sup&gt;54a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Zaatari 28.0&lt;sup&gt;72b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Azraq 19.0&lt;sup&gt;62b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal care (%)</td>
<td>27.2&lt;sup&gt;11a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8.3&lt;sup&gt;74c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Zaatari 47.0&lt;sup&gt;72b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Azraq 52.0&lt;sup&gt;62b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aPopulation-based surveys.
bSurveillance/monitoring data.
cOne-time thematic report.
dPeer-reviewed article.
eEstimates (lower limit-upper limit).
*fEstimates were calculated using the contraceptive prevalence rate conversion using Countdown Technical Notes (*).
†At least three visits within 6 weeks of delivery.
‡Data from the largest maternity hospital in Damascus.
ANC, antenatal care; N/A, not available (ie, data do not exist to our knowledge); N/O, not obtainable (ie, data exist but authors unable to obtain).
delivery around the security situation. It could however also be an unintended consequence of interventions introduced to help women reach RH services, such as the voucher system introduced to enable women to obtain free maternal health and emergency obstetric services including C-section.

In Lebanon, in 2013, 35.3% of Syrian refugee deliveries in UNHCR-contracted hospitals were C-sections, and the corresponding figures were 36% in 2014 and 33.7% in 2015 out of all referrals for delivery (Personal communication with Marie Akiki and Dr Diana Aoun, UNHCR, 2016). Potential explanations for this high rate are limited access to and utilisation of ANC increasing the risk for high-risk pregnancies, privatised healthcare system, predominance of male obstetric providers or UNHCR’s financial coverage of most C-sections.

Postnatal care
Postnatal visits to health facilities were low at 27% in Syria in 2009 (table 2). Limited data are available for an analysis of changes since the crisis; however, within a camp setting in Jordan, where several specialised RH facilities have been established, postnatal care is higher at approximately 50%. No data on postnatal care coverage for the newborn were identified.

Child health
Table 3 shows that available data on coverage of measles, diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis (DTP3) and Haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) vaccinations in Syria was high prior to the conflict (at 81.9%, 99% and 82.1%, respectively) and has suffered setbacks during the war except for DTP3 vaccine coverage, which increased. Refugee children are also reported to have lower than optimal coverage rates with scarce data showing large variations in coverage. Other indicators of child health were also high prior to the conflict, but no data on care seeking and antibiotic treatment for pneumonia nor for oral rehydration therapy for diarrhoea in Syria or neighbouring countries exist for the period since the conflict began (table 3). This highlights the partial development and implementation of health policies concerning these interventions in this context and the lack of surveillance data.

Nutrition
Underweight, wasting, stunting prevalence
The nutritional status of under-5-year-old children in preconflict Syria reflected medium levels of underweight, wasting and stunting (table 4); these have remained relatively constant. It is probable that these data do not cover hard-to-reach and besieged areas and are underestimates of the true magnitude of malnutrition. In contrast, surveys of Syrian refugees in Lebanon and Jordan show much lower rates of undernutrition (table 4). Where data exist on differentials between camp and non-camp populations in Jordan, camp-dwelling children were shown to have higher rates of undernutrition, although
lower than those inside Syria. Improved indicators in refugee settings may reflect the large investments made in capacity building for the management of malnutrition, as well as the implementation of screening and treatment protocols.44

Infant and young child feeding (IYCF)

Early initiation of breastfeeding in preconflict Syria was estimated at around 45% (table 4) and is lower in refugees in Lebanon and higher in Jordan. Parallel trends in breastfeeding exclusivity in under 6 month olds were observed (lower rates in Lebanon and non-camp settings in Jordan, and higher rates in Zaatari camp). Reduced breastfeeding in refugees may result from maternal stress related to displacement,20 possibly mitigated by breastfeeding in refugees may result from maternal stress related to displacement,20 possibly mitigated by breastfeeding in refugees.42,43

Table 4 Nutritional profile of Syrian children, preconflict, in conflict and as refugees in neighbouring countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Preconflict</th>
<th>Conflict</th>
<th>Lebanon</th>
<th>Jordan non-camp</th>
<th>Jordan camps</th>
<th>Turkey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underweight prevalence (%)</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Zaatri 4.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stunting prevalence (%)</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Zaatri 17.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasting prevalence (%)</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Zaatri 1.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive breastfeeding (%)</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>Zaatri 46.4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early initiation of breastfeeding</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>Zaatri 57.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low birth weight incidence (%)</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Zaatri 2.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A supplementation (%)</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Population-based surveys. †Indicated as underreported by authors of cited article. ‡Surveillance/monitoring data. §One-time thematic report.

Financing

Table S3 summarises Countdown financing indicators in Syria preconflict and during conflict, as well as in host countries.

**DISCUSSION**

In this paper, we have used the Countdown to 2015 set of RMNCH indicators applied to the case of populations affected by the Syrian conflict and summarised available (and obtainable) data on coverage of evidence-based interventions across the continuum of care. Demographic data show a decline in birth registration among Syrians in Syria and Lebanon. Mortality data among refugees in host countries were lacking. The available mortality data in Syria during conflict showed an increase in infant mortality and under-five mortality rates and maternal mortality ratio, although it was not possible to provide accurate data on conflict-related casualties among women, children and adolescents. Internationally, excess mortality due to conflict has been shown to be difficult to quantitate,40 and the numbers are typically both difficult to obtain and disputed for political reasons.50,51

In Syria, available data show a decline in coverage of ANC, skilled birth attendance and child immunisation. Finally, as compared with rates in preconflict Syria, nutrition data demonstrate a decrease in undernutrition levels across all populations studied and an improvement in key IYCF behaviours in some populations in Syria and Jordan (although a decline in Lebanon).

A partial explanation for these observed trends may be differential access to healthcare across the study settings. IDPs in Syria have generally received less international attention compared with Syrian refugees. As noted above, none of the published articles identified through the literature search reported on the health of the internally displaced within Syria. Two-thirds of primary healthcare centres14 and 43% of public hospitals in Syria were reported to be fully functioning, with others either

Policies

Table S2 summarises the status of Countdown policy indicators in Syria preconflict and postconflict and in host countries postconflict.
destroyed or compromised by severe shortages and overburdening of staff and medical equipment. Syrian refugees residing in host countries where health resources were already strained have difficulties accessing key services, largely due to cost and lack of knowledge or confusion about health services for refugees. The reluctance to share data that were known to be available but were inaccessible despite extensive efforts by email and in person. Collaborations need to be encouraged across humanitarian agencies, governmental bodies as well as academic institutions in the regions affected by conflict in recognition of the potential comparative advantage and contributions of each type of institution.

This case study on the RMNCH needs of middle-income conflict-affected countries, where a minimum standard of care exists, shows that health challenges differ substantially from those faced by lower income countries with weaker infrastructure; IDPs and refugees from the Syrian conflict are not the typical camp dwellers seen in other conflict settings, but rather are often dispersed and integrated into host communities (with whom they share a common language in the case of Lebanon and Jordan), predominantly in urban areas. This necessitates creative approaches to reaching and addressing the health needs of these populations. At the same time, the nature of host-country health systems influences both entitlements of displaced populations and data collection on their health conditions given differences in national health information systems.

At the global level, our analysis identifies many challenges in systematically extracting data on key internationally agreed health indicators of the forcibly displaced. The fact that many institutions active in humanitarian contexts do not always use standardised, evidence-based definitions (in this case, the Countdown to 2015® definitions of indicators) and do not always specify their methodology, including the sampling approach, has hampered our ability to draw valid conclusions.

A great deal of resources is currently being spent on data assessing health needs among Syrian refugees and the IDPs, yet this research could be more useful to inform public health interventions if it were more widely shared, if methodologies were more explicit and if comparable definitions and indicators were used and denominators clearly stated. The reluctance to share data across humanitarian agencies, governmental bodies and academic institutions must be overcome urgently for more effective responses, although there are some efforts underway to develop data-sharing systems in humanitarian contexts.

Who is accountable for the health and well-being of the forcibly displaced? And who is responsible for collecting and reporting data on these populations? Within Syria,

Among the 12 articles identified by this review that included relevant primary or secondary data, only four articles had their first author based in a host country of refugees. It is particularly concerning that an academic public health team based in a conflict setting—Syria and its neighbouring countries—was not able to obtain data that was known to be available but were inaccessible despite extensive efforts by email and in person. Collaborations need to be encouraged across humanitarian agencies, governmental bodies as well as academic institutions in the regions affected by conflict in recognition of the potential comparative advantage and contributions of each type of institution.

This case study on the RMNCH needs of middle-income conflict-affected countries, where a minimum standard of care exists, shows that health challenges differ substantially from those faced by lower income countries with weaker infrastructure; IDPs and refugees from the Syrian conflict are not the typical camp dwellers seen in other conflict settings, but rather are often dispersed and integrated into host communities (with whom they share a common language in the case of Lebanon and Jordan), predominantly in urban areas. This necessitates creative approaches to reaching and addressing the health needs of these populations. At the same time, the nature of host-country health systems influences both entitlements of displaced populations and data collection on their health conditions given differences in national health information systems.

At the global level, our analysis identifies many challenges in systematically extracting data on key internationally agreed health indicators of the forcibly displaced. The fact that many institutions active in humanitarian contexts do not always use standardised, evidence-based definitions (in this case, the Countdown to 2015® definitions of indicators) and do not always specify their methodology, including the sampling approach, has hampered our ability to draw valid conclusions.

A great deal of resources is currently being spent on data assessing health needs among Syrian refugees and the IDPs, yet this research could be more useful to inform public health interventions if it were more widely shared, if methodologies were more explicit and if comparable definitions and indicators were used and denominators clearly stated. The reluctance to share data across humanitarian agencies, governmental bodies and academic institutions must be overcome urgently for more effective responses, although there are some efforts underway to develop data-sharing systems in humanitarian contexts.

Who is accountable for the health and well-being of the forcibly displaced? And who is responsible for collecting and reporting data on these populations? Within Syria,
public health responsibility is deeply fragmented between government and opposing forces, which run parallel health systems with shifting geographic areas of coverage, and with available data often being restricted to government-controlled areas. Were full data disclosure and availability possible in this conflict context, with data-sharing across opposing forces and between countries, the results obtained here could well be worse, but at present this cannot be determined. In neighbouring countries, as in most complex emergencies, there remain ambiguities around accountability and problems of coordination between multiple humanitarian agencies and host governments. At the same time, the impact of the political conflict and influx of refugees undermines administrative capacity and reach of government services in host countries. Moreover, their accountability to the nationals of their country—and the competing needs of ‘host communities’ who are often the poorest and least well served—creates reluctance to underscore the needs of the refugees. Both governments and humanitarian agencies lack incentives to adopt more inclusive approaches that would seek input from the displaced themselves to inform service delivery or support community organisations to address their own needs. Legal restrictions on employment especially limit the ability of Syrian professionals and others within refugee communities to respond to community needs. There is evidence, however, that this trend is changing; Turkey has recently granted work permits to Syrian refugees and has allowed Syrian doctors to staff its newly established migrant health clinics. Jordan is also under international pressure to formalise employment for Syrian refugees.

It is critical to include the forcibly displaced in global health accountability frameworks. The SDGs, as presently formulated, do not accord enough importance to the forcibly displaced, a topic that is covered in the preamble of the final SDG document but is not a subject of the final goals. Moreover, as in the earlier MDGs, the monitoring process foreseen for the SDGs as yet makes no distinction between citizens and non-citizens in data collection or in goals (even in countries with a very high proportion of non-nationals). States have clear obligations to produce vital statistics on their entire resident population, differentiated by nationality.

As noted at the outset, Syria did not fit data-based inclusion criteria for the Countdown to 2015 initiative, although were national data to become available, it could be eligible for inclusion today. Syria’s experience points to the fact that conflict may undermine, and even reverse, past progress towards achieving global RMNCH goals yet informa-
tion on conflict settings is often lacking. In this paper, the Countdown to 2015 framework of indicators was used as a lens to analyse the devastating effects of the Syrian conflict on the health of women and children because this framework represents internationally agreed indicators on which countries are required to report for global monitoring purposes. Although Countdown indicators are most useful as development indicators for low-income contexts where RMNCH is poor, there remains a need to ensure that a set of agreed RMNCH indicators are included when data are being collected in humanitarian contexts.
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